

Review: Semen handling, time of insemination and insemination technique in cattle

M. G. Diskin[†]

Teagasc Research Centre, Animal & Grassland Research and Innovation Centre, Teagasc, Mellows Campus, Athenry, Co. Galway, H65 R718, Ireland

(Received 14 February 2018; Accepted 26 March 2018; First published online 2 May 2018)

In cattle artificial insemination plays not only a vital role in the successful establishment of pregnancy, which is a prerequisite for initiation of the subsequent lactation, but also in accelerating genetic improvement and facilitating the distribution of semen from genetically elite sires. The latter has been greatly facilitated by the ability to successfully cryopreserve semen. The objective of an insemination is to ensure that there is an adequate reservoir of competent, capacitated, motile sperm in the caudal region of the oviductal isthmus, the site of the main sperm reservoir in the cow, at the time of ovulation to ensure fertilisation. Handling of semen, particularly the 0.25 ml straw, is critically important. Thawed semen needs to be protected from cold and heat shocks and inseminated within 6 to 8 min of thawing. Uterine horn insemination give a modest improvement in conceptions rates particularly in situations where conception rates are low following uterine body inseminations. Most of the studies that evaluated heterospermic insemination were conducted on fresh semen only, and many lacked adequate replication. Consequently, it is difficult to deduce if there are real benefits from using heterospermic semen. While the interval from oestrous onset to time of ovulation would appear to be similar for cows and heifers at about 28 h there is huge variation (standard deviations of 5 to 6 h) around this average. While best conception rates are achieved when cows are inseminated from mid oestrus to a few hours after the onset of oestrus, this is difficult to achieve in practice. There is emerging evidence that having one insemination time, when all cows requiring insemination in the herd on that day are inseminated, does not compromise fertility provided insemination technique is good and the semen used is of high fertility.

Keywords: semen thawing, site of deposition, timing of artificial insemination, heterospermic

Implications

Handling of semen, particularly the 0.25 ml straw, is critically important. Thawed semen needs to be protected from cold and heat shocks and an inseminated within 6 to 8 min of thawing. Uterine horn insemination give a modest improvement in conceptions rates (CRs) particularly in situations where CRs are low following uterine body inseminations. Because many of the studies that evaluated heterospermic insemination were conducted in the era of fresh semen and/ or lacked adequate replication, it is difficult to deduce if there are real benefits from using heterospermic semen. Best CRs are achieved when cows are inseminated from mid oestrus to a few hours after the end of oestrus.

Introduction

The low and variable CRs following AI is a major cause of production inefficiency and involuntary culling rates in cattle herds and ultimately reduces profitability. For heifers, beef and moderate yielding dairy cows, it appears that fertilisation rate generally lies between 90% and 100% (see review by Diskin *et al.*, 2016). The objective of an insemination is to ensure that there is an adequate reservoir of competent, capacitated, motile sperm in the caudal region of the oviductal isthmus, the site of the main sperm reservoir in the cow, at the time ovulation to ensure the highest possible fertilisation rate. This is a prerequisite to achieving a high embryo survival and pregnancy rate. There are many 'cow' and 'semen' factors that affect fertilisation rate. Other factors that impact on fertilisation include inseminator competency, handling of semen, site of semen deposition, heterospermic insemination and timing of AI. These are the focus of this review.

Handling of semen

Currently, most bull semen is now frozen in either 0.25 or 0.50 ml French straws and stored in liquid nitrogen at -196° C. Besides facilitating semen packaging, labelling, storage and transport, straws also facilitate a more uniform control of

[†] E-mail: michael.diskin@teagasc.ie

freezing and thawing which ultimately leads to improved sperm recovery post thawing. However, a major disadvantage with straws is their vulnerability to mishandling particularly the 0.25 ml straws which are most popular in Europe and Canada. The 0.25 ml straws have a large surfaceto-volume ratio, compared with the 0.5 ml straws, which makes them vulnerable to rapid temperature fluctuations. Seidel (2011) recommended that 3 s be the maximum time for moving 0.25 ml straws from one liquid nitrogen tank to another without damaging the sperm and similarly for moving from tank to thaw flask.

Thawing of frozen semen should be at maximum speed. Rapid thawing decreases the harmful effects of water recrystallisation and rehydration, thus preventing damage to sperm membrane and cytoplasm. The critical temperature zone for ice crystals to form is between $\sim -50^{\circ}$ C and $\sim 0^{\circ}$ C. Rapid progression though this temperature zone means that the semen switches from glassy to a liquid state and ice crystals have insufficient time to form. Numerous studies have been conducted to determine the thawing rate that gives the highest post-thaw percentage of viable sperm (Correa et al., 1996). In some studies thawing temperatures as high as 60°C to 80°C for 6 to 7 s have given improved post-thaw sperm motility and viability (Senger, 1980; Lyashenko, 2015). However, the duration of exposure to such high temperature is critically important and there appears to be very little scope for error unlike the situation when straws are thawed at lower temperatures.

It is not uncommon that recommendations for handling of frozen semen vary among Al organisations, often using the same packaging, and this has, at times, led to confusion within the industry. Ideally, semen should be thawed according to the recommendations of the organisation supplying it.

From the literature and based primarily on the recommendation of Saacke (1974) the following general recommendations can be deduced:

- Within the liquid nitrogen storage tank have the canisters containing the straws clearly labelled.
- Maintain an up-to-date inventory of semen straws, bull codes and their location within the liquid nitrogen tank.
- When locating straws keep the canister below the frost line and avoid lifting the canister for too long in the process. If a semen straw cannot be located within 10 s lower the canister into the liquid nitrogen before continuing the search.
- Remove the straw using a forceps, remove any excess liquid nitrogen and place in water at 35°C for 45 s (range 30 to 60 s). Thaw only sufficient straws that can be inseminated with 10 min (see later). If multiple straws are being thawed avoid direct straw-to-straw contact during the thawing process.
- Before loading the AI gun, pre-warm it, particularly if the environment is cold. This can be done by stroking it vigorously with clean paper towel, placing it close to your body for a few minutes in advance or using a purpose designed AI gun warmer unit.

- Remove the straw from the water bath, wipe dry, insert into the AI gun, cotton plug end first and cut the straw about 7 mm below the crimped end.
- Securely attach the AI sheath over the loaded gun.
- If there is a delay (>10 to 15 s) before insemination wrap the loaded gun in in a towel to provide hygienic and thermal protection or place in a gun warmer.
- In very warm environments it is important that loaded AI guns are protected from solar radiation and elevation and temperatures above 35°C.
- Clean the vulva of the cow with paper towel before insemination.

