



# Are new digital technologies and social media causing the spike in anxiety and depression in young people?

Ian B. Hickie<sup>1</sup>, Frances Kay Lambkin<sup>2</sup>, Louise La Sala<sup>3,4</sup>, Jo Robinson<sup>3,4</sup> and Frank Iorfino<sup>1</sup>

## Question

**Cite this article:** Hickie IB, Kay Lambkin F, La Sala L, Robinson J, and Iorfino F. Are new digital technologies and social media causing the spike in anxiety and depression in young people? *Research Directions: Depression*. 1, e14, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dep.2023.26>

Received: 30 October 2023  
Accepted: 30 October 2023

**Corresponding author:**  
Ian B. Hickie; Email: [ian.hickie@sydney.edu.au](mailto:ian.hickie@sydney.edu.au)

<sup>1</sup>Brain and Mind Centre, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia; <sup>2</sup>School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia; <sup>3</sup>Orygen, Parkville, VIC, Australia and <sup>4</sup>Centre for Youth Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia

The increasing rates of anxiety, depression and self-harm reported by young people in developed countries over the last decade has led to speculation about the associations between this evidence of deteriorating mental health and wellbeing and the rapid spread and use of new digital technologies, social media and personal messaging platforms (Orben and Przybylski, 2019; Nesi, 2020). It has become commonplace to assert that these rapid technological changes, and their associated adverse impacts on social group function and interpersonal behaviour, are the cause of these fundamental epidemiological shifts.

Further, there have been specific assertions that these new behaviours are causing changes in brain function (i.e., decreased attentional capacity, reduced emotional responsiveness, increased arousal to social stimuli) that may persist into later adult life and increase vulnerability to anxiety and depression over the life span (Firth et al., 2019; Korte, 2022; Firth et al., 2020). Other indirect effects of these platforms that may be relevant to brain function and subsequent risk of depression or other mood disorders include disturbances of sleep-wake cycles during critical developmental periods, reduced physical activity during daylight hours, reduced exposure to daylight and physical environments outside of home, school or study (indoor) environments and reduced participation in complex group interactions in the family, peer, social and wider educational environments (Firth et al., 2019; Alonzo et al., 2021; Maza et al., 2023).

Those engaged in more detailed epidemiological studies, however, have often noted that the assertions about causation are not necessarily supported by sound longitudinal data and often do not consider other social or environmental factors (e.g., decreasing social cohesion, increased concern regarding other factors such as climate change or economic security) that may be operative and preferentially affecting younger cohorts. Those engaged in other neurobiological studies, particularly during critical childhood and adolescent periods, may well dispute the notion that fundamental aspects of brain development are being altered by these changes in social and educational exposures (Maza et al., 2023).

What is not disputed is that new digital platforms have been associated with profound changes in the educational and social environments of young children – with many positive aspects also being recorded (Mantilla and Edwards, 2019). These include: devices that permit improved verbal, visual or other forms of direct communication; increased access to detailed information; increased connection to social contacts beyond the immediate physical setting; increased inclusion of those with communication, emotional or behavioural differences or impairments that had often led to their exclusion from other social opportunities; and, increased capacity to connect with those who may share characteristics that are not shared, promoted or tolerated by close family or kin.

What is now required is more detailed analyses of longitudinal cohort data and more sophisticated recording of the nature, dose and effects of new technology use, alongside potentially mediating effects of other social or environmental factors (against the background of important contributions of individual differences).

## How to contribute to this Question

If you believe you can contribute to answering this Question with your research outputs find out how to submit in the Instructions for authors (<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/research-directions-depression/information/author-instructions/preparing-your-materials>). This journal publishes Results, Analyses, Impact papers and additional content such as preprints and “grey literature.” Questions will be closed when the editors agree that enough has been published to answer the Question so before submitting, check if this is still an active Question. If it is closed, another relevant Question may be currently open, so do review all the open Questions in your field. For any further queries check the information pages (<https://www.cambridge.org/core/jou>

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



rnals/research-directions-depression/information) or contact this email ([depression@cambridge.org](mailto:depression@cambridge.org)).

**Competing interests.** Ian Hickie is the Co-Director, Health and Policy at the Brain and Mind Centre (BMC) University of Sydney. The BMC operates an early-intervention youth services at Camperdown under contract to headspace. He is the Chief Scientific Advisor to, and a 3.2% equity shareholder in, InnoWell Pty Ltd which aims to transform mental health services through the use of innovative technologies.

## References

- Alonzo R, Hussain J, Stranges S and Anderson KK** (2021) Interplay between social media use, sleep quality, and mental health in youth: A systematic review. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* **56**, 101414.
- Firth JA, Torous J and Firth J** (2020) Exploring the impact of internet use on memory and attention processes. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **17**(24), 9481.
- Firth J, Torous J, Stubbs B, Firth JA, Steiner GZ, Smith L, Alvarez-Jimenez M, Gleeson J, Vancampfort D, Armitage CJ and Sarris J** (2019) The “online brain”: How the Internet may be changing our cognition. *World Psychiatry* **18**(2), 119–129.
- Korte M** (2022) The impact of the digital revolution on human brain and behavior: Where do we stand? *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience* **22**(2), 101–111.
- Mantilla A and Edwards S** (2019) Digital technology use by and with young children: A systematic review for the Statement on Young Children and Digital Technologies. *Australasian Journal of Early Childhood* **44**(2), 182–195.
- Maza MT, Fox KA, Kwon S-J, Flannery JE, Lindquist KA, Prinstein MJ and Telzer EH** (2023) Association of habitual checking behaviors on social media with longitudinal functional brain development. *JAMA Pediatrics* **177**(2), 160–167.
- Nesi J** (2020) The impact of social media on youth mental health: Challenges and opportunities. *North Carolina Medical Journal* **81**(2), 116–121.
- Orben A and Przybylski AK** (2019) The association between adolescent well-being and digital technology use. *Nature Human Behaviour* **3**(2), 173–182.