Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis

Effect of increasing dietary calcium through supplements and dairy food on body weight and body composition: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials

Alison O. Booth¹, Catherine E. Huggins², Naiyana Wattanapenpaiboon¹ and Caryl A. Nowson¹*

¹Centre for Physical Activity and Nutrition Research, School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Deakin University, 221, Burwood Highway, Burwood, VIC 3125, Australia

²Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia

(Submitted 25 November 2014 – Final revision received 2 April 2015 – Accepted 9 April 2015 – First published online 3 August 2015)

Abstract

NS British Journal of Nutrition

This meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials assessed the effect of Ca on body weight and body composition through supplementation or increasing dairy food intake. Forty-one studies met the inclusion criteria (including fifty-one trial arms; thirty-one with dairy foods (n 2091), twenty with Ca supplements (n 2711). Ca intake was approximately 900 mg/d higher in the supplement groups compared with control. In the dairy group, Ca intake was approximately 1300 mg/d. Ca supplementation did not significantly affect body weight (mean change (-0.17, 95% CI -0.70, 0.37) kg) or body fat (mean change (-0.19, 95% CI -0.51, 0.13) kg) compared to control. Similarly, increased dairy food intake did not affect body weight (-0.06, 95% CI -0.54, 0.43) kg or body fat change (-0.36, 95% CI -0.80, 0.09) kg compared to control. Sub-analyses revealed that dairy supplementation resulted in no change in body weight (nineteen studies, n 1010) (-0.32, 95% CI -0.93, 0.30 kg, P=0.31), but a greater reduction in body fat (thirteen studies, n 564) (-0.96, 95% CI -1.46, -0.46 kg, P < 0.001) in the presence of energy restriction over a mean of 4 months compared to control. Increasing dietary Ca intake by 900 mg/d as supplements or increasing dairy intake to approximately 3 servings daily (approximately 1300 mg of Ca/d) is not an effective weight reduction strategy in adults. There is, however, an indication that approximately 3 servings of dairy may facilitate fat loss on weight reduction diets in the short term.

Key words: Calcium: Body weight: Body composition: Meta-analysis

Approximately 1.6 billion adults are overweight globally and 400 million of these are $obese^{(1,2)}$. Obesity can lead to serious health consequences, including CVD, type 2 diabetes and some cancers, which places considerable burden on health services. Weight reduction can improve the health of overweight individuals. Even modest reduction of 5% in body weight (3 kg with a body weight of 65 kg) can significantly reduce the risk of disease⁽³⁾. While it is generally accepted that energy balance plays the major role in weight management, an increasing number of studies have explored the potential role of Ca in weight $loss^{(4-8)}$. The results of several cross-sectional epidemiological studies have indicated that dietary Ca may be associated with lower body weight and/ or adiposity, such that a diet rich in Ca may attenuate weight gain^(6,9-11). Possible mechanisms have also been explored^(6,12) and include the potential to increase fecal fat excretion^(13,14), the suppression of calcitriol levels⁽¹⁵⁾ and improving insulin sensitivity^(16,17), which has been related to reducing fat deposition. Few randomised controlled trials have been undertaken to specifically investigate if increasing dietary Ca reduces body weight^(4,8,18,19).

This review comprehensively and systematically analyses the available evidence assessing the effects of dairy and added Ca supplementation on body weight. This extends an earlier systematic review of thirteen studies published up to $2004^{(7)}$, which found no significant effect of Ca on weight loss. Since 2004, several additional published studies have reported on the effects of increased Ca intake (through Ca or dairy supplementation) on changes in body weight and/ or body composition, including twenty-seven randomised controlled trials with 4000 participants. Whilst there has been three subsequent reviews^(20–22), these have limited

* Corresponding author: Professor C. A. Nowson, fax +61 3 9244 6017, email caryl.nowson@deakin.edu.au

generalisability due to the strict inclusion criteria. Onakpova et al.⁽²⁰⁾ conducted a meta-analysis on a subset of seven studies, each providing Ca supplementation that had body weight as the primary outcome and found that Ca supplementation resulted in a small but significant reduction in body weight and body fat. However, this study excluded several studies (total of 1963 participants) that had bone health or other factor as a primary outcome. In contrast, two metaanalyses have been published since 2012 on dairy food supplementation and body weight and found no overall effect on body weight in the long $term^{(21,22)}$. The limitations of these reviews include the narrow selection of included studies (half of available published studies)⁽²¹⁾; and inclusion of studies with intervention periods of very short duration (<12 weeks' duration)⁽²²⁾. This systematic review encompasses a broader range of studies with the aim of addressing these limitations. Meta-analysis was used to determine if increased Ca in the form of Ca supplementation or increased dairy food intake consumed for at least 12 weeks leads to a decrease in body weight.

Methods

NS British Journal of Nutrition

Literature search

Electronic databases Medline, EMBASE and CINAHL were searched from 1994 to December 2014 as well as the Complete Cochrane Library Database of Controlled Trials from 1800 (earliest record) to December 2014. Search terms included 'calcium' or 'dairy' with 'weight' or 'composition' or 'fat'. These terms encompassed 'calcium supplement(s)', 'calcium supplementation', 'dairy products', 'milk', 'cheese', 'yoghurt', 'body weight', 'body fat' and 'body composition' (online Supplementary Fig. S1). Only articles published in full length and in English were selected.

Study quality, inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria included: randomised controlled trial of Ca supplements or increased dairy products and intervention in adults over the age of 18 years that assessed changes in body weight, with or without data on body composition. To ensure quality of included studies, studies were only included if the intervention length was at least 12 weeks; Ca supplementation was of at least 300 mg/d; increased dairy intake provided an increase of at least 300 mg of Ca/d; and Ca intake was at least 300 mg higher than the control group. Studies in which the Ca was supplemented in the form of non-dairy-based fortified foodstuffs or powder were included in the same category as Ca supplements. Studies in pregnant or lactating women were excluded as well as populations with severe illnesses such as cancer.

Study selection

A summary of study selection and exclusion is outlined in Fig. 1. Abstracts were reviewed independently by at least two reviewers (including C. E. H., N. W. and A. O. B.). Any disputes were assessed by a fourth reviewer (C. A. N.).

Five studies were excluded that did not report body weight at two time points^(23–28) and one^(23–28) reported in graph format that could not be estimated. Two of these studies^(29,30) reported body weight at study completion in related studies already found in the initial search and were included in the analysis. Of the remaining four studies that reported body weight at baseline but not study completion, authors were contacted for data to include in the analysis and one author was able to provide the relevant data on body weight⁽²³⁾; thus, this study was added back into the included studies. Twenty-nine studies with thirty-two trial arms provided information on body composition.

Data extraction

Relevant data were extracted and, wherever necessary, weight was converted and reported as kg. Where mean changes were reported graphically with variance⁽³¹⁾, the graph was magnified 600 × and values were estimated by the authors (N. W.). Where studies reported data separately for males and females, the data were combined^(32,33). For studies that reported body weight at exit, change from baseline standard deviation was imputed using a correlation coefficient⁽³⁴⁾ (Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions 16.1.3.2)⁽³²⁾. Where mean change data were not reported in the required format, the authors were contacted⁽⁶⁾. A correlation value of r 0.6 was used as it is considered a conservative estimate of correlation between baseline and end body weights.

Statistical analysis

Review Manager (RevMan, version 5.3) was used to conduct meta-analyses with the change in body weight (kg) and body fat (kg) as the outcome. Separate analyses were conducted by intervention type: dairy food or Ca supplement. Subgroup analysis was performed for studies that included dietary energy restriction. Where variance was reported as sE or CI, SD was calculated. Random effects models in which the trials were assumed to be a random sample from a population of trials (both actual and potential) were used⁽³⁵⁾. All treatment effects are presented with 95% CI. A funnel plot was used to detect the possibility of publication bias, and Egger's regression test⁽³⁶⁾ to measure funnel plot asymmetry was performed using SAS (version 9.3; SAS Institute, Inc.).