Batch thawing of semen straws

Frequently in large herds and or following oestrous or ovulation control programmes, multiple cows are presented for insemination at the same time. This has led to the practice of frequently thawing multiple straws simultaneously. A frequently asked question is whether the order the straws are subsequently used, or the interval that has elapsed between thawing and actual insemination, affect the probability of conception? Early laboratory studies by Brown *et al.* (1991) reported no difference in post-thaw sperm motility and percentage of sperm with intact acrosomes when up to 10 straws were thawed simultaneously. These authors concluded that up to 10 straws could be safely thawed simultaneously in either a thaw bath provided the straws are agitated immediately after plunging to prevent direct contact and them temporarily refreezing during the thawing process. Field results from some early studies (Lee et al., 1997; Goodell 2000) have suggested that thawing more than two straws at once resulted in reduced CRs of the third and fourth insemination in sequence. These studies stimulated a number of laboratories to re-examine the above question. The results from four studies with dairy cows are summarised in Table 1. These data consistently show that batch thawing of up to four straws at once does not reduce the probability of a pregnancy when the last of these straws is inseminated. The divergent results between the studies of Lee et al. (1997) and Goodell (2000) and the results reported in Table 1 may be partly explained by the relatively small numbers of cows used in the former studies (269 cows combined for the studies of Lee et al. (1997) and Goodell (2000). More importantly, the study of Lee et al. (1997) was conducted in a hot tropical environment (Hawaii) with up to four semen straws thawed simultaneously, loaded into AI guns which were exposed to direct solar radiation during transport to the cow. Temperatures of >46°C were recorded in the inseminating gun due to direct solar radiation. Acrosome integrity was reduced (75% v. 43%) following exposure due to lack temperature control and was probably the main factor that contributed to the observed reduced CRs.

In an extension of these studies, Oliveira *et al.* (2012) evaluated the effects of sequence of insemination, following the simultaneous thawing of 10 semen straws (0.5 ml) on CR following timed AI in oestrous synchronised suckled multiparous Nelore beef cows. A total of 10 semen straws were thawed simultaneously in a thermostatically controlled water

	-				_			۰ ۱	,) -	
				Preg	nancies/arti	ficial insemi	nation				
			Sec	quence of ir	semination				<u>د</u>		
Study	Inseminator category	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	≥ 6	Mean	significance (<i>P</i> -values)	Interval between initial thaw and 4th Al (min)	Comments
Sprenger <i>et al.</i> (2001)	Professional technicians	32	33	34	33	32	32	32	>0.10	9.29 + 1.67 (SD)	Semen inseminated within 15 min of thawing except
		397/1260	411/1250	386/1129	300/917	219/696	275/870	1988/6122			when more than seven cows were inseminated
DeJarnette et al. (2002)	Professional technicians	33	33	33	31	27		32	>0.10		Batch thawing of up to eight straws
		274/838	269/815	201/607	111/353	97/355		952/2968			
Kaproth et al. (2002)	Single professional	36	40	36	38			37	>0.10	No. available	Following thawing, semen straws were assembled in
-	technician	(426/1192)	(263/665)	(156/431)	(129/341)			(974/2629)			Al guns and used within 20 min (dairy cows)
Dalton <i>et al.</i> (2004)	Professional technicians	40	47	41	50			45	>0.10	7.6 ± 0.22	Following thawing, semen straws (0.5 ml) were
		(61/153)	(71/150)	(60/146)	(74/147)			(266/596)			assembled in AI guns (dairy cows)
Dalton <i>et al.</i> (2004)	Herdsmen	24	20	33	30			27	>0.10	10.9 ± 0.39	
		(26/108)	(21/103)	(36/110)	(33/108)			(116/429)			
<u>AI = artificial inseminat</u>	ion.										

Table 1 Summary of the effects of sequential insemination number on conception rates in from four studies involving dairy cows (number pregnant/number inseminated)

Use of artificial insemination in cattle

bath at 36°C. Thirty seconds after insertion of the 10 straws into the water bath, one straw was removed (first straw) and loaded into an AI gun and a randomly selected cow inseminated with it. During insemination of the first straw a second straw was removed from the water bath, prepared and immediately used for AI. Similarly, the other straws were removed in sequence from the water bath, loaded and inseminated as the second straw until all 10 straws were used. The mean interval from insertion of the 10 straws to removal of the last straw was 6 min and 29 s. In that study and, in contrast to other studies, the semen straws were retained at a constant temperature of 36°C until within about 40 s of insemination. Two inseminators and semen from three bulls were used in the study. For analysis of effect of sequential insemination on CR, cows were, retrospectively, separated into three groups, according to the distribution of incubation time by straw. Because most of straws 1, 2 and 3 were removed from the thawing bath between 0 and 2.5 min and cows inseminated with 1st, 2nd and 3rd semen straws were designated as Straw Group 1, cows inseminated with straws 4, 5 and 6 (incubated for 2.5 to 5.0 min) were designated Straw Group 2 and cows inseminated straws 7, 8, 9 and 10 (incubated for 5.0 to 7.0 min) were designated Straw Group 3. All cows were examined by transrectal ultrasonography 40 days after timed AI to determine the outcome of the inseminations. The results of this study are summarised in Table 2. There was clear evidence of a significant interaction between sire group and Straw Group on CR. Sire 1 had reduced CRs for the group of straws associated with the longest interval from thawing to AI (Straw Group 3; straws 7, 8, 9 and 10). The results of the laboratory analysis of the semen from the three bulls were unable to explain the findings of the insemination study, confirming the importance of field studies when evaluating bull fertility. The semen from the other two bulls used in the study was not significantly different for either Straw group. This led the authors (Oliveira et al., 2012) to conclude that sequence of insemination after simultaneous thawing of 10 straws of semen differentially affect CRs following timed AI depending on sire. However, there was no effect of bull when six or fewer straws were thawed simultaneously and consequently, the interval from thawing to insemination kept shorter.

Interestingly, in all of the studies summarised in Table 1, 0.5 ml plastic straws were used which is the standard container for commercially frozen semen in the United States, Central and South America and Asia. In Europe and Canada 0.25 ml French plastic straws are utilised for packaging of bovine frozen semen. The author could find no published studies that examined the effects of batch thawing and sequence of insemination for semen stored in 0.25 ml straws. Because of the much higher surface-to-volume ratio in 0.25 ml straws heat dissipation or accumulation occurs much faster than with 0.5 ml straws. Consequently, greater caution is warranted with 0.25 ml straws.

