cambridge.org/hyg

Review

Cite this article: Tatsi E-B, Filippatos F, Michos A (2021). SARS-CoV-2 variants and effectiveness of vaccines: a review of current evidence. Epidemiology and Infection 149, e237, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0950268821002430

Received: 5 August 2021 Revised: 29 October 2021 Accepted: 31 October 2021

Key words:

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; spike mutations; vaccines; variants of concern

Author for correspondence:

Athanasios Michos, E-mail: amichos@med.uoa.gr

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



SARS-CoV-2 variants and effectiveness of vaccines: a review of current evidence

Elizabeth-Barbara Tatsi 💿, Filippos Filippatos 💿 and Athanasios Michos 回

First Department of Pediatrics, Infectious Diseases and Chemotherapy Research Laboratory, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 'Aghia Sophia' Children's Hospital, 11527 Athens, Greece

Abstract

The SARS-CoV-2 virus is rapidly evolving via mutagenesis, lengthening the pandemic, and threatening the public health. Until August 2021, 12 variants of SARS-CoV-2 named as variants of concern (VOC; Alpha to Delta) or variants of interest (VOI; Epsilon to Mu), with significant impact on transmissibility, morbidity, possible reinfection and mortality, have been identified. The VOC Delta (B.1.617.2) of Indian origin is now the dominant and the most contagious variant worldwide as it provokes a strong binding to the human ACE2 receptor, increases transmissibility and manifests considerable immune escape strategies after natural infection or vaccination. Although the development and administration of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines, based on different technologies (mRNA, adenovirus carrier, recombinant protein, etc.), are very promising for the control of the pandemic, their effectiveness and neutralizing activity against VOCs varies significantly. In this review, we describe the most significant circulating variants of SARS-CoV-2, and the known effectiveness of currently available vaccines against them.

Introduction

Coronaviruses (CoVs) are enveloped positive-sense single-stranded RNA viruses of Coronaviridae family, which infect both animals and humans [1, 2]. Six human CoVs, HCoV-229E, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-HKU1, HCoV-OC43, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), were known to cause upper and lower respiratory tract infections. However, severe outbreaks had been exacerbated by SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV in 2003 and 2012, respectively [3].

In 2019, a novel CoV was identified as the causative agent of a significant number of pneumonia cases in Wuhan, China. In February 2020, this CoV strain was named SARS-CoV-2 and the disease as Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) by the World Health Organization (WHO) [4]. SARS-CoV-2 rapidly spread worldwide causing a pandemic.

To date, SARS-CoV-2 was closely related to two bat CoVs, the bat-SL-CoVZC45 (87.99%) and the bat-SL-CoVZXC21 (87.23%), but it was more distant from SARS-CoV (79%) and MERS-CoV (50%) [5]. Even though it is likely bats are the primary source for SARS-CoV-2 transmission, it remains unknown whether it is directly transmitted from bats to humans or through an intermediate host [6]. Recently, the most relative CoV was found in two Rhinolophus shameli bats in Cambodia with 92.6% nucleotide identity [7].

SARS-CoV-2 genome encodes four structural proteins: spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M) and nucleocapsid (N); and 16 non-structural proteins (NSPs) [8]. The viral glycoprotein S is involved in the virus entrance to human cells by binding to the same receptor as SARS-CoV, the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) [5, 9].

Specifically, S protein is comprised of the S1 subunit, which contains the receptor-binding domain (RBD), and the S2 subunit that mediates the virus fusion with the host cell membrane after its trimming mainly by the trans-membrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2) [10, 11]. RBD region is the primary target of neutralizing antibodies (NAbs) and cytotoxic lymphocytes, even though there are other regions of S protein that stimulate neutralizing activity (NAc) as well [12].

Although the NSP14 of CoVs acts as 3'-5' exoribonuclease resulting in decreased variant gathering compared to other RNA viruses, the rapid spread of SARS-CoV-2 worldwide enhances the mutagenesis of viral genome [13, 14]. The majority of the variants have no impact on viral function. However, there are certain variants, especially in the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2, that have gained widespread attention, mainly due to their impact on ACE2 binding, TMPRSS2 cleavage or escape from immunity which alter the transmissibility, antigenicity, morbidity, clinical symptoms and implications or decrease the response to potential treatment [15].

These variants were called variants of concern (VOCs) and variants of interest (VOIs). The name and monitoring of these variants was performed by the World Health Organization

CrossMark

(WHO; www.who.int/), genomic databases: Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID; www.gisaid.org/) [16] and Nextstrain (nextstrain.org/) [17] as well as the epidemiological tool Phylogenetic Assignment of Named Global Outbreak Lineages (PANGOLIN; cov-lineages.org/) [18]. All these databases freely share genomic data and serve the direct surveillance of all these new and significant variants.

Until the beginning of August 2021, four VOCs (Alpha to Delta) and six VOIs (Epsilon to Lambda) were detected. On 30 August 2021, a novel variant of SARS-CoV-2 was designated as Mu variant and it was also classified as VOI by WHO [19]. In September 2021, the variant classification system was changed and according to WHO, the variants were distinguished in VOCs (Alpha to Delta), VOIs (Lambda and Mu) and Variants Under Monitoring (VUM) [19]. The last group includes all the other variants, previously reported as VOIs, excluding the Zeta and Theta [19].

COVID-19 vaccines are being developed based on several different platforms, either with traditional approaches, such as live attenuated viruses, or with novel techniques, such as recombinant proteins and mRNA. The development and administration of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines are very promising for the control of the pandemic, nevertheless their effectiveness and NAc against VOCs and VOIs vary significantly [20].

For the purposes of this review, we describe the most significant VOCs and VOIs of SARS-CoV-2 based on the WHO classification of August 2021, including the novel Mu variant, as well as how effective is the currently available vaccines against these circulating variants.