Results

Forty-one studies were identified that met the inclusion criteria. Of these, ten used Ca supplementation only, twentyfive used dairy product supplementation only and six used both Ca and dairy product supplementation. Study characteristics are summarised in Table 1 (Ca supplementation) and Table 2 (dairy product supplementation). Of the forty-one studies, fifty-one intervention trial arms were included; in thirty-three studies, two trial arms were included (intervention, control); in six studies, three trial arms were included (one dairy intervention group, one Ca supplement group and a



Table 1. Study characteristics (intervention with calcium supplements)

Study	Country	Number of participants*	Sex, population characteristics	Age (years)	Ca dose (mg/d)	Study length	Energy restricted diet?	Main outcome
Jensen <i>et al.</i> (2001) ⁽⁴²⁾	Denmark	52 (24)	Female (obese,	NA	1000†‡	6 months	Yes	Bone
Major <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽⁴⁸⁾ §	Canada	63 (30)	Female (overweight, obese)	42	1000	15 weeks	Yes	Lipids, glucose, blood pressure
Shalileh <i>et al.</i> (2010) ⁽⁵⁶⁾ ¶	Iran	40 (20)	Mixed (overweight, obese)	37	1000	24 weeks	Yes	Body weight + body composition
Shapses <i>et al.</i> (2004) ⁽⁴⁾ ¶	USA	22 (11)	Female (obese, postmenopausal)	56	1000**††	25 weeks	Yes	Bone
Smilowitz <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽³⁹⁾	USA	61 (16)	Mixed (overweight, obese)	25	900	12 weeks	Yes	Body composition + lipids
Wagner <i>et al.</i> (2007A) ⁽⁴¹⁾	USA	58 (12)	Female (obese, overweight, premenopausal)	40	800**	12 weeks	Yes	Body weight + bone
Wagner <i>et al.</i> (2007B) ⁽⁴¹⁾	USA	58 (16)	Female (obese, overweight, premenopausal)	42	800‡‡	12 weeks	Yes	Body weight + bone
Zemel <i>et al.</i> (2004) ⁽³⁷⁾ ¶	USA	41 (13)	Mixed (obese)	46	800	24 weeks	Yes	Body weight
Zemel <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽⁴⁰⁾ ¶	USA	106 (36)	Mixed (overweight, obese, BMI 25–34·9 kg/m ² , Ca intake < 800 mg/d)	25	900	12 weeks	Yes	Body composition
Zhu <i>et al.</i> (2013) ⁽⁵⁷⁾	China	43 (22)	Mixed (18–25 years, overweight or obese, Ca intake < 600 mg/d)	20	600	12 weeks	Yes	Body weight + body composition
Manios <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽³⁰⁾	Greece	101 (39)	Female (postmenopausal)	62	1200 §§	12 months	No	Bone
Palacios et al. (2011) ⁽³⁸⁾ ¶	Puerto Rico	25 (9)	Mixed (obese)	35 (Ca) 39 (Placebo)	600	21 weeks	No	Body composition
Reid <i>et al.</i> (2005) ⁽⁴⁶⁾ ¶	New Zealand	1741 (732)	Female (postmenopausal, >55 years)	74	1000**	30 months	No	Bone
Reid <i>et al.</i> (2010) ⁽³¹⁾ ¶	New Zealand	215 (108)	Male (over 40 years)	56	600**	24 months	No	Bone, lipids, blood pressure
Reid <i>et al.</i> (2010) ⁽³¹⁾ ¶	New Zealand	215 (108)	Male (over 40 years)	57	1200**	24 months	No	Bone, lipids, blood pressure
Rosenblum <i>et al.</i> (2012) ⁽⁵⁴⁾	USA	131 (66)	Mixed (overweight, obese)	40	350‡‡§§	16 weeks	No	Body weight + body composition
Shapses <i>et al.</i> (2004) ⁽⁴⁾ ¶	USA	36 (17)	Female (obese, postmenopausal)	59	1000**††	25 weeks	No	Bone
Shapses <i>et al.</i> (2004) ⁽⁴⁾ ¶	USA	42 (18)	Female (obese, premenopausal)	41	1000**††	25 weeks	No	Bone
Winters-Stone & Snow (2004) ⁽⁴⁷⁾ ¶	USA	23 (13)	Female (athletes)	25	1000	12 months	No	Bone
Yanovski <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽¹⁸⁾ ¶	USA	340 (168)	Mixed	39	1500	24 months	No	Body weight

NA, not available.

*Numbers in parentheses indicate number in the intervention group.

† Calcium gluconate.

‡Calcium lactobionate.

§ Reported body composition, method not stated.

|| Calcium carbonate.

["] Measured body composition via dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry.

** Calcium citrate.

†† Calcium citrate malate.

^{‡‡}Calcium phosphate.

§§ Calcium lactate gluconate.



Table 2. Study characteristics (supplementation with dairy products)

Study	Country	Number of participants*	Sex, population characteristics	Age (years)†	Ca amount and dairy type	Study length	Energy- restricted diet?	Main outcome
Bowen <i>et al.</i> (2004) ⁽⁵⁸⁾ Frestedt <i>et al.</i> (2008) ⁽⁵⁹⁾ ¶	Australia USA	50 (25) 59 (31)	Mixed (obese) Mixed (obese, BMI 30–42 kɑ/m²)	48 43	2400 mg/d‡§ 482 mg/d**	12 weeks 12 weeks	Yes Yes	Bone Body weight + body composition
Gilbert <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁶⁰⁾ ¶	Canada	41 (13)	Female	42	1000 mg/d‡	6 months	Yes	Body weight + appetite
Harvey-Berino <i>et al.</i> (2005) ⁽⁸⁾ ¶	USA	54 (25)	Mixed (fifty female, four male, overweight or obese)	45	3–4 portions, 1200–1400 mg/d††‡‡§§	12 months	Yes	Body weight
Jones <i>et al.</i> (2013) ⁽⁶¹⁾	Canada	38 (20)	Mixed (overweight, obese. BMI 27–37 kg/m ²)	50-52	1400 mg/d‡§	12 weeks	Yes	Body weight + appetite
Josse <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁶²⁾	Canada	60 (30)	Female (overweight, obese)	19-45	1840 mg/d	16 weeks	Yes	Body composition
Rosado et al. (2011) ⁽⁶³⁾	Mexico	139 (46)	Female (obese)	34	1215 mg/d‡	16 weeks	Yes	Body weight
Smilowitz et al. (2011) ⁽³⁹⁾	USA	61 (16)	Mixed (overweight, obese)	25	3 portions, 1400 mg/dt+t±§§	12 weeks	Yes	Body composition + lipids
Tanaka <i>et al.</i> (2014) ⁽⁴⁵⁾	Japan	102 (98)	Male (overweight, obese)	42	667 mg/d Ca	24 weeks	Yes	Waist, blood pressure + lipids
					400 g/d Dairy††‡‡§§			
Thomas <i>et al.</i> (2010) ⁽⁶⁴⁾ ¶	USA	29 (14)	Female (overweight)	36	>1200 mg/d‡§	16 weeks	Yes	Body composition + bone
Thompson <i>et al.</i> (2005) ⁽²⁾ ¶	USA	59 (30)	Mixed (obese)	41-42	4 servings/d (at least 2 of milk)††¶¶	48 weeks	Yes	Body weight
Torres <i>et al.</i> (2010) ⁽⁶⁵⁾	Brazil	50 (19)	Mixed (obese)	38-43	1230 mg/d***	16 weeks	Yes	Body composition + lipids
Torres et al. (2013) ⁽⁶⁶⁾	Brazil	35 (18)	Mixed (obese)	41-44	1246 mg/d***	16 weeks	Yes	Biomarkers of inflammation
Van Loan <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁶⁷⁾ ¶	USA	71 (35)	Mixed (overweight, obese)	32	1288 mg/d‡§†††	12 weeks	Yes	Body weight + body composition
Wagner <i>et al.</i> (2007) ⁽⁴¹⁾	USA	58 (17)	Female (postmenopausal, overweight, obese)	42	800 mg/d‡	12 weeks	Yes	Bone + body weight
Witbracht <i>et al.</i> (2013) ⁽⁴⁴⁾ ¶	USA	51 (24)	Female (20–45 years, overweight and obese, BMI 28–37 kg/m ²)	31–33	\geq 711 ml/d milk††, \geq 129 g/d cheese§§, \geq 681 g/d yoghurt‡‡ or combination	12 weeks	Yes	Cortisol responsiveness
Zemel <i>et al.</i> (2004) ⁽³⁷⁾ ¶	USA	41 (14)	Mixed (obese)	46	3 servings/d, 1200–1300 mg/d††‡‡§§	24 weeks	Yes	Body weight
Zemel <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽⁴⁰⁾ ¶	USA	106 (32)	Mixed (overweight, obese, BMI 25–34.9 kg/m ² , Ca intake <800 mg/d)	26	1400 mg/d††‡‡§§	12 weeks	Yes	Body composition
Zemel <i>et al.</i> (2005) ⁽⁶⁸⁾ ¶	USA	35 (18)	Mixed (obese)	39-42	3 servings/d§, (additional 600 mg/d)	12 weeks	Yes	Body weight + body composition
Angeles-Agdeppa (2010) ⁽¹⁹⁾	Philippines	60 (30)	Female (postmenopausal)	56	2000 mg/d***	16 weeks	No	Body weight
Barr <i>et al.</i> (2000) ⁽³³⁾	USA	200 (98)	Mixed	65	3 servings/d (1200—1300 ma/d)±	12 weeks	No	Body weight + blood pressure
Chee <i>et al.</i> (2003) ⁽⁶⁹⁾ ¶	Malavsia	173 (91)	Female (postmenopausal)	59	1200 ma/d***	24 months	No	Bone
Crichton et al. (2012)(70)	Australia	71 (32)	Mixed (overweight, obese)	NS (18-71)	4 portions, 1452 mg/d±§±±±	6 months	No	Waist circumference
Gunther <i>et al.</i> (2005) ⁽²⁹⁾ ¶	USA	155 (48)	Female (Ca intake < 800 mg/d)	20	1300-1400 mg/d‡§	12 weeks	No	Fat oxidation
Haub <i>et al.</i> (2005) ⁽⁵⁾ ¶	USA	37 (20)	Female (postmenopausal)	60	2201 mg/d§§§	12 months	No	Body composition + body weight
Kukuljan <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽⁷¹⁾	Australia	180 (44)	Males	62-60	1000 mg/d‡	12 months	No	Bone
Lau <i>et al.</i> (2001) ⁽⁷²⁾ ¶	China	185 (95)	Female (postmenopausal)	57	800 mg/d***	24 months	No	Bone
Manios <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽³⁰⁾	Greece	101 (26)	Female (postmenopausal)	62	1200 mg/d‡§	12 months	No	Bone
Palacios <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽³⁸⁾ ¶	Puerto Rico	25 (8)	Mixed (obese)	38	4 portions, 1200–1400 mg/d‡§	21 weeks	No	Body composition + lipids
Stancliffe <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁴³⁾ ¶	USA	40 (20)	Mixed (overweight, obese)	37	3 portions, <1000 mg/d¶¶	12 weeks	No	Oxidative and inflammatory stress

