

Psychiatric Bulletin (2003), 27, 271-273

HARVEY GORDON AND CLIVE MEUX

Forensic psychiatry in Russia — the links with Britain evolve further

The perception of forensic psychiatry in Russia has been affected by the abuse of psychiatry for the detention of political dissidents during the later Soviet period (Smith & Oleszczuk, 1996). The Royal College of Psychiatrists played a key role in sanctioning the Soviet Society of Psychiatrists, but reintegration of Russian psychiatry into the international community of psychiatry now seems to be well underway.

During the 20th century, Russia, the country with the largest landmass in the world, notably underwent three different social systems. The initial czarism was followed in 1917 by Soviet communism and its geographic expansion, and since 1991, a post-soviet transition of the smaller Russian Federation into a capitalist economy (Mikheyev, 1996; Tolz, 2001). The past decade has also seen Russia become a member of the Council of Europe and a signatory to its convention concerning the prevention of torture and inhumane or degrading treatment or punishment (Gordon & Meux, 2000). Numerous centres throughout the Russian Federation have now been visited by the Council of Europe's European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CPT) (Council of Europe, 2001). More recently, Russia has also reached agreement with the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation (NATO) to become an associate partner (Castle, 2002). Major international realignment of Russia has therefore occurred and the heritage of the abuse of psychiatry can now hopefully be seen as a tragic historic aberration.

During the 1990s, changes in mental health legislation and the production of a new ethical code for Russian psychiatrists set a new focus for psychiatric practice in Russia that is consistent with internationally-accepted perspectives (Polubinskaya & Bonnie, 1996). Changes in the criminal and civil law of the Russian Federation have also resulted in improved practices. However, social change in Russia has not been without its difficulties, including increases in economic hardship (Walberg et al, 1998), drug abuse (Green et al, 2000; Fleming et al, 2001), criminality (Mullerson, 1995) and mortality (Wise, 1997). Russia has been subject to international criticism regarding alleged human rights abuses in Chechnya, one of its autonomous republics in the northern caucasus (Mikheyev, 1996) and has also become the target of

major terrorist acts, such as the siege of the Palace of Culture theatre in Moscow in October 2002.

The revitalisation of psychiatric services in Russia (and indeed in other post-communist East European societies) has been assisted by organisations such as the Geneva Initiative on Psychiatry (www.geneva-initiative.org), in which British and other European psychiatrists have continued to play an ongoing role. Specific British psychiatric links with Russia have also been established in the spheres of substance misuse (Green et al, 2000; Fleming et al, 2001) and general psychiatry (Collins, 1995). Furthermore, assistance has been offered as a result of concern regarding the psychiatric diagnosis and care of children in Russia who have been orphaned and admitted to institutions for abandoned children (Cox, 1997).

Contacts in forensic psychiatry involving psychiatrists and related health professionals between Russia and Britain began prior to the dissolution of the former Soviet Union and have now been maintained over the last 12 years (Gordon & Meux, 1994; Meux & Gordon, 1996; Bowden & Snowden, 1996; Gordon & Meux, 2000). Sponsorship for the links has come mostly from the British Council in Moscow, with assistance in earlier years also from Broadmoor Hospital. As part of this project, 10 senior psychiatric health professionals from Russia were funded to visit Britain for a one-week programme in April 2002. The Russian delegation was led by Professor Tatiana Dmitrieva who, in addition to being the Director of the Serbsky Institute for General and Forensic Psychiatry in Moscow and a Professor of Forensic Psychiatry at Moscow University, is also a past Minister of Health for Russia (during the Yeltsin Administration) and a member of the Russian Academy of Sciences. The Russian group came not only from Moscow but also included psychiatrists from cities in central Russia and the autonomous republic of Buratyia in Eastern Siberia near to Mongolia. The programme included a visit to a high-security prison (HMP Belmarsh, London), a medium secure psychiatric unit (Bracton Centre, Kent) and a high-security hospital (Broadmoor Hospital, Berkshire). Additionally, the delegation accepted an invitation to visit the Royal College of Psychiatrists, where they met with the then President, Professor John Cox, the Dean, Professor Cornelius Katona and other senior College personnel. That such a meeting



special articles could occur is a clear sign that events have moved on since the abuses of the communist period, which saw the withdrawal of the Soviet society of psychiatrists from the World Psychiatric Association in the 1980s.