Variants of SARS-CoV-2

Alpha VOC

In December of 2020, the first VOC of SARS-CoV-2 emerged in the UK, England. This new SARS-CoV-2 strain belongs to B.1.1.7 lineage, it is derived from the 20I (V1) clade, and it was named as Alpha VOC by WHO.

This VOC contains seven missense mutations all over the Spike gene and three deletions (p.H69del, p.V70del and p.Y144del) within the N-terminal domain (NTD) of the S1 subunit of the Spike (Table 1). The complete deletion of amino acids at positions 69–70 of the spike protein is the result of a sixnucleotide deletion, which probably affects the viral recognition by NAbs [21]. The deletion at position 144 is the result of a fournucleotide deletion which is also associated with antibody escape [22]. Two mutations of this VOC, p.N501Y and p.A570D, are located within the RBD region of the S1 subunit. The p.N501Y mutation is probably the most important as it enhances the viral binding to the human ACE2 receptor, contributing to the transmissibility of this VOC [23–25].

In vivo studies have shown that infected hamsters by p.N501Y and p.H69del, p.V70del lead to high viral levels in nasal secretions and upper airway, also confirmed in human airway epithelial cells [26]. The impact of p.P681H mutation is also the escape of the immunity [22]. Its location adjacent to the furin cleavage site, important for the membrane fusion of the virus, renders it significant. The p.P681H mutation is unique for Alpha VOC.

The Alpha VOC might be approximately 50% more transmissible than the wild-type SARS-CoV-2, probably due to the higher viral concentration than the previous circulating strains [27, 28]. It is calculated to elevate the infectious rate up to 7.5% per day [29]. Additionally, it seems to provoke higher mortality rate mainly in the community and not in hospitalised patients [30, 31]. Interestingly, the majority of the infected hospitalised patients were women, who have a higher rate of mortality [32].

A low resistance to monoclonal Abs against RBD or N-terminal of the spike protein of infected persons instead of vaccinated characterises the Alpha VOC [33].

Beta VOC

In May of 2020, a second VOC of SARS-CoV-2 virus emerged in South Africa. This variant belongs to B.1.351 lineage, it is derived from the 20H (V2) clade and it was named as Beta VOC by WHO.

This VOC includes seven missense mutations and one three-amino acid deletion, the p.L241del, the p.L242del and the p.A243del (Table 1). The exact location of the deletion is unknown (241-243del or 242-244del), but the sequence of the Spike protein remains unchanged [34]. The p.N501Y and p.D614G mutations are common between Alpha and Beta VOCs. This VOC carried two new mutations within the RBD region of the Spike protein, the p.K417N and p.E484K.

A reinfection case of a 45-year-old woman has been described and supported by genomic evidence. This woman was infected the second time by the Beta VOC, which enhances the idea that this VOC evades the immune barrier [35]. Cele *et al.* also support that Beta VOC, probably due to the p.E484K mutation, might be more resistant to monoclonal Abs against SARS-CoV-2 proteins than Alpha VOC even in vaccinated or previously infected people [36, 37].

Nelson *et al.* have shown that the combination of p.E484K, p.K417N and p.N501Y, changing the structure of the spike protein, enhances the affinity of the virus with the ACE2 receptor, which probably renders this variant more contagious [38].

Gamma VOC

In November of 2020, a third VOC of SARS-CoV-2 virus emerged in Manaus, the capital of Amazonas in Brazil [39, 40]. This variant belongs to P.1 lineage, it is derived from the 20J (V3) clade and it was named as Gamma VOC by WHO.

This VOC includes 12 missense mutations in the Spike protein (Table 1). Alpha, Beta and Gamma VOCs share the mutations p.N501Y in the RBD region and p.D614G near the furin cleavage site of the Spike protein. Beta and Gamma VOCs also share the mutations p.K417N and p.E484K in the RBD region of the spike protein.

The triplet of p.K417T, p.E484K and p.N501Y is associated with increased virus binding to the human ACE2 receptor and transmissibility potential [41]. Antibodies produced by vaccines or natural infection are less likely to neutralise the P.1 VOC [42, 43]. Consequently, the emergence of the Gamma VOC raises concerns regarding the impact of the variant on the immunity and its possible invasion strategies.

Delta VOC

In October of 2020, a forth VOC of SARS-CoV-2 virus emerged in India and until now it has spread to over 21 countries [44]. This variant belongs to B.1.617.2 lineage, it is derived from 21A clade and it was named as Delta VOC by WHO.

Table 1. Epidemiological and genetic characteristics of the four SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern

		Emergence		Spike mutations			
WHO nomenclature	PANGO lineage	Country	Time	S1 subunit (1–685aa)	S2 subunit (686–1273aa)	Impact	Efficacy of vaccines
Alpha	B.1.1.7	UK	September 2020	p.H69del, p.V70del, p.Y144del, p.N501Y, p.A570D, p.D614G, p.P681H	p.T716I, p.S982A, p.D1118H	↑Transmissibility [26, 27] Affects more [31] ↓resistant to monoclonal Abs [32]	90% for BNT162b2 [79] ↓Neutralizing activity with mRNA-1273 [84] 72% for Ad26.COV2.S [87] 74% for ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 [82] 89.3% for NVX-CoV2373 [93]
Beta	B.1.351	South Africa	May 2020	p.D80A, p.D215G, p.L241del, p.L242del, p.A243del, p.K417N, p.E484K, p.N501Y, p.D614G	p.A701V	<pre>↑Resistant to Abs than Alpha VOC [35, 36] ↑The affinity with the ACE2 receptor [37]</pre>	75% for BNT162b2 [79] ↓↓↓Neutralizing activity with mRNA-1273 [83] 64% for Ad26.COV2.S [87] 81.5% for ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 [90] 49.4% for NVX-CoV2373 [93] ↓↓↓Neutralizing activity with Gam-COVID-Vac [96]
Gamma	P.1	Brazil	November 2020	p.L18F, p.T20N, p.P26S, p.D138Y, p.R190S, p.K417T/N, p.E484K, p.N501Y, p.D614G, p.H655Y	p.T1027I, p.V1176F	<pre>↑The affinity with the ACE2 receptor [40] ↑Transmissible [40] Resistant to neutralizing Abs [41, 42]</pre>	↓↓Neutralizing activity with mRNA-1273 [84] 50.4% for CoronaVac for symptomatic and 78% for mild SARS-CoV-2 infection [102]
Delta and Delta Plus	B.1.617.2	India	October 2020	p.T19R, (p.V70F)*, p.G142D, p.F156del, p.F157del, p.R158G, (p.A222V)*, (p.W258L)*, (p.K417N)* p.L452R, p.T478K, p.D614G, p.P681R	p.D950N	↑Transmissible [49] ↑The affinity with the ACE2 receptor [45, 46] ↑Resistant to monoclonal Abs [47]	↓↓BNT162b2 compared to Alpha [81] 67% for ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 [82] ↓with Covaxin [104]