Table 2. Continued									101
Study	Country	Number of participants*	Sex, population characteristics	Age (years)†	Ca amount and dairy type	Study length	Energy- restricted diet?	Main outcome	8
Wennersberg <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽⁷³⁾ ¶	Norway, Finland, Sweden	113 (56)	Mixed (overweight, BMI ≤38 kg/m²)	57 (f)	3-5 portions/d, 1145 mg/d‡§	6 months	No	Body composition	
				51 (m)					
NS, not stated; f, female; m, * Numbers in parentheses in t A mean age range is provi	male. dicate number in the ded for studies that	e intervention group. presented mean age	e separately for each group (control an	id intervention).					1
FINITE TOW TALL OF SKITTI. S Yoghurt low fat or skim.									
Cheese low or reduced fat Measured body compositic	nn via dual-enerav X.	-rav absorptiometry.							
** Prolibra with milk minerals	and Ca.								
11 Milk type not stated or no	preference.								
<pre>## Yoghurt type not stated o</pre>	ir no preference.								
§§ Cheese fat not stated or I	no preference.								
IIII High protein, high dairy di	iet								
I Dairy type not specified.									
*** Milk powder low or no fat									
ttt Cheese full fat.									
<pre>‡‡‡ Low or reduced fat cust:</pre>	ard.								
§§§ Ca fortified beverage.									А
Cream.									. (

control)^(30,31,33,37-40); in two studies, four trial arms were included (one included two Ca supplement groups, one dairy group plus one control group and the other was divided into three supplement groups plus one control group) $^{(4,41)}$.

Study characteristics: calcium supplementation

Sixteen studies reported nineteen trial arms with Ca supplementation. Of the nineteen trial arms that used Ca supplements, sixteen used a placebo control (nine of these with energy restriction or weight loss diet), two used usual diet as the control and one used an energy-restricted diet without a Ca supplement.

Of the sixteen studies, five reported bone parameters as the main outcome, two reported body weight as the main outcome, two reported body composition, one reported lipids and the remaining six studies reported more than one main outcome including bone plus weight, bone plus lipids, weight plus body composition, body composition plus lipids and weight, composition and metabolic factors. Of the nineteen trial arms within the sixteen studies, nine incorporated energy restriction, thirteen included obese or overweight participants, ten were in women only, and five were in postmenopausal women. Ten studies were from North America, two were from New Zealand, two were from Europe, and there was one each from Iran and Puerto Rico. Mean study length was 9 months and ranged from 3 to 24 months. Mean age was 43 (sp 14) years and ranged from 20 to 74 years. One study⁽⁴²⁾ did not report age. The supplements provided a mean of 913 (sp 253) mg of Ca/d, range 350-1500 mg/d.

Study characteristics: dairy food supplementation

Of the thirty-one studies with dairy food supplementation, nineteen included energy-restricted diets, twenty-one included obese or overweight participants, twelve were in females only, and six were in postmenopausal women. Of the thirty-one studies (including thirty-one trial arms of dairy food supplementation), five studies reported bone parameters as the main outcome; five reported body weight as the main outcome; two reported body composition; five reported both body weight and body composition; three reported body composition plus lipids; two reported body weight plus appetite; one reported waist circumference, blood pressure and lipids; and one each of oxidative stress, fat oxidation, biomarkers of inflammation, body weight plus blood pressure, body weight plus bone parameters, body composition plus bone parameters, and waist circumference. One study that reported body weight as the main outcome used protein in milk as the treatment focus, not Ca.

Of the thirty-one trial arms that used dairy supplementation, seven used a usual diet control; nineteen used a low dairy, low Ca or placebo control; one used a moderate dairy control; and four used a special diet (lower in Ca) as the control. In all studies with energy restriction, this restriction was employed in both the intervention and control groups. Twenty were from North America, four were from Asia, three were from Australia, two were from Europe and two were from

South America. Mean study length was 7 months with a range from 3 to 24 months. Mean age was 44 (sp 11·5) years and ranged from 20 to 70 years. Mean Ca provided by dairy foods was 1326 (sp 401) mg of Ca/d, range 482–2400 mg of Ca/d.

Twenty-one of the thirty-one studies (68%) instructed participants to consume low-fat, reduced-fat or no-fat dairy foods and one study supplied a low-fat Ca-fortified beverage containing 7% non-specified milk⁽⁵⁾. Seven^(2,8,37,39,43–45) of the remaining nine studies did not specify dairy fat content, one⁽⁴⁰⁾ instructed participants to choose either low- or fullfat dairy foods, and the other⁽⁶⁾ specified daily energy from fat at 30% in both the dairy supplemented and control groups.

Meta-analysis

Calcium supplementation and body weight. The Ca supplement studies had a combined total of 2711 participants. Fig. 2(a) shows the association between Ca supplementation and body weight change. The pooled analysis showed that there was no difference in weight change between the intervention and control groups (-0.17, 95% CI -0.70, 0.37 kg, P=0.54).

Of the Ca supplement studies, eight reported compliance rates. Of these, all reported high compliance of above $75\%^{(4,30,31,38,39,41,46,47)}$. Pooled analysis of these eight studies (*n* 1701) showed there was no difference in weight change between the Ca supplemented and control groups (-0.02, 95% CI -0.31, 0.28k g).

Dairy food supplementation and body weight. The dairy food supplement studies had a combined total of 2091 study participants. Fig. 3(a) shows the association between Ca supplementation and change in body weight. The pooled analysis showed that there was no difference in mean weight change for the groups receiving dairy supplementation compared to the control groups (-0.06, 95% CI - 0.54, 0.43 kg).

Measurement of body composition. To assess if there was some beneficial effect of Ca or the inclusion of dairy on body composition, the trials that incorporated measurement of body composition were pooled and analysed (Figs. 2(b) and 3(b)). Ten Ca supplement studies (with twelve trial arms) and twenty-one dairy food supplement studies (with twenty-one trial arms) reported change in body fat (kg) or body fat at baseline and completion. Of these, thirty measured fat using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and one did not specify how body composition was measured⁽⁴⁸⁾.

Calcium supplementation and body composition. The ten Ca supplement studies with twelve trial arms had a combined total of 2350 participants. Fig. 2(c) shows the association between Ca supplementation and change in body fat (kg). Pooled analyses showed there was no difference in mean body fat change compared to the control groups (-0.19, 95% CI - 0.51, 0.13 kg, P=0.24).

Dairy food supplementation and body composition. Twenty-one dairy food supplement studies had a combined total of 1289 study participants. Fig. 3(c) shows the association between dairy food supplementation and change in body fat (kg). The pooled analysis showed that there was no difference in mean body fat change for the groups receiving dairy supplementation compared to the control groups (-0.36, 95% CI - 0.80, 0.09 kg, P=0.81).

Effect of calcium and dairy intervention combined with energy restriction on body weight. To assess if there was some beneficial effect of Ca or the inclusion of dairy products in assisting with weight loss, the trials that incorporated energy restriction or encouraged weight loss were pooled and analysed (Figs. 2(b) and 3(b)). The nine Ca supplement studies with energy restriction had a combined total of 350 study participants with a mean study length of 4 months. There was no difference in mean weight change compared to control groups (-0.34, 95% CI - 1.15, 0.46 kg).

Nineteen dairy food supplement trials with energy restriction had a combined total of 1010 participants. These are relatively short-term studies, with a mean study length of 4 months (range 3–12 months). Average dairy intake of Ca was 1318 mg/d, equivalent to approximately 3 servings of dairy/d. There was no difference in weight change between the intervention and control groups when combined with dietary energy restriction (-0.32, 95% CI -0.93, 0.30 kg, P=0.31).

Effect of dairy food supplementation combined with energy restriction on body composition. The effect of dairy food supplementation on body fat when combined with energy restriction was analysed (Fig. 3(d)). Thirteen dairy supplement studies with a combined total of 564 participants (mean age 38 (sp 7·0) years) and a mean study length of 4 months were included in the analysis. Fat loss was significantly greater in the dairy supplemented group compared to the control, when combined with energy restriction (-0.96, 95% CI -1.46, -0.46 kg, P < 0.001).