From the foregoing it is clear that the interval between thawing and insemination is more important than the

Bull	Straw Group 1	Straw Group 2	Straw Group 3	Total
Interval from initiating thawing and AI (s)	120	270	420	_
1	58.1 (50/86) ^{ax}	60.2 (50/83) ^{ax}	35.3 (42/119) ^{bx}	49.3 (142/288) [×]
2	40.2 (37/92) ^{ay}	50.5 (49/97) ^{ax}	51.7 (62/120) ^{ay}	47.9 (148/309) [×]
3	59.8 (64/107) ^{ax}	51.0 (52/102) ^{ax}	48.6 (67/138) ^{ay}	52.7 (183/347) [×]
Total	53.0 (151/285) ^a	53.6 (151/282) ^a	45.4 (171/377) ^b	50.1 (473/944)

Table 2 Conception rate (%; n/n) 40 days after timed artificial insemination (AI) in suckled multiparous Nelore cows (Oliveira et al., 2012)

AI = artificial insemination.

Within a column, values without a common superscript letter (x, y) differ (P < 0.05). Within a row, values without a common superscript letter (a, b) differ (P < 0.05).

absolute number of straws of semen thawed simultaneously. Competent, experienced inseminators should thaw not more than six straws simultaneously, ensure thawed straws are protected from either cold or warm shock and, and that all six straws are inseminated within 15 min.

Site of insemination

In the initial insemination studies in cattle in the 1940s, the semen was typically deposited in the vagina or inside the external os of the cervix. This was followed by studies where a hand was placed in the rectum to hold the cervix and the semen was deposited deep into the anterior cervix and/or uterus. The results of several studies (Knight et al., 1951; Salisbury and VanDemark 1951; Stewart and Melrose 1952; Olds et al., 1953) showed little differences in fertility when semen was deposited in the uterine horns, uterine body or indeed in mid-cervix. This resulted in the uterine body been the accepted site of semen deposition when AI is employed with cattle. Subsequently, Macpherson (1968) recorded a 10 percentage point lower CR following cervical when compared with uterine body deposition indicating the importance of body insemination. The use of fresh semen and high numbers of sperm per inseminate in these early studies may have masked possible site of deposition effects which are likely to be more pronounced with frozen-thawed semen and particularly where low sperm numbers are used.

While good insemination technique would appear to be straight forward, nevertheless it appears to be done with a low degree of precision and correct insemination technique has a low repeatability. A number of studies, which utilised dye deposition technique (Wright, 1964) or radiographs (Senger et al., 1988) to accurately determine the site of deposition all showed high error rates with uterine body insemination. Correct positioning the tip of the AI gun in the uterine body was recorded at 39%, 37% and 53% for studies by Peters et al. (1984). Swain et al. (1986) and Senger et al. (1988), respectively. Interestingly, in the study of Senger et al. (1988), which used radiographic techniques to evaluate placement technique, the success rate for correct positioning of the AI gun tip increased to 95% after retraining but regressed to 75% 6 months after the retraining. This would suggest that ongoing retraining of inseminators is critically important. In the same study, the success

rate for correct positioning of the AI gun tip was 95% with no evidence of a drop off after 6 months for intra cornual insemination. This would suggest that anatomical location is more definitively identified with a higher degree of repeatability for cornual (uterine horn insemination) than for uterine body insemination. This has led a number of laboratories to re-evaluate site of deposition particularly in relation to inseminator and sperm dose effects.

The deposition of semen near the utero tubal junction would be hypothesised to reduce sperm loss either by retrograde flow of uterine mucus or by phagocytosis and would, therefore, be expected to enhance the reservoir of sperm in the caudal region of the oviductal isthmus, the site of the main sperm reservoir in the cow (Hawk, 1987). However, Gallagher and Senger (1989) observed no reduction in retrograde sperm loss after semen deposition in the uterine horn or uterine body. A summary of the studies, involving 33 689 inseminations, that evaluated the effects of site of semen deposition on fertility in artificially inseminated cattle, ranked from the lowest CRs for body insemination and within method (bilateral v. unilateral) of horn insemination is presented in Table 3. It is clear from Table 3 that uterine horn insemination did not consistently result in an improvement in CR though an overall 6.3 percentage point improvement in CR was recorded following horn inseminations. While no individual study showed a statistically significant reduction in CR following uterine horn insemination 7 of the 20 studies showed a statistically significant increase in CRs following uterine horn insemination. It also is clear that with many of the early studies, CRs following body insemination were high and these studies invariably showed no improvement following uterine horn insemination. This might suggest that an upper threshold for CR to a single service exists that lies between 60% and 70% and to exceed this threshold on a consistent basis is difficult or impossible to achieve. The highest percentage point increases in CR following uterine horn insemination were invariably recorded in studies where the lowest CRs were recorded following body insemination.

Irish experience

This study (Diskin *et al.*, 2005) was carried out over two years with six commercial inseminators involved in each year, four of which were involved in both years. All inseminators were

Table 3 Summary of the effects of site of semen deposition on fertility in artificially inseminated cattle, ranked from the lowest conception rates for body insemination and within method (bilateral v. unilateral) of horn insemination (largely based on DeJarnette et al 2004)

		Confirmed concepti	on rate (%)		Superiority of Horn	
Study	Total number of cows involved	Body (control)	Horn	Method of Horn insemination	Percentage point	Probability (<i>P</i> -values)
Pursley (2004)	833	35	50	Bilateral	15	<0.01
Senger <i>et al.</i> (1988)	4178	45	65	Bilateral	20	<0.001
Grieger <i>et al</i> . (1998)	460	46	41	Bilateral	-5	NS
Williams <i>et al</i> . (1988)	1849	48	49	Bilateral	1	NS
Seidel <i>et al</i> . (1999)	469	49	57	Bilateral	8	< 0.05
Graves <i>et al</i> . (1991)	502	63	54	Bilateral	-9	<0.10
Elliott (1944)	127	65	62	Bilateral	-3	NS
Knight <i>et al</i> . (1951)	1011	65	63	Bilateral	-2	NS
Salisbury and VanDemark (1951)	4271	65	65	Bilateral	0	NS
Olds <i>et al.</i> (1953)	4800	66	68	Bilateral	2	NS
McKenna <i>et al</i> . (1990)	4623	70	71	Bilateral	1	NS
Fernández-VanCleve <i>et al</i> . (1986)	138	34	42	Unilateral	8	NS
Garcia Serpa <i>et al</i> . (2015)	40	35	70	Unilateral	35	< 0.05
Zavos <i>et al</i> . (1985)	110	36	57	Unilateral	21	< 0.05
Meirelles et al. (2012)	270	49	67	Unilateral	18	< 0.05
López-Gatius and Camón-Urgel (1988)	334	60	71	Unilateral	11	< 0.05
Lang-Ree (1992)	8044	62	64	Unilateral	2	NS
Momont <i>et al</i> . (1989)	72	68	72	Unilateral	4	NS
Macpherson (1968)	918	74	68	Unilateral	-6	NS
Kurykin <i>et al</i> . (2003)	254	59	64	Unilateral	5	NS
Total cows/mean conception rate	33 303	54.7	61.0		+ 5.8	