aa, amino acids; Abs, antibodies; *mutations of Delta Plus variant; neutralizing activity = \downarrow : 1–3-fold reduction; $\downarrow \downarrow$: 3–5-fold reduction; $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$: >5-fold reduction. Their impact on natural infection and the efficacy of vaccines.

Delta differs a lot from the previous circulating variants as the only one common mutation is p.D614G. It carries two novel mutations, the p.L452R and p.T478K in the RBD region of Spike protein and a two-amino acid deletion within the S1 sub-unit, the p.E156del and p.F157del (Table 1).

In vitro studies have shown that the SARS-CoV-2 strains carrying the novel mutation p.G142D, located before the RBD region, could grow in the presence of a monoclonal antibody, thus this mutation may render the virus more resistant to the action of the immune system [45].

The p.L452R probably contributes to stronger binding of the virus to ACE2 receptor, enhancing the infectivity of this strain and to decreased recognition by monoclonal antibodies, probably escaping the immune response after vaccination [46–48]. Moreover computational analysis, regarding the infection

dynamic of the SARS-CoV-2 variants, has shown that p.L452R mutation has a similar function as p.N501Y mutation [49]. This VOC is characterised by high levels of transmission [50].

The new lineage AY (sub-lineages: AY.1, AY.2, AY.4 and AY.4.2.), which derived from B.1.617.2 lineage and characterised by increased transmissibility, was named as 'Delta Plus'. There are several additional spike mutations in Delta Plus variant such as p.V70F, p.A222V, p.W258L and p.K417N (Table 1) [51, 52]. As of October 2021, >2000 genome sequences of the Delta Plus variant have been identified in at least 46 countries, including the USA, India and the UK [53]. Compared to other VOC, it has been reported that Delta Plus resists monoclonal antibodies, such as Casirivimab and Imdevimab, as well as have increased affinity to the mucosa of lungs [54].

Variants of interest

There are also known circulating variants that have a minor impact on the transmission, morbidity and mortality and they were named as VOI by WHO (Table 2).

The Epsilon VOI, emerged in Southern California, belongs to B.1.427/B.1.429 lineage and 20/21C clade [55]. It has four mutations in spike protein, the commonest p.D614G, the Delta p.L452R and two novel ones, p.S13I and p.W152C in the NTD region of the spike protein. The p.S13I and p.W152C completely diminish the activity of monoclonal NTD-specific antibodies [56]. Although the two Delta mutations were associated with high virus levels and transmissibility, strong binding to human receptors and severe clinical manifestations [50, 57], they were not enough to categorise the Epsilon variant as VOC.

The Zeta VOI of P.2 lineage was first detected in Brazil in April 2020. It is named as VOI by WHO as it slightly negatively affects the NAc of antibodies [58]. This variant contains the following previously detected mutations in VOCs, p.L18F, p.T20N, p.P26S, p.E484K, p.D614G, p.V1176F and the new p.F157L and p.S929I.

The Eta VOI, detected in New York in November 2020, belongs to B.1.525 lineage and 21D clade. This variant contains the following previously detected mutations in VOCs, p.H69del, p.V70del, p.Y144del, p.E484K, p.D614G and the new mutations p.Q52R, p.A67V, p.Q677H and p.F888L in the spike protein [59].

The Theta VOI of P.3 lineage was detected in Philippines and Japan in February 2021. This VOI contains the previously detected mutations in VOCs, p.L241del, p.L242del, p.A243del, p.E484K, p.N501Y, p.D614G, p.P681H and the novel ones p.E1092K, p.H1101Y and p.V1176F [58].

The Iota VOI, emerged in the USA in November 2020, belongs to B.1.526 lineage and 21F clade. It carries six missense mutations in the spike protein, the most common p.D614G, the Beta mutations; p.E484K and p.A701V, and the novel mutations; p.L5F, p.T95I and p.D253G. Although it has spread rapidly within New York, it is not associated with severe disease and for this reason is categorised as VOI [60–62].

The Kappa VOI, closely related to Delta VOC, belongs to B.1.617.1 lineage and 21B clade. It carries six missense mutations in the spike, the common p.D614G, two Delta mutations; p.L452R and p.P681R, and the novel p.E154K, p.E484Q and p.Q1071H. This VOI carried a different amino acid substitution at the 484 position of the Spike protein from Glutamic acid to Glutamine than the p.E484K, which was in Beta and Gamma variants. Thus, the 484 Spike protein position may be susceptible to mutagenesis. However, Ferreira *et al.* found that the combination of p.L452R, p.E484Q and p.P681R mutations may have a lower efficiency in cell entrance [63].

The Lambda variant, detected in Peru and belonging to the 21G clade, was classified as VOI by WHO. It carries the previously detected mutation in all VOCs, p.D614G, and the novel ones p.G75V, p.T76I, p.D253N, p.L452Q, p.F490S, p.T859N and a seven-amino acid deletion; p.R246del, p.S247del, p.Y248del, p.L249del, p.T250del, p.P251del, p.G252del [64].