Test for publication bias. There was no evidence for publication bias among the Ca supplementation (Egger's regression P=0.21) (Fig. 4(a)) or dairy supplement trials reporting body weight (Egger's regression P=0.07) (Fig. 4(b)).

For the trials reporting body composition, there was no statistical evidence of publication bias among the Ca supplement studies (Egger's regression P=0.40) or the dairy supplement studies (Egger's regression P=0.10) (online Supplementary Fig. S2 for funnel plots).

Discussion

To determine if increasing dietary Ca could reduce body weight or body fat, a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials assessing whether Ca supplements or increased Ca from dairy foods resulted in weight or fat loss was undertaken. These analyses show that increased Ca provision in the form of dairy foods or Ca supplements does not increase weight or fat loss compared to control groups. This finding differs from Onakpoya *et al.*⁽²⁰⁾ who conducted a meta-analysis on seven Ca supplementation studies and found that Ca supplementation resulted in a small but significant reduction in body weight and body fat. However, this study included only seven studies that had body weight as the primary outcome, whereas the present study included all studies (*n* 16) that provided increased Ca in the form of Ca supplementation that also measured body weight or body composition at two time points.

Contrary to two previous meta-analyses^(21,22), the current analysis found that consumption of about 3 servings of reduced fat dairy foods on an energy-restricted diet did not result in a greater mean weight change compared to the https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114515001518 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The limitations of these reviews include the narrow selection of included studies (half of available published studies)⁽²¹⁾; and inclusion of studies with intervention periods of very short duration (<12 weeks duration)⁽²²⁾.

(a) Mean difference IV, Experimental Control Weight Mean difference Study or subgroup 95 % CI random, 95 % Cl Mean SD Total Mean SD Total (%) Shapses et al. (2004C)⁽⁴⁾ -5.30, 0.50 5.5 18 3.5 24 -2.40 -6.7 -4.3 2.9 Zemel et al. (2004)(37) -8.58 5.31 11 -6.6 8.16 10 0.8 _1.98 -7.93 3.97 Winters-Stone & Snow (2004)⁽⁴⁷⁾ -6.18, 2.98 -0.9 4.2 0.7 6.4 10 1.3 -1.60 13 Shalileh et al. (2010)⁽⁵⁶⁾ -2.65 0.13 -1.55 0.51 20 18.0 -1.10 -1.33. -0.87 20 Major et al. (2009)⁽⁴⁸⁾ -4 7.8 30 -3 10.2 33 1.3 -1.00-5.46.3.46 Zhu et al. (2013)⁽⁵⁷⁾ -4.1 -3.5 -0.60 -1·71, 0·51 1.8 22 1.9 21 10.3 Smilowitz et al. (2011)(39) -3.4 10.5 -3.2 -0.20 -6.85 6.45 16 10.3 23 0.6 Rosenblum et al. (2012)⁽⁵⁴⁾ -2.5 3.3 66 -2.4 3.2 65 10.3 -0.10 -1.21.1.01Reid et al. (2005)⁽⁴⁶⁾ -0.368 -0.37, 0.37 3.57 732 -0.369 3.64 739 17.1 0.00 Yanovski *et al*. (2009)⁽¹⁸⁾ 0.54 8.23 168 0.52 8.84 167 5.9 0.02 -1.81.1.85 Shapses et al. (2004A)⁽⁴⁾ -2.93.3.53 -7 4.6 17 -7.3 5.3 19 2.4 0.30 Reid et al. (2010)⁽³¹⁾ 0.23 -0.07 2.88 0.30 -0.49, 1.09 3 108 107 13.3 Wagner *et al.* (2007B)⁽⁴¹⁾ -5.4 -5.8 2.88 0.40 -2.59, 3.39 5.2 16 13 2.7 Palacios et al. (2011)⁽³⁸⁾ -0.2 15 9 -0.6 11.4 8 0.2 0.40 -12.19, 12.99 Jensen *et al.* (2001)⁽⁴²⁾ -5.4 11.9 -6.2 12.8 27 0.6 0.80 -5·91, 7·51 25 Zemel et al. (2009)⁽⁴⁰⁾ -2.27 3.88 19 -3.15 3.16 26 4.7 0.88 -1.25, 3.01 Shapses et al. (2004B)⁽⁴⁾ -2.80, 4.60 -6.7 2.6 11 -7.6 5.7 11 1.9 0.90 Manios et al. (2009A)⁽³⁰⁾ 0.9 11.9 -0.7 8.6 1.60 -3.77, 6.97 26 36 0.9 Wagner et al. (2007A)⁽⁴¹⁾ 2.42 -4.1 12 -5.8 2.88 13 4.9 1.70 -0.38, 3.78 -0.70, 0.37 Total (95% CI) 1339 1372 100.0 -0.17 Heterogeneity: τ^2 =0.40; χ^2 =44.71, df=18 (*P*=0.0005); I^2 =60% -10 10 20 -20 Ó Test for overall effect: Z=0.62 (P=0.54) Favours (experimental) Favours (control) (b) Mean difference IV Experimental Wainht Maan

	Exp	enmen	itai		Contro	1	weight	wear		wean difference iv,
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	difference	e 95 % CI	random, 95 % Cl
Zemel <i>et al.</i> (2004) ⁽³⁷⁾	-8.58	5.31	11	-6.6	8.16	10	1.8	-1.98	–7·93, 3·97	
Shalileh <i>et al</i> . (2010) ⁽⁵⁶⁾	-2.65	0.13	20	-1.55	0.51	20	42.2	-1.10	-1.33, -0.87	•
Major <i>et al</i> . (2009) ⁽⁴⁸⁾	-4	7.8	30	-3	10.2	33	3.0	-1.00	–5·46, 3·46	
Zhu <i>et al.</i> (2013) ⁽⁵⁷⁾	-4.1	1.8	22	-3.5	1.9	21	23.9	-0.60	–1.71, 0.51	
Smilowitz <i>et al</i> . (2011) ⁽³⁹⁾	-3.4	10.5	16	-3.2	10.3	23	1.4	-0.20	-6.85, 6.45	
Jensen <i>et al</i> . (2001) ⁽⁴²⁾	-5.4	11.9	25	-6.2	12.8	27	1.4	0.80	–5·91, 7·51	
Zemel <i>et al</i> . (2009) ⁽⁴⁰⁾	-2.27	3.88	19	-3.15	3.16	26	10.8	0.88	−1·25, 3·01	
Shapses <i>et al</i> . (2004B) ⁽⁴⁾	-6.7	2.6	11	-7.6	5.7	11	4.3	0.90	-2.80, 4.60	
Wagner <i>et al</i> . (2007A) ⁽⁴¹⁾	-4·1	2.42	12	-5.8	2.88	13	11.2	1.70	<i>−</i> 0·38, 3·78	
Total (95 % CI)			166			184	100.0	-0.34	–1.15, 0.46	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=0.39$; $\chi^2=12.1$	3, df=8 (<i>P</i>	=0.15);	1 ² =34 %	, D						
Toot for overall effect: 7-0.92 / P	-0.41)									-20 -10 0 10 20
rest for overall effect. Z=0.63 (F	=0.41)									Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

(c)										
	Exp	perimer	ntal		Contro	l	Weight	Mean		Mean difference IV,
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Tota	ıl (%)	differenc	e 95 % Cl	random, 95 % Cl
Shapses <i>et al</i> . (2004C) ⁽⁴⁾	<i>–</i> 5·2	5	18	-3	3.5	24	1.4	-2.20	-4·90, 0·50	
Zhu <i>et al</i> . (2013) ⁽⁵⁷⁾	-2.8	1.3	22	-1.8	1.3	21	12.9	-1.00	-1.78, -0.22	-
Zemel et al. (2004) ⁽³⁷⁾	-5.61	5.31	11	-4.81	3.86	10	0.6	-0.80	–4·75, 3·15	
Major <i>et al</i> . (2009) ⁽⁴⁸⁾	-3.3	5.4	30	-2.7	7.3	33	1.0	-0.60	–3·75, 2·55	
Reid <i>et al.</i> (2010) ⁽³¹⁾	0.58	2.11	108	1.05	2.71	107	16.9	-0.47	<i>−</i> 1·12, 0·18	
Shapses <i>et al</i> . (2004A) ⁽⁴⁾	-6.1	4∙2	17	-6.1	4.7	19	1.2	0.00	–2·91, 2·91	+
Shapses <i>et al</i> . (2004B) ⁽⁴⁾	-4.8	2.1	11	-4.8	3.9	11	1.4	0.00	-2.62, 2.62	
Reid et al. (2005) ⁽⁴⁶⁾	0.163	0.146	732	0.113	0.145	739	57.5	0.05	0.04, 0.06	—
Shalileh <i>et al</i> . (2010) ⁽⁵⁶⁾	-0.49	12.01	20	-0.69	5.4	20	0.3	0.20	–5·57, 5·97	
Yanovski <i>et al</i> . (2009) ⁽¹⁸⁾	0.4	6.68	168	0.01	7.62	167	4.0	0.39	–1.14,1.92	+
Zemel <i>et al</i> . (2009) ⁽⁴⁰⁾	-2.23	3.72	19	-2.69	2.7	26	2.5	0.46	–1.51, 2.43	+
Palacios <i>et al</i> . (2011) ⁽³⁸⁾	0.24	5.9	9	-0.43	7∙2	8	0.3	0.67	–5·63, 6·97	
Total (95 % CI)			1165			1185	100.0	-0.19	<i>−</i> 0·51, 0·13	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=0.05$; $\chi^2=12$	·87, df=11 (<i>P</i> =0·30); / ² =15	%						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.18	(P=0.24)									-20 -10 0 10 20
										Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

Fig. 2. (a) Association between calcium supplementation and change in body weight and (b) separated for trials with energy-restricted diets and (c) calculating body fat. (A colour version of this figure can be found online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114515001518 Published online by Cambridge University Press

control. However, the current meta-analysis had broader selection criteria, which resulted in additional studies being included⁽²¹⁾, and strict inclusion criteria (studies with >12 weeks duration) resulting in a more robust analysis⁽²²⁾. Although cross-sectional studies have found consistent associations between high dairy consumption and lower body weight and $BMI^{(6,9-11)}$, these are subject to confounding, and the overall impact on body weight with increased dairy consumption from longitudinal studies have been inconsistent.