NS = non-significant.

chosen by the AI Centre and trained before the start of the experiment in each year. The inseminators chose the cooperating herds. Each alternate cow presented for AI in co-operating herds was inseminated by placing all of the inseminate either in the body of the uterus (Body) or by placing 50% of the inseminate beyond the curvature in each uterine horn (Horn). Frozen-thawed semen in 0.25 ml straws was used throughout. Records were kept and data collected on a total of 1860 inseminations in 37 herds in Year 1 and on 1586 inseminations in 24 herds in Year 2. Conception rate was determined by ultrasonography at 28 to 60 days after AI. Data were analysed with terms for AI treatment, year, inseminator, and their interactions included in the model. There were no AI treatment \times inseminator \times year, treatment \times year or inseminator \times year interactions for CR (P > 0.05). However, there was a significant effect (P < 0.02) of AI treat $ment \times inseminator$ on CR, with evidence of either an increase (+11.4%; P<0.05), decrease (-4 to -6%; P < 0.05) or no effect (P > 0.05) of Horn AI on CR for individual inseminators. Results are presented in Figure 1. A retrospective analysis of the data for each inseminator for each year showed that that there was an inverse relationship (P < 0.005) between the improvement in CR recorded following Horn insemination and CR achieved following Body AI (Figure 2). This study indicates that the effect of uterine horn AI on CR is not uniform and may be inseminator dependent. For individual inseminators there was an inverse relationship between the improvement in CR recorded following Horn insemination and CR achieved following Body



Figure 1 Conception rate in dairy cows following body artificial insemination (AI) for each of the eight inseminators arranged from lowest to highest conception rates (upper panel) Superiority or inferiority of Horn AI, relative to Body AI for each of the eight inseminators (lower panel) (Diskin *et al.*, 2005).

Al. The results further suggest that non-return rates could be improved for individual inseminators by adopting the practice of placing half of the inseminate beyond the curvature of each uterine horn as opposed to body insemination which is normal practice. Interestingly, and consistent with the results summaries in Table 3 the largest improvements in CR were



Figure 2 Conception rate deviation (CR following Horn AI – CR following Body AI) (*y*-axis) and CR for Body AI for each inseminator in each year (*x*-axis) (Diskin *et al.* 2005).

recorded by inseminators with the lowest CR following Body insemination.

Deposition of semen in the uterine horn ipsilateral to the side of the impending ovulation

A number of studies have evaluated the effect of placement of the inseminate deep into the uterine horn ipsilateral to the side of the impending ovulation; with the side of ovulation been determined by rectal palpation (see review by López-Gatius, 2000). A number of these studies reported statistically significant improvements in CRs of +11 percentage points (López-Gatius and Camón-Urgel, 1988) 19% percentage points (Meirelles et al., 2012) +21 percentage points (Zavos et al., 1985) following unilateral deep intrauterine AI ipsilateral to the side of the impending ovulation compared with uterine body insemination (see Table 3). However, others (Fernández-VanCleve et al., 1986; Momont et al., 1989; Lang-Ree 1992; Kurykin et al. 2003) have all reported numerically smaller but non-significant improvements in CRs following unilateral uterine horn insemination on the side of the impending ovulation (see Table 3).

While the above would suggest more precise deposition of the semen in the uterine horn ipsilateral to the side of the impending ovulation would result in improved CRs, the AI technician would require a higher level of skill to accurately determine the side of the impending ovulation by rectal palpation and to avoid any risk of prematurely bursting the dominant follicle.

From the foregoing, if inseminators are achieving consistently high CR following body insemination there would appear to be little benefit in switching to uterine horn insemination. However, if CRs are low uterine horn insemination will result in a modest (almost 6% percentage points based on data summarised in Table 3) improvement in CR. However, extra care is required with deep uterine body insemination to avoid trauma to the uterine epithelium. One possible explanation for the benefits of uterine horn insemination may be the avoidance of cervical insemination which has been shown to reduce CRs by 10% percentage points (Macpherson, 1968) compared with uterine body deposition. Interestingly, it would appear that deep bilateral horn deposition of semen, as opposed to common body deposition, has little effect on fertilisation rates in superovulated cows or heifers (Carvalho *et al.*, 2012; Carvalho *et al.*, 2013).

Sex-sorted semen

With sex-sorted semen the number of sperm in the inseminate is much lower, at 1 to 2×10^6 , compared with sperm numbers of 15 to 30×10^6 used with conventional semen. Because of the lower numbers of sperm used with sex semen, it is reasonable to hypothesise that placement of the semen within the reproduction tract would be critically important and that deep intrauterine horn insemination would lead to higher CRs. However, Seidel *et al.* (1999) and Seidel and Schenk (2008) found no evidence that deposition of sexed semen in the uterine horns was superior to uterine body deposition.

Irrespective of whether conventional or sexed semen is used it is important that inseminations are carefully carried out, avoid deposition of semen in the cervix, inseminators are monitored on a an ongoing basis and that retraining is regularly provided.

Heterospermic insemination

Heterospermic insemination is the insemination of a female with a mixture of semen from more than one male in contrast to the more normal homospermic insemination which uses semen from a single male. Some early studies reported improved fertility in cattle (Hess *et al.*, 1958; Beatty *et al.*, 1969; Nelson *et al.*, 1974; Stewart *et al.*, 1974) and rabbits (Beatty, 1960; Napier, 1961a, 1961b) when heterospermic inseminations were compared with single sire inseminations. Consequently, the results from the above papers would suggest that the use of heterospermic semen could increase reproductive rates in commercial herds.