The recently emerged SARS-CoV-2 variant in Colombia of South America, the Mu variant belongs to 21H clade and according to WHO is a VOI. It carries nine mutations in the Spike protein, the common p.E484K, N501Y and p.D614G, the Iota mutation p.T95I, the Alpha variant p.P681H, the Delta variant p.D950N and the novel ones, p.Y144S (in the same amino acid position was previously reported a deletion in Alpha and Eta variants), p.Y145N and p.R346K [65].

p.D614G: the most common mutation among the VOCs and VOIs

Early in the pandemic, Korber *et al.*, who monitored amino acid changes in the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein included in a large sequence database, reported the emergence of a SARS-CoV-2 mutation that soon became the predominant circulating one worldwide, the p.D614G [66]. In May 2020, it was present in North America, Europe and Australia [66].

Recent *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies demonstrate that SARS-CoV-2 strains carrying the Glycine amino acid at 614 position of the spike protein are characterised by higher levels of infectivity and transmissibility in the respiratory tract, enhanced binding to ACE2 and increased replication in respiratory epithelial cells compared to the wild type amino acid (p.D614D) [67, 68]. British data from SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequencing support that, even though substitution p.D614G is associated with infection in younger individuals and higher upper respiratory tract viral loads, there was no indication that patients infected with the p.D614G variant are associated with increased risk of clinical severity, hospitalisation or mortality rates [69].

Analysis of serologic reactivity of p.D614D and p.D614G showed the presence of cross-reactive IgG, IgM and IgA humoral immune responses in both variants of the spike [70]. There are no significant differences between the neutralisation degree of p.D614D and p.D614G, suggesting that serological assays will be able to detect both wild-type and mutated variants [71].

Based on the fact that the mutation p.D614G is outside of the RBD but near the furin cleavage site of Spike protein and the increase in RBD-mediated neutralisation, the mutation p.D614G is currently not expected to be a concerning barrier to vaccine development strategies [72]. However, the selective advantage of p.D614G highlights different transmission dynamics in population and the existence of unknown confounding factors require further monitoring [69].

Now, this mutation seems to have established to viral genome as all SARS-CoV-2 VOCs and VOIs contain it.

Effectiveness of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines against variants

Since COVID-19 was designated as a pandemic at the beginning of 2020, vaccines to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection are considered the most promising approach for pandemic control. The development of vaccines has accelerated to an unprecedented pace, within only several months. Despite all the unpredicted changes in SARS-CoV-2 genome and the vaccines' variable efficacy and NAc rates against VOCs and VOIs, their main target, which is the prevention of severe disease, is retained for the time being [20].

BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273

Two vaccines, BNT162b2 (Comirnaty; Pfizer, New York, USA and BioNTech, Germany) and mRNA-1273 (Spikevax; Moderna, United States National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases and the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority), based on the new mRNA technology were developed to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection. Both vaccines have been found to be highly effective in clinical trials as well as in mass vaccination programmes with tolerable reactogenicity [73]. Recent studies have shown that there is an association of immunological response and NAc of BNT162b2 vaccine with

Table 2. Epidemiological and genetic characteristics of the SARS-CoV-2 variants of interest

	PANGO Lineage	Emergence		Spike mutations			
WHO nomenclature		Country	Time	S1 subunit (1–685aa)	S2 subunit (686–1273aa)	Impact	Efficacy of vaccines
Epsilon	B.1.427/ B.1.429	Southern California, USA	March 2020	p.S13I, p.W152C, p.L452R, p.D614G		↑Transmissibility [14] ↓Resistance to Abs from convalescent serum [14, 56]	↓↓Neutralizing activity with BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 [42, 56] No differences in neutralizing activity with CoronaVac [109] 66% for Ad26.COV2.S [110] 55–81% for ChAdOX1 nCoV-19 [111, 112] 89% for NVX-CoV2373 [113]
Zeta	P.2	Brazil	April 2020	p.L18F, p.T20N, p.P26S, p.F157L, p.E484K, p.D614G	p.S929I, p.V1176F	No resistance to Abs from convalescent serum [114] †Resistance to bamlanivimab [115]	↓Neutralizing activity with BNT162b2 and CoronaVac [115, 116] 66% for Ad26.COV2.S [110] 55–81% for ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 [111, 112]
Eta	B.1.525	New York, USA	November 2020	p.Q52R, p.A67V, p.H69del, p.V70del, p.Y144del, p.E484K, p.D614G, p.Q677H	p.F888L	↑Resistance to Abs from convalescent serum [117, 118]	↓Neutralizing activity with BNT162b2 [117]
Theta	P.3	Philippines and Japan	February 2021	p.L241del, p.L242del, p.E4243del, p.E484K, p.N501Y, p.D614G, p.P681H	p.E1092K, p.H1101Y, p.V1176F	↑Resistance to Abs similar to B.1.351 [119]	↓↓↓Neutralizing activity with BNT162b2 [119]
lota	B.1.526	USA	November 2020	p.L5F, p.T95I, p.D253G, p.E484K, p.D614G	p.A701V	↓Resistance to Abs from convalescent serum and monoclonal Abs [14, 120]	↓↓Neutralizing activity with CoronaVac [109] 55–81% for ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 [111] 66% for Ad26.COV2.S [110] 94–95% for BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 [112] 89% for NVX-CoV2373 [113]
Карра	B.1.617.1	India	October 2020	p.E154K, p.L452R, p.E484Q, p.D614G, p.P681R	p.Q1071H	↓Susceptibility to Abs from COVID-19 patients serum than P.3 and B.1.351 [119]	↓Neutralizing activity with BNT162b2 [117] 78% for Covaxin [112] ↓Neutralizing

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued.)