In support of the two previous analyses, the current analysis did find that consumption of about 3 servings of reduced-fat dairy foods, but not Ca supplements, on an energy-restricted diet resulted in a greater mean fat loss of 920g over

Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

(a)	Eve	orimo	atal		Contro		Woigh	t Moon		Moon difference IV
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	difference	95 % CI	random, 95 % Cl
Zemel et al. (2004) ⁽³⁷⁾	-11.07	5.41	11	-6.6	8.16	10	0.6	-4.47	-10.45.1.51	
Thompson <i>et al.</i> $(2005)^{(2)}$	-11.8	6.1	22	-10	6.8	26	1.4	-1.80	-5.45, 1.85	
Zemel <i>et al.</i> (2005) ⁽⁶⁸⁾	-6.63	2.6	18	-4.99	2	16	4.3	-1.64	-3.190.09	
Stancliffe et al. (2011) ⁽⁴³⁾	-0.4	0.4	20	1.1	1.2	20	7.0	-1.50	-2.050.95	
Zemel <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽⁴⁰⁾	-4.61	3.02	23	-3.15	3.16	26	3.9	-1.46	-3·19, 0·27	
Torres et al. (2010) ⁽⁶⁵⁾	-5.1	3.49	19	-3.8	2.68	20	3.4	-1.30	-3.26, 0.66	
Harvey-Berino et al. (2005) ⁽⁸⁾	-10.8	5.9	23	-9.6	6.5	21	1.4	-1.20	-4.88, 2.48	
Smilowitz et al. (2011) ⁽³⁹⁾	-4.3	10.2	22	-3.2	10.3	23	0.6	-1.10	-7.09, 4.89	
Jones <i>et al.</i> (2013) ⁽⁶¹⁾	-3.3	2.68	20	-2.2	2.12	18	4.3	-1.10	-2.63, 0.43	
Witbracht <i>et al.</i> (2013) ⁽⁴⁴⁾	-6.5	8.9	24	-5.5	9.6	27	0.8	-1.00	-6.08, 4.08	
Angeles-Agdeppa et al. (2010) ⁽¹⁹⁾	0.8	8.7	30	1.7	10.6	30	0.9	-0.90	-5·81, 4·01	
Torres et al. (2013) ⁽⁶⁶⁾	-5.3	3.82	18	-4.4	2.47	17	3.1	-0.90	-3.02, 1.22	-+
Frestedt <i>et al.</i> (2008) ⁽⁵⁹⁾	-3.82	3.06	31	-3.24	2.49	28	4.6	-0.58	-2.00, 0.84	
Rosado <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁶³⁾	-3.6	6.8	43	-3.2	6.7	41	2.1	-0.40	-3·29, 2·49	
Van Loan <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁶⁷⁾	-6.3	2.9	35	-6	3.1	36	4.7	-0.30	–1 ·70, 1·10	-
Chee et al. (2003) ⁽⁶⁹⁾	0.04	2.58	91	0.16	2.63	82	6.4	-0.12	-0.90, 0.66	+
Wennersberg et al. (2009) ⁽⁷³⁾	-0.1	2.5	57	-0.1	2.6	56	5.9	0.00	-0.94, 0.94	+
Gunther <i>et al.</i> (2005) ⁽²⁹⁾	0.9	2.5	9	0.8	2.5	10	2.9	0.10	–2·15, 2·35	
Haub <i>et al.</i> (2005) ⁽⁵⁾	0.1	3	17	0	2.9	20	3.5	0.10	–1 ·81, 2·01	
Josse et al. (2011) ⁽⁶²⁾	-4.26	3.37	30	-4.36	3.57	30	3.8	0.10	-1.66,1.86	+
Bowen <i>et al.</i> (2004) ⁽⁵⁸⁾	-9	3	25	-9.3	3.5	25	3.7	0.30	–1·51, 2·11	
Barr et al. (2000) ⁽³³⁾	1.2	12.1	98	0.6	11.5	102	1.7	0.60	–2·67, 3·87	
Lau <i>et al.</i> (2001) ⁽⁷²⁾	0.52	2.63	95	-0.26	2.66	90	6.4	0.78	0.02, 1.54	-
Palacios <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽³⁸⁾	0.3	15.1	8	-0.6	11.4	8	0.1	0.90	–12·21, 14·01	
Kukuljan <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽⁷¹⁾	1.3	4.33	45	0	3.95	44	3.9	1.30	–0·42, 3·02	+
Tanaka <i>et al.</i> (2014) ⁽⁴⁵⁾	-1.1	3.3	102	-2.6	3.8	98	5.8	1.50	0.51, 2.49	-
Wagner <i>et al.</i> (2007C) ⁽⁴¹⁾	-4.2	3.3	17	-5.8	2.77	13	3.0	1.60	–0·57, 3·77	
Crichton <i>et al.</i> (2012) ⁽⁷⁰⁾	1.8	2.4	36	0.2	3	36	5.1	1.60	0.35, 2.85	-
Thomas <i>et al.</i> (2010) ⁽⁶⁴⁾	-1.1	2.5	14	-2.7	4.5	15	2.4	1.60	–1·03, 4·23	+
Manios <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽³⁰⁾	1.4	2.02	39	-0.7	8.57	36	2.1	2.10	–0·77, 4·97	
Gilbert <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁶⁰⁾	-2.9	11.8	13	-5.8	7.1	12	0.4	2.90	<i>–</i> 4·67, 10·47	
Total (95% CI)			1055			1036	100.0	-0.06	-0.54, 0.43	•
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=0.80$; $\chi^2=70.10$, df=30 (P<0.00	001); <i>1</i> 2	=57 %					_	
Test for overall effect: Z=0.23 (P=	=0·81)									-20 -10 0 10 20
										Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

11-

MS British Journal of Nutrition

Experimental Control Weight Mean Mean difference IV, Study or subgroup Mean SD Total (%) difference 95 % Cl random, 95 % Cl	
Study or subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total (%) difference 95 % Cl random, 95 % Cl	
Zemel <i>et al.</i> (2004) ⁽³⁷⁾ –11.07 5.41 11 –6.6 8.16 10 1.0 –4.47 –10.45,1.51	
Thompson <i>et al.</i> $(2005)^{(2)}$ -11·8 6·1 22 -10 6·8 26 2·4 -1·80 -5·45, 1·85	
Zemel <i>et al.</i> (2005) ⁽⁶⁸⁾ –6.63 2.6 18 –4.99 2 16 8.0 –1.64 –3.19, –0.09	
Zemel <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽⁴⁰⁾ -4·61 3·02 23 -3·15 3·16 26 7·1 -1·46 -3·19, 0·27 -	
Torres <i>et al.</i> (2010) ⁽⁶⁵⁾ -5·1 3·49 19 -3·8 2·68 20 6·2 -1·30 -3·26, 0·66	
Harvey-Berino <i>et al.</i> (2005) ⁽⁸⁾ -10.8 5.9 23 -9.6 6.5 21 2.4 -1.20 -4.88, 2.48	
Jones <i>et al.</i> (2013) ⁽⁶¹⁾ -3·3 2·68 20 -2·2 2·12 18 8·2 -1·10 -2·63, 0·43	
Smilowitz <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽³⁹⁾ -4·3 10·2 22 -3·2 10·3 23 1·0 -1·10 -7·09, 4·89	
Withracht et al. (2013) ⁽⁴⁴⁾ -6.5 8.9 24 -5.5 9.6 27 1.4 -1.00 -6.08, 4.08	
Torres <i>et al.</i> (2013) ⁽⁶⁶⁾ -5·3 3·82 18 -4·4 2·47 17 5·6 -0·90 -3·02, 1·22	
Frestedt <i>et al.</i> (2008) ⁽⁵⁹⁾ -3.82 3.06 31 -3.24 2.49 28 8.8 -0.58 -2.00, 0.84	
Rosado <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁶³⁾ -3·6 6·8 43 -3·2 6·7 41 3·6 -0·40 -3·29, 2·49	
Van Loan <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁶⁷⁾ -6·3 2·9 35 -6 3·1 36 8·9 -0·30 -1·70,1·10	
Josse <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁶²⁾ -4·26 3·37 30 -4·36 3·57 30 7·0 0·10 -1·66,1·86	
Bowen <i>et al.</i> (2004) ⁽⁵⁸⁾ –9 3 25 –9·3 3·5 25 6·8 0·30 –1·51, 2·11	
Tanaka <i>et al.</i> (2014) ⁽⁴⁵⁾ -1·1 3·3 102 -2·6 3·8 98 11·5 1·50 0·51, 2·49	
Wagner <i>et al.</i> (2007C) ⁽⁴¹⁾ -4·2 3·3 17 -5·8 2·77 13 5·4 1·60 -0·57, 3·77	
Thomas <i>et al.</i> (2010) ⁽⁶⁴⁾ -1.1 2.5 14 -2.7 4.5 15 4.1 1.60 -1.03, 4.23	
Gilbert <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁶⁰⁾ –2·9 11·8 13 –5·8 7·1 12 0·6 2·90 –4·67, 10·47	
Total (95 % CI) 510 502 100·0 -0·32 -0·93, 0·30	
Heterogeneity: τ ² =0.60; γ ² =28.61, df=18 (<i>P</i> =0.05); <i>I</i> ² =37%	—
Test for overall effect: Z=1.01 (P=0.31) -20 -10 0 10 20	