For specific sires, the binomial nature of the outcome of an insemination (pregnant or non-pregnant) make detecting statistically significant differences in fertility among sires difficult and requires large numbers of animals be inseminated. One strategy to make more accurate comparisons of fertility among bulls is to eliminate the confounding effect of the individual cow and compare bulls within the same environment which can be achieved by heterospermic insemination. If numbers of sperm from two males of equal fertility are combined in a 1:1 ratio, then the ratio of the resulting offspring would theoretically be 1:1. Research across numerous species, has indicated that heterospermic insemination results in ratios of greater or less than 1:1. In cattle, Beatty et al. (1969) calculated that heterospermic insemination was 170-fold greater inefficiency at measuring differences in fertility among bulls compared with homospermic insemination. Heterospermic performance, defined by a competitive index (CI) (Beatty et al., 1969), was positively correlated to non-return rate which is a very good measure of CR. Because of this, heterospermic insemination has been widely used to investigate the relationship between

			Semen	Conceptio	on rate (%)	No. of bulls	Percentage point	Statistical significance
Study	No. of cows/heifers	Fertility measurement	type	Homospermic	Heterospermic	heterospermic	superiority	(P-values)
Beatty <i>et al.</i> (1969)	8650 (Friesian cows)	16-week non-return rate	Fresh	65.5	68.1ª	2	2.6	<0.05
Stewart et al. (1974)	3524 (dairy cows)	16-week non-return rate	Fresh	63.0	71.9 ^b	4	8.9	< 0.05
Nelson <i>et al</i> . (1975)	974 (crossbred beef	Rectal palpation	Fresh	62.7	62.2	2	- 0.5	>0.05
	and heifers)	at 45 to 50 days	Fozen		70.6	3	7.9	< 0.05
Revell (1993)	282 (dairy and beef cows)	Rectal palpation at 56 days	Frozen	57.1	59.2	3	2.1	>0.05
Albreacht et al. (2004)	173 (Nelore)	Ultrasound	Frozen	61.0	60.0	2	-1	>0.05
Azmal <i>et al</i> . (2004)	168 (Holstein)	Calving rate	Fresh	50.8	64.3	3	13.5	>0.05
Almeida Garcia de <i>et al</i> . (2017)	506 (Nelore)	Ultrasound	Frozen	53.0	56.0	3	3	>0.05

Table 4 Summary of studies that compared homo- and heterospermic semen on fertility in cattle

^aBased on 508 heterosepermic insemination.

^bBased on 160 heterosepermic insemination.

bull fertility and specific sperm attributes such as motility, acrosome integrity, DNA integrity, plasma membrane integrity, motility, and oxidative stress (Saacke *et al.*, 1980; Ballachey *et al.*, 1988; Kasimanickam *et al.*, 2006), and those attributes were correlated to fertility.

There are a comparatively small number of published studies that have compared in field trials the fertility following homo and heterospermic inseminations and these are summarised in Table 4. A feature of many of the studies was the small numbers of cows inseminated with the heterospermic semen and the large numbers of cows in some studies inseminated with the homospermic semen. This makes interpretation of the data somewhat problematical as it over powers the study for statistical purposes. Five of the studies recorded similar CRs following homo- and heterospermic insemination while three of the studies recorded significant improvements in CRs following heterospermic insemination. In two of these latter studies the numbers of cows (n = 508; Beatty et al., 1969 and 160; Stewart et al., 1974) inseminated with heterospermic semen was small compared with the number of control homospermic inseminated cows. Based on the results summarised in Table 4, it is likely that heterospermic will give a small improvement in CR. However, it is clear that further large scale well designed studies with sufficient statistical power to comprehensively compare homo- and heterospermic insemination in cattle are required.

In pigs heterospermic insemination has been widely used in commercial practice but a few studies have compared its efficiency against homospermic insemination. In a recent study, Ferreira *et al.* (2014) recorded similar reproductive performance following homospermic and heterospermic inseminations, but did record differences in performance among boars undetected with homospermic AI were only evident following genotyping of piglets sired through heterospermic AI. In an earlier study, Godet *et al.* (1996) recorded reproductive performance on 617 litters from heterospermic insemination and on 621 litters from homospermic insemination showed that litter size at birth and farrowing rate were slightly but non-significantly higher with heterospermic semen than with homospermic semen (+0.24 alive born piglets, +0.26 total born piglets and +0.8 percentage increase in farrowing rate). Interestingly these authors point out that to be significant, these differences would require a four times as large sample.

Use of heterospermic insemination to evaluate fertility differences between males

The poor correlations between in-vitro semen tests and subsequent field fertility and the large numbers of inseminations required under field conditions to adequately test a male has proven problematical for decades. Beatty (1960) in rabbits and Beatty et al. (1969) in cattle were the first to advocate that heterospermic insemination could be used to evaluate fertility differences between males. Usually, semen is mixed such that sperm numbers from each of the competing males are equal and it is presumed that paternity of the offspring from such inseminates should also be equal among competing males. Therefore, the outcome of such a competitive fertilisation trial is not per cent fertility or CR as in a homospermic study, rather, it is the ratio of offspring based on their paternity. This ratio is usually converted to a CI which is given to each sire being evaluated. One of the advantages of this technique is it overcomes the masking influences of variation in female fertility due to such factors as: (i) Management or health of the female, (ii) inseminator competence, (iii) sperm numbers in the inseminate dose, (iv) environmental and herd factors. This is because only females conceiving and giving birth provide data and the question is simply the paternity of the offspring. Offspring colour marking and single nucleotide polymorphisms are now the methods of choice to link individual offspring to sire.

Timing of ovulation and time of insemination

The objective of an insemination is to ensure that there is an adequate reservoir of competent, capacitated, motile sperm in the caudal region of the oviductal isthmus, at the time of ovulation to ensure the highest possible fertilisation rate. The viable lifespan of the sperm in the bovine female tract has not been accurately determined, reflecting the technical difficulty in quantifying it and also because an inseminate is a

heterogeneous population of cells each with different lifespan. Furthermore, it is uncertain whether the viable lifespan of the sperm represents an intrinsic property of the sperm cell or whether it is influenced by the uterine environment. From data derived from mated animals Laing (1945) and Trimberger and Davis (1943) the estimated average lifespan of bull sperm is about 30 h. However, there is likely to be some variation about this mean of 30 h. An interval of 6 to 12 h has been estimated for the sperm to get from the site of semen deposition to the caudal region of the oviductal isthmus (Hawk, 1987).