The largest event of the visit was a conference held at King's College Hospital and the Institute of Psychiatry, London, involving the Russian delegation and some 50 British invited participants, who included not only psychiatrists and related health professionals in general, adolescent and forensic psychiatry, but also representatives of the Department of Health and some senior British physicians specialising in the study of tuberculosis. The conference was facilitated by the employment of equipment for English / Russian simultaneous translation. Themes at the conference included issues pertaining to human rights, female offenders, adolescent offenders, forensic psychotherapy, risk assessment and sex offenders, all areas of particular interest to forensic psychiatrists from both countries. Strengths and weaknesses in both countries' forensic psychiatric systems were identified

However, the issue of tuberculosis that arose during the conference reminded us all that forensic psychiatrists are primarily doctors, albeit specialists, working in a public health arena. Conditions in Russian prisons are known to be among the most overcrowded and unhealthy in the world (Amnesty International, 1997) and Russia now has the highest rate of imprisonment in the world (Walmsley, 1999). If prisoners in Russia are not ill when they enter prison, such imprisonment is likely to carry with it an additional extra-judicial sentence of ill-health. In particular, in Russian prisons the hugely problematic incidence of tuberculosis (Meux, 1995) has now reached epidemic proportions. With some of the prisoners suffering from the multi-drug resistant variety (resistant to isoniazid and rifampicin), the mortality rate of prisoners from tuberculosis has been recorded as some 18% higher than in the general Russian population (Stern, 1999). The epidemic is a result of a combination of factors including the problems of the Russian economy, a collapse in the public health infrastructure, inefficiencies in the criminal justice system and the inadequate financing of the Russian prison system, no longer centrally guaranteed by the State or supported by prison labour. Once tuberculosis is contracted within Russian prisons, some prisoners may well still be symptomatic at the time of release, increasing the risk of spread within the community from the prison 'reservoirs' and, indeed, beyond the borders of Russia. The prevalence of tuberculosis has resulted in dedicated tuberculosis wards within psychiatric and forensic psychiatric hospitals. It is difficult to reconcile that the nation that celebrated sending the first man into space is, almost 50 years later, often unable to provide conditions for its citizens in prisons that are humane, instead providing those that frequently induce physical illness and psychological morbidity.

It was agreed at the conference that making better use of telemedicine would facilitate the links as well as improving educational opportunities. Individual forensic psychiatric hospitals and mental health centres in Russia and Britain are also now considering the gains of 'twinning' with each other (Richards, 1992), which has already

occurred between prisons in the two countries. A conference is being planned for this September, to be held in Moscow, on the subject of psychiatric and health care in prisons. Funding is being sought for further collaboration in forensic psychiatry between Britain and Russia, the link between professionals in the two nations in this field of practice now having matured into a stable working relationship.

In Russia, the improvement of forensic psychiatric care (and prison health care) will be affected by the level of economic development. The reintegration of Russian psychiatry into the international psychiatric community needs to be maintained, not only to allow Russian psychiatrists to learn from their colleagues abroad, but also so that psychiatrists across the world can benefit from the extensive experience available in Russia. The future of forensic psychiatry in Russia is no longer dependent on an ideology in which psychiatrists are essentially seen as agents of State control. While general and forensic psychiatry in both Russia and Britain needs to seek to balance the needs of patients with the safety of the public, it must retain its practice independently of the state in both countries.

Declaration of interest

The links have been supported by Broadmoor Hospital and the British Council. Dr Clive Meux has worked as an Expert advisor to the CPT.