A. O. Booth et al.

(d)

(C)	Exp	perime	ntal		Contro	d.	Weigh	t Mean		Mean difference IV,
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	difference	95 % CI	random, 95 % Cl
Zemel et al. (2004) ⁽³⁷⁾	-7.16	4.05	11	-4·81	3.86	10	1.5	-2.35	-5·73, 1·03	
Zemel <i>et al</i> . (2009) ⁽⁴⁰⁾	-4.43	2.54	23	-2.69	2.7	26	5.1	-1.74	-3·21, -0·27	
Stancliffe et al. (2011) ⁽⁴³⁾	-1.3	0.9	20	0.4	1.6	20	8.5	-1.70	-2.50, -0.90	+
Zemel <i>et al</i> . (2005) ⁽⁶⁸⁾	-4.43	1.99	18	-2.75	2.9	16	4.3	-1.68	–3·37, 0·01	
Thompson <i>et al</i> . (2005) ⁽²⁾	-9	6	22	-7.5	6.6	26	1.4	-1.50	–5·07, 2·07	
Josse <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁶²⁾	-4.84	2.73	30	-3.64	2.74	30	5.5	-1.20	–2·58, 0·18	
Frestedt <i>et al</i> . (2008) ⁽⁵⁹⁾	-2.81	2.12	31	-1.62	1.75	28	7.5	-1.19	-2·18, -0·20	-
Harvey-Berino <i>et al</i> . (2005) ⁽⁸⁾	-10.1	3.6	23	-9	3.8	21	3.0	-1.10	-3·29, 1·09	
Gilbert <i>et al.</i> (2011) ⁽⁶⁰⁾	-6	8.8	13	-5	5.9	12	0.5	-1.00	-6.83, 4.83	
Torres <i>et al</i> . (2013) ⁽⁶⁶⁾	-4.5	2.97	18	-3.7	2.06	17	4.4	-0.80	-2.49, 0.89	
Witbracht <i>et al</i> . (2013) ⁽⁴⁴⁾	-5	5.2	24	-4.7	6.8	27	1.6	-0.30	-3.60, 3.00	
Van Loan <i>et al</i> . (2011) ⁽⁶⁷⁾	-5.2	2.8	35	-5.1	3	36	5.6	-0.10	-1.45,1.25	+
Palacios <i>et al</i> . (2011) ⁽³⁸⁾	-0.52	11	8	-0.43	7.2	8	0.2	-0.09	–9·20, 9·02	
Chee <i>et al</i> . (2003) ⁽⁶⁹⁾	-0.04	1.14	91	-0.04	0.18	82	11.5	0.00	-0·24, 0·24	•
Haub <i>et al</i> . (2005) ⁽⁵⁾	1.3	2.6	17	1.3	2.7	20	4.3	0.00	–1.71, 1.71	-4-
Wennersberg et al. (2009) ⁽⁷³⁾	-0.3	2.2	57	-0.4	2	56	8.7	0.10	-0.67, 0.87	+
Jones <i>et al</i> . (2013) ⁽⁶¹⁾	-1.6	6.6	20	-1.8	7.4	18	0.9	0.20	-4·28, 4·68	
Lau et al. (2001) ⁽⁷²⁾	0.42	1.95	95	-0.14	1.99	90	9.9	0.56	–0·01, 1·13	+
Kukuljan <i>et al.</i> (2009) ⁽⁷¹⁾	0.7	3.66	45	-0.1	3.29	44	5.2	0.80	-0.65, 2.25	
Crichton et al. (2012) ⁽⁷⁰⁾	1.3	2.4	36	0.2	1.8	36	7.5	1.10	0.12, 2.08	
Thomas <i>et al</i> . (2010) ⁽⁶⁴⁾	-1.8	2.1	14	-3.8	4.1	15	2.7	2.00	–0·35, 4·35	
Total (95 % CI)			651			638	100-0	-0.36	-0.80, 0.09	•
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.43$: $\gamma^2 = 50.76$	df=20 (A	P=0.000	$(2) \cdot I^2 =$	61%						
Tost for overall effect: $Z=1.58$ ($P=0$	0.11)	-0.000		0170						-20 -10 0 10 20
restion over an effect. Z=1.00 (r=0)	0.11)									

Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

	Exp	perime	ntal		Contro	I	Weigh	t Mean		Mean difference IV,
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	difference	95 % CI	random, 95 % Cl
Zemel <i>et al</i> . (2004) ⁽³⁷⁾	-7.16	4.05	11	-4·81	3.86	10	2.2	-2.35	-5·73, 1·03	+
Zemel <i>et al</i> . (2009) ⁽⁴⁰⁾	-4.43	2.54	23	-2.69	2.7	26	11.6	-1.74	-3·21, -0·27	-#-
Zemel <i>et al.</i> (2005) ⁽⁶⁸⁾	-4.43	1.99	18	-2.75	2.9	16	8.8	-1.68	–3·37, 0·01	
Thompson <i>et al</i> . (2005) ⁽²⁾	-9	6	22	-7.5	6.6	26	2.0	-1.50	–5·07, 2·07	
Josse <i>et al</i> . (2011) ⁽⁶²⁾	-4.84	2.73	30	-3.64	2.74	30	13.1	-1.20	–2·58, 0·18	
Frestedt <i>et al</i> . (2008) ⁽⁵⁹⁾	-2.81	2.12	31	-1.62	1.75	28	25.7	-1.19	-2·18, -0·20	=
Harvey-Berino <i>et al</i> . (2005) ⁽⁸⁾	-10.1	3.6	23	-9	3.8	21	5.2	-1.10	-3·29, 1·09	
Gilbert <i>et al</i> . (2011) ⁽⁶⁰⁾	-6	8.8	13	-5	5.9	12	0.7	-1.00	-6·83, 4·83	
Torres <i>et al</i> . (2013) ⁽⁶⁶⁾	-4.5	2.97	18	-3.7	2.06	17	8.8	-0.80	-2.49, 0.89	
Witbracht <i>et al</i> . (2013) ⁽⁴⁴⁾	-5	5.2	24	-4.7	6.8	27	2.3	-0.30	-3.60, 3.00	
Van Loan <i>et al</i> . (2011) ⁽⁶⁷⁾	-5.2	2.8	35	-5.1	3	36	13.8	-0.10	-1.45,1.25	+
Jones <i>et al</i> . (2013) ⁽⁶¹⁾	-1.6	6.6	20	-1.8	7.4	18	1.3	0.20	-4·28, 4·68	
Thomas <i>et al</i> . (2010) ⁽⁶⁴⁾	-1.8	2.1	14	-3.8	4.1	15	4.5	2.00	–0·35, 4·35	
Total (95 % CI)			282			282	100.0	-0.96	-1.46, -0.46	•
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$: $\gamma^2 = 10.96$.	. df=12 (<i>F</i>	P=0.53)	: / ² =0 %	6					-	
Test for overall effect: $7=3.74$ (P=	0.0002)	,	,							-20 -10 0 10 20
	0 00027									Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

Fig. 3. (a) Association between dairy food supplementation and change in body weight and (b) separated for trials with energy-restricted diets and (c) those that measured body composition and (d) trials with energy-restricted diets that also measured body composition. (A colour version of this figure can be found online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn).

4 months in twenty-one studies. These results indicate the importance of separating out trials that are designed to facilitate fat loss from those where energy intake is not restricted.