		Emergence		Spike mutations			
WHO nomenclature	PANGO Lineage	Country	Time	S1 subunit (1–685aa)	S2 subunit (686–1273aa)	Impact	Efficacy of vaccines
							activity with Covaxin [112]
Lambda	C.37	Peru	December 2020	p.G75V, p.T76I, p.R246del, p.S247del, p.Y248del, p.L249del, p.T250del, p.P251del, p.G252del, p.D253N, p.L452Q, p.F490S, p.D614G,	p.T859N	↑Transmissibility [121]	↓Neutralizing activity with BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 [121] 55–81% for ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 [111] 66% for Ad26.COV2.S [112]
Mu	B.1.621	Colombia	January 2021	p.T95I, p.Y144S, p.Y145N, p.R346K, p.E484K, p.N501Y, p.D614G, p.P681H	p.D950N	†Affinity with the ACE2 receptor [65] Unknown transmissibility [65]	↓Neutralizing activity with BNT162b2 [122]

aa, amino acids; Abs, antibodies; neutralizing activity = 1: 1–3-fold reduction; 1: 3–5-fold reduction; 1:>5-fold reduction.

Their impact on natural infection and the efficacy of vaccines.

several epidemiological and clinical characteristics [74, 75]. In addition, concerns have been raised regarding the impact of the emerging variants to the NAc and T-cell responses stimulated by the vaccine.

The produced NAbs with the BNT162b2 vaccine seems to be active against Alpha variant (B.1.1.7), but with a slight decrease in NAc compared to the wild-type strain first observed in Wuhan [76, 77]. Reduction in NAc against the B.1.1.7 variant may be attributed to its p.E484K spike substitution, since this mutation has previously been revealed to escape several monoclonal antibodies [77].

BNT162b2 vaccine also neutralises the virus carrying spike protein mutations found in B.1.351. A recent study showed that neutralizing titres in serum from vaccine recipients were three to four times lower for B.1.351 compared to those with wild-type virus, but still more enhanced than titres in convalescent individuals infected by wild-type virus [78].

In a study from Qatar that included more than 265 000 vaccinated individuals during the predominance of both B.1.1.7 and B.1.351, vaccine effectiveness (VE) of BNT162b2 was estimated at 90% for B.1.1.7 infection and 75% for B.1.351 infection [79]. However, a single dose of BNT162b2 was not associated with high effectiveness against both VOCs [79].

In a multicentre cohort study, in which over 23 000 healthcare workers in the UK participated, covering a time period when the B.1.1.7 variant was prevalent, VE against SARS-CoV-2 infection (both asymptomatic and symptomatic) was estimated at 70% 21 days or more following the first dose and 85% 7 days or more after the second dose of BNT162b2 vaccine, respectively [80]. Neutralizing response of BNT162b2 was three to fivefold lower against Delta variant (B.1.617.2) compared to Alpha variant (B.1.1.7) due to the activation of RBD and non-RBD humoral

immunity evasion strategies [81]. In a case-control study, the effectiveness of two doses of BNT162b2 was 94% against B.1.1.7 and 88% against B.1.617.2 [82].

Similarly to BNT162b2, mRNA-1273 offers sufficient vaccine-elicited NAbs coverage against B.1.1.7 and includes up to 6–9-fold lower titre than with wild-type virus against B.1.351 [83] with an additional 2–3-fold times less antibody coverage against B.1.429 [83]. Recently, Wu *et al.* detected reductions of NAc by a factor of 1.2 in titres of NAbs against the B.1.1.7, 6.4 against the B.1.351 and 3.5 against the P.1 variant [84]. Compared to previous circulating strains, it seems that generation of NAbs levels is suggestively lower against B.1.351 and B.1.617.2 [85, 86]. Recently, McCallum *et al.* showed an approximately 2.5-fold decrease of NAc against B.1.427/B.1.429 in mRNA vaccine recipients' plasma, respectively [56].

Ad26.COV2.S and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/AZD1222

The Ad26.COV2.S (Janssen or Johnson & Johnson COVID-19 Vaccine; Janssen Vaccines in Leiden and Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Beerse, Belgium) and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/ AZD1222 (Covishield and Vaxzevria; Oxford University and AstraZeneca, Cambridge, United Kingdom) vaccines, both based on recombinant, replication-incompetent adenovirus vector technique, have raised controversial issues in the scientific community despite the high immunogenicity and efficacy rates, mainly regarding their association with the rare side effect of thrombotic thrombocytopenia and their neutralisation activity as well as its duration against most common SARS-CoV-2 VOCs [87–89].

In a phase III randomised control trial of the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine, VE was estimated at 66.9% in preventing moderate to

severe SARS-CoV-2 infection. For instance, during the presence of p.D614G mutation in the USA, estimated VE rates were 74% and 72% 14 and 28 days after vaccination, respectively [87]. In South Africa, despite the high prevalence of the B.1.351 during the trial period, Ad26.COV2.S VE against moderate to severe disease was estimated at 52.0% 14 days and 64.0% 28 days after administration, respectively [87]. In Brazil, where the P.2 variant was prevalent, VE was estimated at 66% 14 days and 68% 28 days after administration, respectively [87]. These data are indicative of a significant heterogeneity in efficacy rates of Ad26.COV2.S between different regions worldwide.

Analysis of one of the randomised trials has shown considerable efficacy rates of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine against variants that can evade human immune responses [90]. Despite the induction of low NAb responses against the B.1.1.7 *in vitro*, its efficacy in symptomatic COVID-19 patients infected by B.1.1.7 was not statistically different compared with other variants (70.4% vs. 81.5%) [90]. In South Africa, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 did not reduce the rate of mild to moderate COVID-19 when the B.1.351 was predominant, with VE in symptomatic COVID-19 patients infected by the B.1.1.7 estimated at 70.4% and 81.5% in those infected by non-B.1.1.7 variants, respectively [90]. However, VE in asymptomatic COVID-19 patients infected by the B.1.1.7 was dropped to 28.9% [90].