The cattle ovum would appear to have a short lifespan after ovulation before degenerative changes are initiated. Estimates of the lifespan of the ovulated ovum vary from 8 to 12 h (Laing, 1945; Casida 1950) to 20 to 24 h (Thibault, 1967). In the latter study the longer interval is probably related to the maximum period during which penetration of the zona pellucida may be found rather than the shorter interval during which normal fertilisation can occur. Dalton et al. (2001), using HeatWatch technology to pinpoint heat onset, examined the relationship between time of insemination, fertilisation rate and embryo quality in single ovulating dairy cows. Cows were inseminated (25 million sperm) at 2.0, 12.1 and 24.2 h after heat onset. Median accessory sperm numbers were greatest in embryos recovered following the insemination at 24 h (mean \pm SD of 33.0 \pm 52.7) and were lowest following the insemination at 2 h (mean \pm SD of 9.5 ± 23.1). Fertilisation rates were 66% and 82% when cows were inseminated at 2 and 24 h following the onset of oestrus, respectively. Interestingly, embryo guality declined with increasing intervals after onset of oestrus. They concluded that insemination at 12 h after onset of oestrus provided a compromise in terms of maximising fertilisation and embryo survival rates and this would agree with data from Dransfield et al. (1998), in which the optimal time for insemination was 4 to 16 h after oestrus onset. Dalton et al. (2001) suggested that embryo quality following late insemination may be impaired due to an ageing ovum at the time of fertilisation.

The application of both electronic methods of oestrous detection and ovarian ultrasound allowed for better estimates of time of ovulation relative to oestrous onset. For dairy cows estimates of the mean interval $(\pm SD)$ from heat onset to ovulation was recorded 27.6 ±5.4 h (Walker et al., 1996), 28.7 ± 8.1 (Valenza et al., (2012) and, 26.4 ± 5.3 h (Roelofs et al., 2005). For beef cows the average interval from the onset of oestrus to ovulation is about 31 h (30.8 h; Yelich et al., 1999, 31.1 h; White et al., 2002) and would appear to be somewhat shorter for beef heifers (27.4 h; Lynch et al., 2010). However, all of these studies report significant variation (consistent standard deviations of 5 to 6 h) around these mean values. In the study of White et al. (2002) time of ovulation after the onset of oestrus ranged from 21.5 to 42.8 h with 64% of cows ovulating between 28 and 33 h after the onset of oestrus. In the study of Lynch et al. (2010) the range in time of ovulation, relative to oestrous onset, was between 16.4 and 46.4 h. These differences might suggest that the optimal time of insemination may be earlier for beef cows compared with either dairy cows or beef heifers. However, in practice, the exact time of oestrous onset is rarely known and combined with the inter-animal variation in timing of ovulation it is not practical to recommend an exact timing for insemination.

The early pioneering work of Trimberger and Davis (1943) and Trimberger (1948) showed that maximum CRs were obtained when cows were inseminated from midway to the end of standing oestrus. Satisfactory results were also obtained when inseminations were performed during the 6 h following the end of standing oestrus. This work lead to the well-established and recommended 'am-pm' rule which still stands. However, in practice and particularly in herds employing an inseminator service, cows are inseminated at a similar time each day. Nebel et al. (1994) found that there was no difference in non-return rates in cows inseminated after the a.m./p.m. rule or on a once-daily basis. Similarly, the data of Lynch et al. (2010) would guestion the need for following the a.m./p.m. particularly if heat detection is accurate, insemination technique is good and semen fertility is high. Equally, these latter studies question the benefit of using more than one insemination on the same cows to enhance fertility particularly when heat detection is inaccurate regarding time of heat onset.

Acknowledgements

The Author was in receipt of support from Science Foundation Ireland, Proposal ID: 13/IA/2025.

Declaration of Interest

None.

Ethics statement

No required as this is a review of already published information.

Software and data repository resources

None involved.

References

Albreacht A, Cavia R, Larraburu G, Garcia Migliaro F and Brogliatti G 2004. Heterospermic insemination at two concentrations in timed AI: CASA semen parameters and pregnancy rates. Reproduction Fertility and Development 17, 154. (Abstract).

Almeida Garcia de R, Faria Carvalho FJ, Fernandes Carvalho De CA, Santos Diniz dos M and Costa Sampaio D 2017. Use of heterospermic semen in fixed-time artificial insemination of nelore cows. Revista Electronica de Veterinaria 18, 1–9.

Azmal SA, Amin MR, Khatun H, Islam MS, Mostari MP and Goswami PC 2004. Relative merits of homo and heterospermic bull semen in respect of preservation quality. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 7, 1908–1911.

Ballachey BE, Evenson DP and Saacke RG 1988. The sperm chromatin structure assay: relationship with alternate tests of semen quality and heterospermic performance of bulls. Journal of Andrology 9, 109–115.

Beatty RA 1960. Fertility of mixed semen from different rabbits. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 1, 52–60.

Beatty RA, Bennett GH, Hall JG, Hancock JL and Stewart DL 1969. An experiment with heterospermic insemination in cattle. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 19, 491–502.

Brown DW Jr, Senger PL and Becker WC 1991. Effect of group thawing on postthaw viability of bovine spermatozoa packaged in .5-milliliter French straws. Journal of Animal Science 69, 2303–2309.

Carvalho PD, Souza AH, Dresch AR, Vieira LM, Hackbart KS, Luchini D, Bertics S, Betzold N, Wiltbank MC and Shaver RD 2012. Placement of semen in uterine horns failed to improve fertilization rates in superovulated Holstein cows. Journal of Dairy Science 95 (suppl. 2), 575. (Abstract).

Carvalho PD, Souza AH, Sartori R, Hackbart KS, Dresch AR, Vieira LM, Baruselli PS, Guenther JN, Fricke PM, Shaver RD and Wiltbank MC 2013. Effects of deep-horn AI on fertilization and embryo production in superovulated cows and heifers. Theriogenology 80, 1074–1081.

Casida LE 1950. The repeat breeder cow. Vlaams Diergeneesk, Tijdschr 19, 273–283.

Correa JR, Rodriguez MC, Patterson DJ and Zavos PM 1996. Thaving and processing of cryopreserved bovine spermatozoa at various temperatures and their effects on sperm viability, osmotic shock and sperm membrane functional integrity. Theriogenology 46, 413–420.

Dalton JC, Ahmadzadeh A, Shafii B, Price WJ and DeJarnette JM 2004. Effect of thawing multiple 0.5-mL semen straws and sequential insemination number on conception rates in dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 87, 972–975.

Dalton JC, Nadir S, Bame JH, Noftsinger M, Nebel RL and Saacke RG 2001. Effect of time of insemination on number of accessory sperm, fertilization rate, and embryo quality in nonlactating dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 84, 2413–2418.