It appears that consuming 3 servings of reduced-fat dairy products each day may assist in appetite control, although this is probably not related to amount of dietary Ca and is more likely to be related to other components present in dairy products. Dairy products are high in protein and increasing dietary protein intake increases satiety and can lead to weight loss^(49–51). In addition to protein, there is evidence that especially casein proteins present in dairy products have a long-term satiating effect in humans⁽⁵²⁾. In addition, the increase in dietary protein resulting from increased consumption of dairy products may be assisting in maintaining lean mass when combined with resistance training⁽⁶⁾. The specific mechanisms whereby consumption of dairy product within the context of an energy-restricted diet may assist with fat loss are not known. Importantly though, the findings indicate only a small difference in fat change over a short time frame and whether this is likely to continue over the long term remains to be determined. For most weight loss interventions, the greatest effects are usually seen early in the intervention period. However, the two studies^(2,8) in this category that were conducted for longer than 6 months did not show a significant difference in fat change between the intervention and control groups, suggesting that the effect of increased consumption of dairy foods on fat loss may attenuate over time.

While this meta-analysis did not find an indication that Ca supplement had an effect on body weight or fat mass, there is some evidence that consuming additional supplementary Ca can have a small effect in increasing fecal fat excretion, leading to a small net energy loss; however, this effect has



Fig. 4. Publication bias was assessed using a funnel plot depicting the mean difference (MD) between the intervention and control groups (treatment effect) against the standard error (s_E) of the mean difference. (a) Funnel plot of all randomised controlled trials (RCT) of calcium supplementation reporting body weight, Egger's regression, P=0.21 indicates no publication bias. (b) Funnel plot of all RCT of dairy food supplementation reporting body weight, Egger's regression, P=0.07 indicates no publication bias. Mid-vertical line represents the zero mean difference or zero effect size. (A colour version of this figure can be found online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn).

been predicted to be less than 1 kg/year provided no other counter-regulatory effects are present⁽¹³⁾. It has been suggested that Ca supplementation may aid weight loss if usual Ca intake is low⁽⁵³⁾. However, there were too few studies within the current meta-analysis that combined low usual Ca intakes with energy restriction for a separate analysis. It has also been suggested that Ca combined with vitamin D may reduce visceral body fat⁽⁵⁴⁾; however, this could not be explored in the present study as there were no studies that provided vitamin D and also assessed for visceral fat.

Strengths and limitations

NS British Journal of Nutrition

Only studies with intervention periods of 12 weeks or more that also resulted in an increased intake of at least 300 mg of Ca/d were included. Nine of sixteen supplementation studies and twenty-one of thirty-one trials of dairy supplementation had body weight or body composition as a key outcome, with the remaining studies having bone or stress as the key outcome with change in body weight as a secondary outcome, and it could be argued that these studies were not designed to evaluate weight change. However, weight change is easy to measure and is reproducible, which is necessary for the interpretation of studies evaluating bone outcome; therefore, the fact that it was not the primary measure should not influence these results. Another potential limitation may be the heterogeneity of the studies included; however, this was attenuated by using a random effects model. The included studies were predominantly Caucasian populations and these results may be different in other populations, particularly those consuming very low levels of dietary Ca. It is possible that other confounding factors may influence a relationship between dairy and body composition or body weight that were unable to be taken into consideration, such as physical activity and other dietary factors.

In summary, a robust meta-analysis method with large subject numbers showed that there was no evidence that increased Ca provision in the form of supplements or dairy foods reduces body weight or body fat. There was some evidence that consumption of approximately 3 servings/d of reduced fat dairy foods (approximately 1300 mg/d Ca) in the presence of energy restriction resulted in a small, but significant greater loss of body fat mass over a short period of 4 months. This indicates that low fat dairy foods can be included as part of a healthy weight loss diet without having negative effect on body weight or body composition in the short term⁽⁵⁵⁾.

Supplementary material

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0007114515001518

Acknowledgements

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

None of the authors has a conflict of interest to declare.

C. A. N. and C. E. H. were responsible for the concept and design. N. W. and A. O. B. performed the literature search, study selection, data extraction and statistical analysis. A. O. B. wrote the original manuscript. All authors contributed to analysis and interpretation of the data and critical revision of the final manuscript. C. A. N. had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

References

- 1. World Health Organization (2011) *Obesity and Overweight*. Geneva: WHO.
- Thompson W, Holdman N, Janzow D, *et al.* (2005) Effect of energy-reduced diets high in dairy productes and fiber on weight loss in obese adults. *Obes Res* 13, 1344–1353.
- Blackburn G (1995) Effect of degree of weight loss on health benefits. Obes Res 3, S211–S216.
- Shapses SA, Heshka S & Heymsfield SB (2004) Effect of calcium supplementation on weight and fat loss in women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 89, 632–637.

- Haub MD, Simons TR, Cook CM, *et al.* (2005) Calciumfortified beverage supplementation on body composition in postmenopausal women. *Nutr J* 4, 21.
- Barba G & Russo P (2006) Dairy foods, dietary calcium and obesity: a short review of the evidence. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 16, 445–451.
- Trowman R, Dumville JC, Hahn S, *et al.* (2006) A systematic review of the effects of calcium supplementation on body weight. *Br J Nutr* 95, 1033–1038.
- Harvey-Berino J, Gold BC, Lauber R, *et al.* (2005) The impact of calcium and dairy product consumption on weight loss. *Obes Res* 13, 1720–1726.
- Davies KM, Heaney RP, Recker RR, et al. (2000) Calcium intake and body weight. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85, 4635–4638.
- Loos RJ, Rankinen T, Leon AS, *et al.* (2004) Calcium intake is associated with adiposity in Black and White men and White women of the HERITAGE Family Study. *J Nutr* 134, 1772–1778.
- 11. Parikh SJ & Yanovski JA (2003) Calcium intake and adiposity. *Am J Clin Nutr* **77**, 281–287.
- Major GC, Chaput JP, Ledoux M, *et al.* (2008) Recent developments in calcium-related obesity research. *Obes Rev* 9, 428–445.
- 13. Christensen R, Lorenzen JK, Svith CR, *et al.* (2009) Effect of calcium from dairy and dietary supplements on faecal fat excretion: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Obes Rev* **10**, 475–486.
- Jacobsen R, Lorenzen JK, Toubro S, *et al.* (2005) Effect of short-term high dietary calcium intake on 24-h energy expenditure, fat oxidation, and fecal fat excretion. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 29, 292–301.
- Zemel MB (2003) Mechanisms of dairy modulation of adiposity. J Nutr 133, 2528–2568.
- Szollosi A, Nenquin M, Aguilar-Bryan L, *et al.* (2007) Glucose stimulates Ca²⁺ influx and insulin secretion in 2-week-old β-cells lacking ATP-sensitive K⁺ channels. *J Biol Chem* 282, 1747–1756.
- 17. Pereira MA, Jacobs DR Jr, Van Horn L, *et al.* (2002) Dairy consumption, obesity, and the insulin resistance syndrome in young adults: the CARDIA Study. *JAMA* **287**, 2081–2089.
- Yanovski JA, Parikh SJ, Yanoff LB, *et al.* (2009) Effects of calcium supplementation on body weight and adiposity in overweight and obese adults: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* **150**, 821–829, W145-6.
- Angeles-Agdeppa I, Capanzana MV, Li-Yu J, *et al.* (2010) High-calcium milk prevents overweight and obesity among postmenopausal women. *Food Nutr Bull* **31**, 381–390.
- 20. Onakpoya IJ, Perry R, Zhang J, *et al.* (2011) Efficacy of calcium supplementation for management of overweight and obesity: systematic review of randomized clinical trials. *Nutr Rev* **69**, 335–343.
- Abargouei AS, Janghorbani M, Salehi-Marzijarani M, *et al.* (2012) Effect of dairy consumption on weight and body composition in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 36, 1485–1493.
- Chen M, Pan A, Malik VS, *et al.* (2012) Effects of dairy intake on body weight and fat: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* **96**, 735–747.
- Dawson-Hughes B, Harris SS, Krall EA, *et al.* (1997) Effect of calcium and vitamin D supplementation on bone density in men and women 65 years of age or older. *N Engl J Med* 337, 670–676.