Regarding the VE against SARS-CoV-2 VOCs in a study of 63 vaccinees, the detectability of NAc against approximately 60% of the study population due to immune evasion strategies in B.1.617.2 variant was confirmed [91]. In a case-control study, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 VE was 74% against B.1.1.7 and 67% against B.1.617.2 [82].

Other vaccines

NVX-CoV2373 (Novavax COVID-19 vaccine; Novavax, Gaithersburg, MD, USA and the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations, Davos, Switzerland) is a recombinant protein nanoparticle vaccine composed of trimeric spike glycoproteins and a potent Matrix-M1 adjuvant [92]. In phase I/II randomised, placebo-controlled trial of healthy individuals <60 years old established that the vaccine, administrated in two doses 21 days apart, induced NAbs and CD4⁺ T-cell immune responses that exceed the magnitude of responses measured in convalescent serum [92]. In a phase III trial which enrolled more than 15 000 participants aged 18-84 years old in the UK and during the B.1.1.7 predominance, NVX-CoV2373 had an estimated efficacy of 89.3%, thus preventing from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection at least 7 days following the second dose in seronegative individuals [93]. In South Africa, where most COVID-19 cases were infected by the B.1.351, it was shown that NVX-CoV2373 vaccine efficacy was 49.4% [94].

Gam-COVID-Vac (Sputnik V; Gamaleya Research Institute of Epidemiology and Microbiology, Moscow, Russia) was first developed in Russia and is based on two replication-incompetent adenovirus vectors (rAd26 and rAd5) that express a full-length spike glycoprotein [95]. An initial rAd26 dose is followed by a rAd5 boosting dose approximately 1.5–3 months later [95]. Phase III trials showed an estimated VE of 91.6% in preventing symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection after the administration of the second dose, inducing significant humoral and cellular immune responses in the study participants [95]. Compared to the wild-type virus, Gam-COVID-Vac was associated with a 6.8 and 2.8-fold reduction of NAc against B.1.351 and p.E484K, respectively [96]. AD5-nCOV (Convidecia or PakVac; CanSino Biologics, China) is based on a recombinant rAd5 vector that expresses the spike protein [97]. As a single dose, it elicits detectable immune responses approximately 28 days following the vaccination [97]. Even though a recent press release reported that CanSino vaccine was 75% effective in Pakistan, officially published clinical trials have not yet been implemented [98]. Since the availability of the vaccines is limited in China and other certain countries, including Mexico, Argentina, Chile, Russia and Pakistan, in parallel with the presence of multiple different VOCs [99, 100], more multicentre studies are required to determine neutralisation immune responses and VE to be better understood.

The CoronaVac (Sinovac COVID-19 vaccine; SinovacBiotech, Beijing, China), an aluminium hydroxide adjuvant COVID-19 vaccine developed in China, consists of an inactivated virus [101]. It is available in China, Brazil, Chile, Indonesia, Mexico and Turkey. Administrated in two doses 28 days apart, it elicits detectable immune responses with a good safety profile in adults aged 18–59 years [101]. Several studies performed in different countries report efficacy rates ranging from 50% to 91%. Interestingly, during the predominance of the P.1 variant in Brazil, there was only 50.4% reported efficacy rate at preventing symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection and 78% in mild cases [102]. In other studies, 91.25% efficacy rate at preventing symptomatic COVID-19 in Turkey and 65% in Indonesia were reported [100].

BBV152 (Covaxin; Bharat Biotech, India and Indian Council of Medical Research) is based on inactivated virus that was developed in India. It has an aluminium hydroxide and an imidazoquinoline molecule and a toll-like receptor agonist used to enhance cell-mediated responses [103]. It is administrated in two doses 29 days apart and is immunogenic in healthy individuals aged 18–55 years [103]. Compared to the wild-type virus, immunisation is accompanied by reduced NAb titres against the B.1.617 variant [104]. The presence of p.K417N in the Delta Plus variant is possible to increase antibody escaping strategies and it has been shown to have reduced neutralisation activity in BBV152 (Covaxin) vaccinated individuals [105].

WIV04 and HB02 (also known as BBIBP-CorV, Sinopharm COVID-19 vaccine; Sinopharm's Beijing Institute of Biological Products, Beijing, China) are two inactivated, whole-virus vaccines using an aluminium hydroxide adjuvant that were based on two different SARS-CoV-2 isolates from patients in China [106, 107]. When administrated in two doses 28 days apart in individuals aged 18–80 years, they elicit significant neutralizing immune responses with good safety profiles [106, 107]. Phase III randomised clinical trials that included nearly 40 000 participants showed an estimated VE of 73% for WIV04 and 78% for HB02, starting 14 days post vaccination [108]. Despite those efficacy rates, further investigation regarding WIV04 and HB02 efficacy against VOCs is required.

Conclusion

Although SARS-CoV-2 is an RNA virus which contains a viral protein with 3'-5' exoribonuclease function, it has undergone many mutations since the beginning of the pandemic and has garnered worldwide attention due to its rapid and continuous spread. To date, four SARS-CoV-2 VOCs have been identified as they affect the transmission, clinical implications, morbidity and mortality. Although specific mutations of each VOC have

been associated with some features, the same mutations in VOIs are not enough to classify them within VOCs, which pose a challenge to public health. A synergistic action of several different mutations may contribute to the clinical characteristics of infected patients, transmissibility or the escape from immunity. Currently available vaccines are capable of retaining NAc against VOCs, although the levels of NAbs vary. It still remains questionable whether VE against future SARS-CoV-2 variants will be preserved. The continuous surveillance of circulating SARS-CoV-2 variants will help in understanding the evolution of the virus and in developing more effective vaccines.

Data availability statement. All data presented in this review are collected from previously published papers and are available from the cited references.