DeJarnette JM, Marchall CE, Lenz RW, Monke DR, Ayars WH and Sattler CG 2004. Sustaining the fertility of artificially inseminated dairy cattle: the role of artificial insemination industry. Journal of Dairy Science 87 (E. suppl.), E93–E104.

DeJarnette JM, Shepard RW, Kaproth MT, Michael NA, Dalton JC, Goodell GM and Lee CN 2002. Effects of sequential insemination number after batchthawing on conception rates of cryopreserved bovine semen: a review. In Proceedings of the 19th Technical Conference on Artificial Insemination and Reproduction, 23–24 August, NAAB, Columbia, Missouri, USA, pp. 102–110.

Diskin MG, Pursley R, Kenny DA, Mee JF, Corridan D and Sreenan JM. 2005. The effect of deep intrauterine placement of semen on conception rate in dairy cows. Proceedings Agricultural Research Forum, 14–15 March, Tullamore, Ireland, p. 29.

Diskin MG, Waters SM, Parr MH and Kenny DA 2016. Pregnancy losses in cattle: potential for improvement. Reproduction Fertility and Development 28, 83–93.

Dransfield MBG, Nebel RL, Pearson RE and Warnick LD 1998. Timing of insemination for dairy cows identified in estrus by a radiotelemetric estrus detection system. Journal of Dairy Science 81, 1874–1882.

Elliott FI 1944. Studies on some problems related to the successful artificial insemination of dairy cattle. PhD thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY.

Fernández-VanCleve J, Zavos PM, Heersche G Jr and Miksch DE 1986. The influence of site of semen deposition on conception in artificially inseminated (AI) cows and heifers. Journal of Animal Science 63 (suppl. 1), 65. (Abstract).

Ferreira CER, Savio DB, Guarise AC, Flach MJ, Gastal GDA, Goncalves AO, Dellagostin AO, Alonso RV, Bianchi I, Corcini CD and Lucia T. Jr 2014. Contribution of boars to reeproductive performance and paternity after homospermic and heterospermic artificial insemination. Reproduction, Fertility and Development 27, 1012–1019.

Gallagher GR and Senger PL 1989. Concentrations of spermatozoa in the vagina of heifers after deposition of semen in the uterine horns, uterine body or cervix. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 86, 19–25.

Garcia Serpa VG, Méndez–Ochoa RA and Lucero Galarza DA 2015. Comparison between intracornual artificial insemination and uterine body deposition in Holstein-Friesian heifers. Review de Producción Animal 27, 25–27.

Godet G, Mercat MJ and Runavot JP 1996. Effect of heterospermic insemination on reproductive performance of the sow. Reproduction in Domestic Animal 31, 313–315.

Goodell G 2000. Comparison of AI pregnancy rates in dairy cattle by order of preparation of insemination straws. Journal of Animal Science 78 (suppl. 1), 229. (Abstract).

Graves WM, Dowlen HH, Kiess GA and Riley TL 1991. Evaluation of uterine body and bilateral uterine horn insemination terchnigues. Journal of Dairy Science 7, 3454–3456.

Grieger DG, Lamb GC, Rozell TG, Thompson KE and Stevenson JS 1998. Site of semen deposition and fertility in beef cows inseminated according to estrus or at a fixed time after synchronization with GnRH-PGF2 α . Journal of Animal Science 76 (suppl.1), 279. (Abstract).

Hawk HW 1987. Transport and fate of spermatozoa after insemination of cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 70, 1487–1503.

Hess EA, Ludwick T, Rickard HC and Ely F 1958. Some of the effects of heterospermic processing on semen quality and bovine fertility. Fertility and Sterility 9, 238.

Kaproth MT, Parks JE, Grambo GC, Rycroft HE, Hertl JA and Gröhn YT 2002. Effect of preparing and loading multiple insemination guns on conception rate in two large commercial dairy herds. Theriogenology 57, 909–921.

Kasimanickam R, Nebel RL, Peeler ID, Silvia WL, Wolfe KT, McAllister AJ and Cassell BG 2006. Breed differences in competitive indices of Holstein and Jersey bulls and their association with sperm DNA fragmentation index and plasma membrane integrity. Theriogenology 66, 1307–1315.

Knight CW, Patrick TE, Anderson HW and Branton C 1951. The relation of site of semen deposit to breeding efficiency of dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 34, 199–202.

Kurykin JJ, Aakma U, Majas L, Jalakas M, Aidnik M, Waldmann A and Padrik P 2003. Fixed time deep intracornual insemination of heifers at synchronised estrus. Theriogenology 60, 1261–1268.

Laing JA 1945. Observations on the survival time of the spermatozoa in the genital tract of the cow and its relationship with fertility. Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge 35, 72–83.

Lang-Ree JR 1992. Influence of site of insemination on bovine fertility. XII International Congress Animal Reproduction and Artificial Insemination, The Hague, p. 1572. (Abstract).

Lee CN, Huang TZ and Sagayaga AB. 1997. Conception rates in dairy cattle are affected by the number of semen straws thawed for breeding. Journal of Dairy Science 80 (suppl. 1), 151. (Abstract).

López-Gatius F 2000. Site of semen deposition in cattle: a review. Theriogenology 53, 1407–1414.

López-Gatius F and Camón-Urgel J 1988. Increase of pregnancy rate in dairy cattle after preovulatory follicle palpation and deep intrauterine insemination. Theriogenology 29, 1099–1103.

Lyashenko A 2015. Effect of different thawing procedures on the quality and fertility of bull spermatozoa. Asian Pacific Journal of Reproduction 41, 17–21.

Lynch CO, Kenny DA, Childs S and Diskin MG 2010. The relationship between periovulatory endocrine and follicular activity on corpus luteum size, function, and subsequent embryo survival. Theriogenology 73, 190–198.

Macpherson JW 1968. Semen placement effects on fertility in bovines. Journal of Dairy Science 51, 807.

McKenna TR, Lenz W, Fenton SE and Ax RL 1990. Nonreturn rates of dairy cattle following uterine body or corneal insemination. Journal of Dairy Science 73, 1779–1783.

Meirelles C, Kozicki LE, Weiss RR, Segui MS, Souza A, dos Santos IW and dos Santos Breda JC 2012. Comparison between deep intracornual artificial insemination (DIAI) and conventional artificial insemination (AI) using low concentration of spermatozoa in beef cattle. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology 55, 371–374.

Momont HW, Seguin BE, Singh G and Stasiuknas E 1989. Does intrauterine site of insemination in cattle really matter? Theriogenology 32, 19–26.