- 24. Teegarden D, White KM, Lyle RM, *et al.* (2008) Calcium and dairy product modulation of lipid utilization and energy expenditure. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **16**, 1566–1572.
- Pittas AG, Harris SS, Stark PC, *et al.* (2007) The effects of calcium and vitamin D supplementation on blood glucose and markers of inflammation in nondiabetic adults. *Diabetes Care* 30, 980–986.
- 26. Moschonis G & Manios Y (2006) Skeletal site-dependent response of bone mineral density and quantitative ultrasound parameters following a 12-month dietary intervention using dairy products fortified with calcium and vitamin D: the Postmenopausal Health Study. *Br J Nutr* **96**, 1140–1148.
- 27. Kruger MC, Booth CL, Coad J, *et al.* (2006) Effect of calcium fortified milk supplementation with or without vitamin K on biochemical markers of bone turnover in premenopausal women. *Nutrition* **22**, 1120–1128.
- Caan B, Neuhouser M, Aragaki A, *et al.* (2007) Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation and the risk of postmenopausal weight gain. *Arch Intern Med* 167, 893–902.
- Gunther CW, Legowski PA, Lyle RM, *et al.* (2005) Dairy products do not lead to alterations in body weight or fat mass in young women in a 1-y intervention. *Am J Clin Nutr* 81, 751–756.
- Manios Y, Moschonis G, Koutsikas K, *et al.* (2009) Changes in body composition following a dietary and lifestyle intervention trial: the postmenopausal health study. *Maturitas* 62, 58–65.
- 31. Reid IR, Ames R, Mason B, *et al.* (2010) Effects of calcium supplementation on lipids, blood pressure, and body composition in healthy older men: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* **91**, 131–139.
- 32. Higgins JP & Green Se (2011) Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions: The Cochrane Collaboration. www.cochrane-handbook.org
- 33. Barr SI, McCarron DA, Heaney RP, *et al.* (2000) Effects of increased consumption of fluid milk on energy and nutrient intake, body weight, and cardiovascular risk factors in healthy older adults. *J Am Diet Assoc* **100**, 810–817.
- 34. Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JP, *et al.* (2009) *Introduction to Meta Analysis.* Chicester: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Borenstein M & Higgins JP (2013) Meta-analysis and subgroups. *Prev Sci* 14, 134–143.
- World Health Organization (2008) Worldwide Prevalence of Anaemia 1993–2005. Geneva: WHO.
- Zemel MB, Thompson W, Milstead A, *et al.* (2004) Calcium and dairy acceleration of weight and fat loss during energy restriction in obese adults. *Obes Res* 12, 582–590.
- 38. Palacios C, Bertran JJ, Rios RE, *et al.* (2011) No effects of low and high consumption of dairy products and calcium supplements on body composition and serum lipids in Puerto Rican obese adults. *Nutrition* **27**, 520–525.
- 39. Smilowitz JT, Wiest MM, Teegarden D, *et al.* (2011) Dietary fat and not calcium supplementation or dairy product consumption is associated with changes in anthropometrics during a randomized, placebo-controlled energy-restriction trial. *Nutr Metab (Lond)* 8, 67.
- Zemel MB, Teegarden D, Loan MV, *et al.* (2009) Dairy-rich diets augment fat loss on an energy-restricted diet: a multicenter trial. *Nutrients* 1, 83–100.
- 41. Wagner G, Kindrick S, Hertzler S, *et al.* (2007) Effects of various forms of calcium on body weight and bone turnover markers in women participating in a weight loss program. *J Am Coll Nutr* **26**, 456–461.
- 42. Jensen LB, Kollerup G, Quaade F, *et al.* (2001) Bone minerals changes in obese women during a moderate weight loss

with and without calcium supplementation. *J Bone Miner Res* **16**, 141–147.

- Stancliffe RA, Thorpe T & Zemel MB (2011) Dairy attentuates oxidative and inflammatory stress in metabolic syndrome. *Am J Clin Nutr* 94, 422–430.
- 44. Witbracht MG, Van Loan M, Adams SH, *et al.* (2013) Dairy food consumption and meal-induced cortisol response interacted to influence weight loss in overweight women undergoing a 12-week, meal-controlled, weight loss intervention. *J Nutr* **143**, 46–52.
- 45. Tanaka S, Uenishi K, Ishida H, *et al.* (2014) A randomized intervention trial of 24-wk dairy consumption on waist circumference, blood pressure, and fasting blood sugar and lipids in Japanese men with metabolic syndrome. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol* **60**, 305–312.
- 46. Reid IR, Horne A, Mason B, *et al.* (2005) Effects of calcium supplementation on body weight and blood pressure in normal older women: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **90**, 3824–3829.
- Winters-Stone KM & Snow CM (2004) One year of oral calcium supplementation maintains cortical bone density in young adult female distance runners. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab* 14, 7–17.
- Major GC, Alarie FP, Dore J, *et al.* (2009) Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation and fat mass loss in female very low-calcium consumers: potential link with a calciumspecific appetite control. *Br J Nutr* **101**, 659–663.
- Dove ER, Hodgson JM, Puddey IB, *et al.* (2009) Skim milk compared with a fruit drink acutely reduces appetite and energy intake in overweight men and women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **90**, 70–75.
- Tsuchiya A, Almiron-Roig E, Lluch A, *et al.* (2006) Higher satiety ratings following yogurt consumption relative to fruit drink or dairy fruit drink. *J Am Diet Assoc* **106**, 550–557.
- Wycherley TP, Moran LJ, Clifton PM, *et al.* (2012) Effects of energy-restricted high-protein, low-fat compared with standard-protein, low-fat diets: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* **96**, 1281–1298.
- 52. Bendtsen LQ, Lorenzen JK, Bendsen NT, *et al.* (2013) Effect of dairy proteins on appetite, energy expenditure, body weight, and composition: a review of the evidence from controlled clinical trials. *Adv Nutr* 4, 418–438.
- Tremblay A & Gilbert JA (2011) Human obesity: is insufficient calcium/dairy intake part of the problem? J Am Coll Nutr 30, 4498–4538.
- Rosenblum JL, Castro VM, Moore CE, *et al.* (2012) Calcium and vitamin D supplementation is associated with decreased abdominal visceral adipose tissue in overweight and obese adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* **95**, 101–108.
- Booth AO & Nowson CA (2013) Food choices that achieve calcium adequacy in older women. *Nutr Diet* 70, 300–306.
- Shalileh M, Shidfar F, Haghani H, *et al.* (2010) The influence of calcium supplement on body composition, weight loss and insulin resistance in obese adults receiving low calorie diet. *J Res Med Sci* 15, 191–201.
- 57. Zhu W, Cai D, Wang Y, *et al.* (2013) Calcium plus vitamin D₃ supplementation facilitated fat loss in overweight and obese college students with very-low calcium consumption: a randomized controlled trial. *Nutr J* **12**, 8.
- Bowen J, Noakes M & Clifton PM (2004) A high dairy protein, high-calcium diet minimizes bone turnover in overweight adults during weight loss. J Nutr 134, 568–573.

- 59. Frestedt JL, Zenk JL, Kuskowski MA, *et al.* (2008) A wheyprotein supplement increases fat loss and spares lean muscle in obese subjects: a randomized human clinical study. *Nutr Metab (Lond)* **5**, 8.
- 60. Gilbert JA, Joanisse DR, Chaput JP, *et al.* (2011) Milk supplementation facilitates appetite control in obese women during weight loss: a randomised, single-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Br J Nutr* **105**, 133–143.
- 61. Jones KW, Eller LK, Parnell JA, *et al.* (2013) Effect of a dairyand calcium-rich diet on weight loss and appetite during energy restriction in overweight and obese adults: a randomized trial. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **67**, 371–376.
- 62. Josse AR, Atkinson SA, Tarnopolsky MA, *et al.* (2011) Increased consumption of dairy foods and protein during diet- and exercise-induced weight loss promotes fat mass loss and lean mass gain in overweight and obese premenopausal women. *J Nutr* **141**, 1626–1634.
- 63. Rosado JL, Garcia OP, Ronquillo D, *et al.* (2011) Intake of milk with added micronutrients increases the effectiveness of an energy-restricted diet to reduce body weight: a randomized controlled clinical trial in Mexican women. *J Am Diet Assoc* **111**, 1507–1516.
- 64. Thomas DT, Wideman L & Lovelady CA (2010) Effects of calcium and resistance exercise on body composition in overweight premenopausal women. *J Am Coll Nutr* **29**, 604–611.
- 65. Torres MR, Francischetti EA, Genelhu V, *et al.* (2010) Effect of a high-calcium energy-reduced diet on abdominal obesity and cardiometabolic risk factors in obese Brazilian subjects. *Int J Clin Pract* **64**, 1076–1083.
- 66. Torres MR & Sanjuliani AF (2013) Effects of weight loss from a high-calcium energy-reduced diet on biomarkers of inflammatory stress, fibrinolysis, and endothelial function in obese subjects. *Nutrition* 29, 143–151.
- 67. Van Loan MD, Keim NL, Adams SH, *et al.* (2011) Dairy foods in a moderate energy restricted diet do not enhance central fat, weight, and intra-abdominal adipose tissue losses nor reduce adipocyte size or inflammatory markers in overweight and obese adults: a controlled feeding study. *J Obes* **2011**, 989657.
- Zemel MB, Richards J, Mathis S, *et al.* (2005) Dairy augmentation of total and central fat loss in obese subjects. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 29, 391–397.
- 69. Chee WS, Suriah AR, Chan SP, *et al.* (2003) The effect of milk supplementation on bone mineral density in postmenopausal Chinese women in Malaysia. *Osteoporos Int* **14**, 828–834.
- Crichton GE, Murphy KJ, Howe PR, *et al.* (2012) Dairy consumption and working memory performance in overweight and obese adults. *Appetite* **59**, 34–40.
- 71. Kukuljan S, Nowson CA, Bass SL, *et al.* (2009) Effects of a multi-component exercise program and calcium–vitamin- D_3 -fortified milk on bone mineral density in older men: a randomised controlled trial. *Osteoporos Int* **20**, 1241–1251.
- Lau EM, Woo J, Lam V, *et al.* (2001) Milk supplementation of the diet of postmenopausal Chinese women on a low calcium intake retards bone loss. *J Bone Miner Res* 16, 1704–1709.
- Wennersberg MH, Smedman A, Turpeinen AM, *et al.* (2009) Dairy products and metabolic effects in overweight men and women: results from a 6-mo intervention study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **90**, 960–968.