References

- Gorbalenya AE et al. (2020) The species severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. Nature Microbiology 5, 536–544.
- Li W et al. (2005) Bats are natural reservoirs of SARS-like coronaviruses. Science (80-) 310, 676–679.
- 3. Rabaan AA et al. (2020) SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV: a comparative overview. Le Infezioni in Medicina Med 28, 174–184.
- Zhu N et al. (2020) A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. The New England Journal of Medicine 382, 727–733.
- Lu R et al. (2020) Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. *The Lancet* 395, 565–574.
- 6. Perlman S (2020) Another decade, another coronavirus. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 382, 760–762.
- Hul V et al. (2021) A novel SARS-CoV-2 related coronavirus in bats from Cambodia. *bioRxiv*, 2021.01.26.428212. doi: 10.1101/2021.01.26.428212
- Wang MY et al. (2020) SARS-CoV-2: structure, biology, and structurebased therapeutics development. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology 10, 587269. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2020.587269.
- Hoffmann M et al. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. *Cell* 181, 271–280.e8.
- Kadam SB et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2, the pandemic coronavirus: molecular and structural insights. *Journal of Basic Microbiology* 61, 180-202.
- Wrapp D et al. (2020) Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. Science 367, 1260–1263. doi: 10.1126/ science.abb2507.
- Brouwer PJM et al. (2020) Potent neutralizing antibodies from COVID-19 patients define multiple targets of vulnerability. Science (New York, N.Y.) 369, 643–650.
- Ma Y et al. (2015) Structural basis and functional analysis of the SARS coronavirus nsp14-nsp10 complex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 112, 9436–9441.
- Janik E et al. (2021) The emerging concern and interest sars-cov-2 variants. *Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland)* 10, 633. doi: 10.3390/ pathogens10060633.
- Sanyaolu A et al. (2021) The emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. Therapeutic Advances in Infectious Disease 8, 204993612110243.
- Shu Y and McCauley J (2017) GISAID: global initiative on sharing all influenza data – from vision to reality. *Eurosurveillance* 22, 30494. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.13.30494.
- Hadfield J et al. (2018) NextStrain: real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 34, 4121–4123.
- O'Toole Á et al. (2021) Assignment of epidemiological lineages in an emerging pandemic using the pangolin tool. Virus Evolution 7, veab064. doi: 10.1093/VE/VEAB064.
- WHO. Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants. WHO. Published 2021. Available at https://www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants/ (Accessed 25 October 2021).

- 20. Krause PR et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 variants and vaccines. The New England Journal of Medicine 385, 179–186.
- 21. Kemp SA et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 evolution during treatment of chronic infection. *Nature* 592, 277–282.
- Haynes WA et al. (2021) Impact of B.1.1.7 variant mutations on antibody recognition of linear SARS-CoV-2 epitopes. medRxiv, 2021.01.06.20248960. doi: 10.1101/2021.01.06.20248960
- Xie X et al. (2021) Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 spike 69/70 deletion, E484K and N501Y variants by BNT162b2 vaccine-elicited sera. *Nature Medicine* 27, 620–621.
- 24. Starr TN *et al.* (2020) Deep mutational scanning of SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain reveals constraints on folding and ACE2 binding. *Cell* **182**, 1295–1310.e20.
- Mylonakis E (2021) SARS-CoV-2 variants and their clinical implications. *Rhode Island Medical Journal* (2013) 104, 59–60. Available at https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34339484/ (Accessed 25 October 2021).
- Liu Y et al. (2021) The N501Y spike substitution enhances SARS-CoV-2 transmission. *bioRxiv*, 2021.03.08.434499. doi: 10.1101/2021.03.08.4 34499.
- 27. Davies NG *et al.* (2021) Estimated transmissibility and impact of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England. Science (New York, N.Y.) 372, eabg3055.
- Davies NG et al. (2021) Increased hazard of death in community-tested cases of SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern 202012/01. medRxiv, 2021.02.01.21250959. doi: 10.1101/2021.02.01.21250959.
- Washington NL et al. (2021) Emergence and rapid transmission of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 in the United States. Cell 184, 2587–2594.e7.
- Davies NG et al. (2021) Increased mortality in community-tested cases of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7. Nature 593, 270–274.
- Frampton D et al. (2021) Genomic characteristics and clinical effect of the emergent SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 lineage in London, UK: a wholegenome sequencing and hospital-based cohort study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 21, 1246–1256. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00170-5.
- Stirrup OT et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 is associated with greater disease severity among hospitalised women but not men: multicentre cohort study. British Medical Journal 8, e001029. doi: 10.1136/ bmjresp-2021-001029.
- Wang P et al. (2021) Antibody resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7. Nature 593, 130–135.
- Tegally H et al. (2021) Detection of a SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern in South Africa. *Nature* 592, 438–443.
- Nonaka CKV et al. (2021) Genomic evidence of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection involving E484K spike mutation, Brazil. Emerging Infectious Diseases 27, 1522–1524.
- Cele S et al. (2021) Escape of SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 from neutralization by convalescent plasma. Nature 593, 142–146.
- Wibmer CK et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 escapes neutralization by South African COVID-19 donor plasma. Nature Medicine 27, 622–625.
- 38. Nelson G et al. (2021) Molecular dynamic simulation reveals E484K mutation enhances spike RBD-ACE2 affinity and the combination of E484K, K417N and N501Y mutations (501Y.V2 variant) induces conformational change greater than N501Y mutant alone, potentially resulting in an escape mutant. *bioRxiv*, 2021.01.13.426558. doi: 10.1101/2021.01.13.426558.
- VirologicalOrg (2021) Genomic characterisation of an emergent SARS-CoV-2lineage in Manaus: preliminary findings. Available at https://virological.org/t/genomic-characterisation-of-an-emergent-sars-cov-2-lineage-in-manaus-preliminary-findings/586 (Accessed 1 July 2021)..
- Nascimento VAD et al. (2020) Genomic and phylogenetic characterisation of an imported case of SARS-CoV-2 in Amazonas State, Brazil. *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 115, 1–6.
- 41. Faria NR et al. (2021) Genomics and epidemiology of the P.1 SARS-CoV-2 lineage in Manaus, Brazil. Science (New York, N.Y.) 372, 815–821. doi: 10.1126/science.abh2644.
- Garcia-Beltran WF et al. (2021) Multiple SARS-CoV-2 variants escape neutralization by vaccine-induced humoral immunity. Cell 184, 2523.
- 43. Chen L-L et al. (2021) Impact of SARS-CoV-2 variant-associated RBD mutations on the susceptibility to serum antibodies elicited by

COVID-19 infection or vaccination. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, ciab656. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab656.