References

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL (1997)
Torture in Russia: This Man-Made Hell.
London: Amnesty International.

BOWDEN, P. & SNOWDEN, P. (1996) Russian forensic psychiatry: Arkhangelsk and Kursk. *Journal* of Forensic Psychiatry, **7**,1–13.

CASTLE, S. (2002) Former foes sign historic deal to bury Cold War. The *Independent*, p. 10, 29 May.

COLLINS, I. P. (1995) A visit to St Petersburg: an experience of psychiatry in Russia. *Psychiatric Bulletin*, **19**, 364–366.

COUNCIL OF EUROPE (2001) 12th General Report on the CPT's Activities. Strasbourg: Council of Europe.

COX, C. (1997) Editorial: research, reform and new hope for Russian orphans and abandoned children: trajectories of despair: misdiagnosis and maltreatment of Soviet orphans. Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health. 7.111–116.

FLEMING, P., BRADBEER, T. & GREEN, A. (2001) Substance misuse problems in Russia: a perspective from St Petersburg. *Psychiatric Bulletin*, **25**, 27–28.

GORDON, H. & MEUX, C. (1994) Forensic psychiatry in Russia: a renaissance? *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry*, **5**, 599–606.

— & — (2000) Forensic psychiatry in Russia: past, present and future. *Psychiatric Bulletin*, **24**, 121–123.

GREEN, A. J., HOLLOWAY, D. G. & FLEMING, P. M. (2000) Substance misuse in Russia: a partnership for policy change and service development. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, **11**, 393–405.

MEUX, C. (1995) Tuberculosis in prisons. *Lancet*, **346**, 1239.

— & GORDON, H. (1996) Forensic psychiatry in Russia — further international collaboration required. *Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health*, **6** 177—131

MIKHEYEV, D. (1996) *Russia Transformed*. Indianapolis, IN: Hudson Institute.

MULLERSON, R. (1995) Perspectives on Human Rights and Democracy in the Former Soviet Union. In *Human Rights in Eastern Europe* (ed) Pogany, I. Aldershot: Edward Elgar Publishing.

POLUBINSKAYA, S.V. & BONNIE, R. J. (1996) The Code of Professional Ethics of the Russian Society of Psychiatrists. International Journal of Law and Psychiatry, 19, 143 – 172.

RICHARDS, T. (1992) Hospital twinning in Europe. *BMJ*, **305**, 1516 – 1517.

SMITH, T. C. & OLESZCZUK, T. A. (1996) No Asylum: State Psychiatric Repression in the Former USSR. London: MacMillan Press Limited. STERN,V. (ed) (1999) Sentenced to Die?: The Problem of TB in Prisons in Eastern Europe and Central Asia. London: International Centre for Prison Studies, King's College, London.

TOLZ, V. (2001) *Inventing the Nation:* Russia. London: Arnold.

WALBERG, P., McKEE, M., SHKOLNIKOV, V., et al (1998) Economic change, crime and mortality crisis in Russia: regional analysis. *BMJ*, **317**, 312–318.

WALMSLEY, R. (1999) World Prison Population List. Research Findings No. 88, Home Office Research, Development and Statistics Directorate, London. WISE, J. (1997) Death rates in Russia rise dramatically. *BMJ*, **315**, 386.

*Harvey Gordon Consultant Forensic Psychiatrist, Bethlem Royal Hospital Denis Hill Unit, Monk's Orchard Road, Beckenham, Kent BR3 3BX, and Honorary Lecturer in Forensic Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, London, Clive Meux Consultant Forensic Psychiatrist, Oxford Clinic, Oxford



Psychiatric Bulletin (2003), 27, 273

JUSTIN BROPHY

The Spike Milligan Public Speaking Competition

The Spike Milligan Public Speaking Competition is a series of events conducted by the Irish Division of The Royal College of Psychiatrists' Public Education Committee. The initiative began as a local project, as part of the Changing Minds campaign. It is aimed at developing lifelong positive attitudes towards mental illness by doctors in training, and at redressing some of the stigma and negativity towards mental illness prevalent among trained doctors. It also aims to provide them with a positive experience of public speaking, in particular on mental health topics, and to enhance skills in communication with the public.