Napier RAN 1961a. Fertility in the male rabbit. Il Variation in the percentage of eggs fertilized. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 2, 260–272.

Napier RAN 1961b. Fertility in the male rabbit. III Estimation of spermatozoa quality by mixed insemination and inheritance of spermatozoan characters. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 2, 273–280.

Nebel RL, Walker WL, McGilliard ML, Allen CH and Heckman GS 1994. Timing of artificial insemination of dairy cows: fixed time once daily versus morning and afternoon. Journal of Dairy Science 77, 3185–3191.

Nelson LD, Pickett BW and Seidel GE 1975. Effect of heterospermic insemination on fertility of cattle. Journal of Animal Science 40, 1124–1129.

Olds D, Seath DM, Carpenter MC and Lucas HL 1953. Interrelationships between site of deposition, dosage, and number of spermatozoa in diluted semen and fertility of dairy cows inseminated artificially. Journal of Dairy Science 36, 1031–1035.

Oliveira LZ, Arruda RP, de Andrade AFC, Santos RM, Beletti ME, Peres RFG, Martins JPN and Hossepian de Lima VFM 2012. Effect of sequence of insemination after simultaneous thawing of multiple semen straws on conception rate to timed AI in suckled multiparous Nelore cows. Theriogenology 78, 1800–1813.

Peters JL, Senger PL, Rosenberger JL and O'Connor ML 1984. Radiographic evaluation of bovine artificial inseminating technique among professional and herdsman-inseminators using .5 and .25-mL French straws. Journal of Animal Science 59, 1671–1683.

Pursley JR 2004. Deep uterine horn Al improves fertility of lactating dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 87 (suppl. 1), 372. (Abstract).

Revell SG 1993. Heterospermic insemination of cattle using three bulls of the same bred. The Veterinary Record 133, 20.

Roelofs JB, van Eerdenburg FJCM, Soede NM and Kemp B 2005. Various behavioral signs of estrous and their relationship with time of ovulation in dairy cattle. Theriogenology 63, 1366–1377.

Saacke RG 1974. Concepts in semen packaging and use. In Proceedings of the Eight Conference on Artificial Insemination of Beef cattle, 11–14 August, Toronto Canada, pp. 11–19.

Saacke RG, Vinson WE, O'Connor ML, Chandler JE, Mullins J and Amann RP. 1980. The relationship of semen quality and fertility: A heterospermic study. In Proceedings National Association of Animal Breeders 8th Technical Conference Artificial Insemination and Reproduction, Columbia, Missouri, USA, pp. 71–78.

Salisbury GW and VanDemark NL 1951. The effect of cervical, uterine and cornual insemination on fertility of the dairy cow. Journal of Dairy Science 34, 68–74.

Seidel GE, Schenk JL, Herickhoff LA, Doyle SA, Brink Z, Green RD and Cran DG 1999. Insemination of heifers with sexed semen. Theriogenology 52, 1407–1420.

Seidel GE and Schenk JL 2008. Pregnancy rates in cattle with cryopreserved sexed sperm: effects of sperm numbers per inseminate and site of sperm deposition. Animal Reproduction Science 105, 129–138.

Seidel GE 2011. Profitable uses of sex-sorted semen. In Procceedings Applied Reproductive Strategies in Beef Cattle. Joplin Missouri, USA, pp. 349–352.

Senger PL 1980. Handling frozen bovine semen-factors which influence viability and fertility. Theriogenology 13, 51–62.

Senger PL, Becker WC, Davidge ST, Hillers JK and Reeves JJ 1988. Influence of cornual insemination on conception rate in dairy cattle. Journal of Animal Science 66, 3010–3016.

Sprenger MJ, DeJarnette JM and Marshall CE 2001. Conception rates of sequential inseminations after batch-thawing of multiple straws of semen. A professional technician case study. Journal of Animal Science 79 (suppl. 1), 253. (Abstract).

Stewart DL and Melrose DR 1952. The comparative efficiency of intra-cervical and intra-uterine methods of insemination in the dairy cow. Veterinary Record 64, 605.

Stewart DL, Sponer RL, Bennett GH, Beatty RA and Hancock JL 1974. A second experiment with heterospermic insemination in cattle. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 36, 107–116.

Swain JB, Senger PL, Hillers JK and Tare WS 1986. Influence of x-ray retraining of herdsman inseminators upon conception in Washington dairy herds. Journal of Dairy Science 69 (suppl. 1), 169. (Abstract).

Thibault C 1967. Analyse compare de la fecundation et de anomalies chez la brebis, la vache et la lapine. Annales de Biologie Animale Biochemie Biophysique 7, 5–23.

Trimberger GW and Davis HP 1943. Conception in dairy cattle by artificial insemination at various stages of oestrus. Nebraska Agricultural Experimental Station Research Bulletin 129, 1–14.

Trimberger GW 1948. Breeding efficiency in dairy cattle from artificial insemination at various intervals before and after ovulation. Nebraska Agricultural Experimental Station Research Bulletin 153, 26.

Valenza A, Giordano GO, Lopes G Jr, Vincenti L, Amundson MC and Fricke PM 2012. Assessment of an accelerometer system for detection of estrus and treatment with gonadotropin-releasing hormone at the time of insemination in lactating dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 95, 7115–7127.

Walker WL, Nebel RL and McGilliard ML 1996. Time of ovulation relative to mounting activity in dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 79, 1555–1561.

White FJ, Wettemann RP, Looper ML, Prado TM and Morgan GL 2002. Seasonal effects on estrous behavior and time of ovulation in nonlactating beef cows. Journal of Animal Science 80, 3053–3059.

Williams BL, Gwazdauskas FC, Whittier WD, Pearson RE and Nebel RL 1988. Impact of site of inseminate deposition and environmental factors that influence reproduction of dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 71, 2278–2283.

Wright JDE 1964. The use of stains in the training and retraining of inseminators. Proceeding International Congress Animal Reproduction and Artificial Insemination 4, 636.

Yelich JV, Barnett CL, Fullenwider JK, Kempfer JR, Lemaster LW and Chase CC Jr 1999. Effect of season on behavioral estrus, ovulation, and estrous cycle length in Angus, Brahman and Senepol cows in a subtropical environment. Journal of Animal Science 77, 230. (Abstract).

Zavos PM, Johns JT, Heersche G Jr and Miksch DE 1985. Site of semen deposition and subsequent conception in synchronized and artificially inseminated (AI) beef heifers. Journal of Animal Science 61 (suppl. 1), 37. (Abstract).