I originally proposed the idea when looking at possible responses to the Changing Minds campaign. I had adjudicated in a public speaking competition held between second level schools, sponsored by the Mental Health Association of Ireland. This is a remarkably successful competition, and has been acknowledged by the World Health Organization as an international model for informing and changing negative opinions on mental health. A public speaking rather than debate format was adopted, in order to avoid the polarisation on mental health topics. This model is seen as a key to the project's success. Topics selected by local organisers are sent in advance to each team, who then prepare a public speaking presentation for delivery against the rival team. The competition has become the principal public speaking event in Irish schools. Hoping to build on this, I proposed that a similar competition could be run between Irish medical schools.

The committee quickly took up the idea and received endorsement centrally from the College. Pfizer Ireland supported the project from its inception with an unrestricted educational grant, including generous prize money of €1500 each for the members of the winning team. Ian McKeever of Pfizer suggested the competition should be named after a well-known person. Spike Milligan stood out as being the most appropriate. He was chosen as an obvious, appealing figurehead who did enormous work in the de-stigmatisation of mental illness, who had a wonderful record of public speaking on various issues in his own life and who could capture the imagination and inspiration of a young audience. We wrote to his agent, asking if he would lend his support to the competition. Although he was in declining health at this time, we received the green light to go ahead, hence the 'Spike Milligan Public Speaking Competition'.

It was decided to have three heats between the six medical schools at regional venues. A team of two speaks for seven minutes each on a pre-agreed topic, without the use of audio-visual aids, and is judged as a unit. Medical school classes were briefed by an organiser to generate

interest. The full backing of the medical schools was received and the students quickly became enthusiastic. A special sculpture was commissioned from Dick Joint, whose sensibility was thought perfect to capture the spirit of the competition. He produced a piece capturing the experience of mood change over the course of life, carved from a substantial piece of black Kilkenny Limestone.

Utilising PR contacts, a team of three adjudicators was assembled, composed of a psychiatrist, a media figure and a high-profile 'personality' with a credible interest in mental health issues. The regional heats were a great success, with a very high standard of entry. A boisterous intervarsity atmosphere added greatly to the enjoyment of the occasion. University College Galway, Royal College of Surgeons of Ireland and Queen's University Belfast emerged victorious over University College Dublin, University College Cork and Trinity College Dublin, respectively. The final was arranged in Dublin at a time when students were not heavily burdened by exams. A wide array of guests was invited, including representatives of the use and professional groups across all disciplines with interests in Irish mental health. Queen's University Belfast emerged victorious after a daring presentation. The overall standard was notable for its quality, passion and the amount of work put in by the teams. Spike Milligan's death, shortly prior to the event, brought particular poignancy to the final night.

The feedback from the students indicated that they had learned a lot about mental health issues, and many indicated that their attitudes had been positively shaped by the experience. The competition may also help promote recruitment into the speciality. The competition is in its second year here, and we hope that the idea will be taken up throughout the British Isles, similar to the Observer Mace, perhaps eventually toward a four-nation final event.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank many people who helped in the running of the competition, but particularly the family and estate of the late Spike Milligan, who transformed the prestige of the event. Thanks also to Dr Kate Ganter, Chairperson of the Irish Division Public Education Committee, Dr Jim Anderson, this year's organiser, and also Miriam Silke of the Irish Division, lan McKeever and Paul Reid, and the many adjudicators and local organisers who gave of their time to ensure that the competition was not only a success but also continues to thrive.

Justin Brophy Consultant Psychiatrist, Newcastle Hospital, Greystones, Co. Wicklow, Ireland