- 44. Wu B et al. (2021) Sequencing on an imported case in China of COVID-19 Delta variant emerging from India in a cargo ship in Zhoushan, China. Journal of Medical Virology 93, 6828–6832. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27239.
- 45. Suryadevara N et al. (2021) Neutralizing and protective human monoclonal antibodies recognizing the N-terminal domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *Cell* 184, 2316–2331.e15.
- 46. Chen J et al. (2020) Mutations strengthened SARS-CoV-2 infectivity. Journal of Molecular Biology 432, 5212–5226.
- Wang R et al. (2021) Vaccine-escape and fast-growing mutations in the United Kingdom, the United States, Singapore, Spain, India, and other COVID-19-devastated countries. *Genomics* 113, 2158–2170.
- Starr TN et al. (2021) Complete map of SARS-CoV-2 RBD mutations that escape the monoclonal antibody LY-CoV555 and its cocktail with LY-CoV016. Cell Reports Medicine 2, 100255. doi: 10.1016/ j.xcrm.2021.100255.
- 49. Chen J et al. (2021) Revealing the threat of emerging SARS-CoV-2 mutations to antibody therapies. *bioRxiv Prepr Serv Biol* 433, 167155.
- Christie A et al. (2021) Guidance for implementing COVID-19 prevention strategies in the context of varying community transmission levels and vaccination coverage. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 70, 1044–1047.
- Public Health England Gov.uk (2021) SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England. Available at https:// assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/att achment_data/file/1018547/Technical_Briefing_23_21_09_16.pdf (Acces sed 1 September 2021).
- Kannan SR et al. (2021) Evolutionary analysis of the Delta and Delta Plus variants of the SARS-CoV-2 viruses. *Journal of Autoimmunity* 124, 102715. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2021.102715.
- outbreak.info (2021) Available at https://outbreak.info/situation-reports? pango=AY.1&loc=IND&loc=GBR&loc=USA (Accessed 26 October 2021).
- Roy B and Roy H (2021) The Delta Plus variant of COVID-19: will it be the worst nightmare in the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic? *Journal of Biomedical Sciences* 8, 1–2.
- Zhang W et al. (2021) Emergence of a novel SARS-CoV-2 variant in Southern California. *Journal of American Medical Association* 325, 1324–1326.
- 56. McCallum M *et al.* (2021) SARS-CoV-2 immune evasion by the B.1.427/B.1.429 variant of concern. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **373**, 648–654.
- Salleh MZ, Derrick JP and Deris ZZ (2021) Structural evaluation of the spike glycoprotein variants on SARS-CoV-2 transmission and immune evasion. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 22, 7425.
- Aleem A, Akbar Samad AB and Slenker AK. 2021Emerging variants of SARS-CoV-2 and novel therapeutics against coronavirus (COVID-19). *StatPearls 2021.*
- outbreak.info (2021) Available at https://outbreak.info/situation-reports? pango=B.1.525 (Accessed 3 August 2021).
- Annavajhala MK et al. (2021) A novel and expanding SARS-CoV-2 variant, B.1.526, identified in New York. *medRxiv*, 2021.02.23.21252259. doi: 10.1101/2021.02.23.21252259.
- Lasek-Nesselquist E et al. (2021) The localized rise of a B.1.526 SARS-CoV-2 variant containing an E484K mutation in New York State. medRxiv, 2021.02.26.21251868. doi: 10.1101/2021.02.26. 21251868.
- Thompson CN et al. (2021) Rapid emergence and epidemiologic characteristics of the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.526 variant – New York City, New York, January 1–April 5, 2021. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 70, 712–716.
- Ferreira I et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617 emergence and sensitivity to vaccine-elicited antibodies. *bioRxiv*, 2021.05.08.443253. doi: 10.1101/ 2021.05.08.443253.
- 64. Virological.org (2021) Novel sublineage within B.1.1.1 currently expanding in Peru and Chile, with a convergent deletion in the ORF1a gene

(Δ3675-3677) and a novel deletion in the Spike gene (Δ246-252, G75V, T76I, L452Q, F490S, T859N). Available at https://virological.org/t/ novel-sublineage-within-b-1-1-1-currently-expanding-in-peru-and-chilewith-a-convergent-deletion-inthe-orf1a-gene-3675-3677-and-a-noveldeletion-in-the-spike-gene-246-Q22252-g75v-t76i-l452q-f490s-t859n/685 (Accessed 3 August 2021).

- Laiton-Donato K et al. (2021) Characterization of the emerging B.1.621 variant of interest of SARS-CoV-2. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* 95, 105038. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2021.105038.
- Korber B et al. (2020) Tracking changes in SARS-CoV-2 spike: evidence that D614G increases infectivity of the COVID-19 virus. Cell 182, 812– 827.e19.
- Zhou B et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 spike D614G change enhances replication and transmission. Nature 592, 122–127.
- Plante JA et al. (2021) Spike mutation D614G alters SARS-CoV-2 fitness. Nature 592, 116–121.
- Volz E et al. (2021) Evaluating the effects of SARS-CoV-2 spike mutation D614G on transmissibility and pathogenicity. Cell 184, 64–75.e11.
- Klumpp-Thomas C et al. (2021) Effect of D614G spike variant on immunoglobulin G, M, or A spike seroassay performance. The Journal of Infectious Diseases 223, 802–804.
- Lee CYP et al. (2021) Human neutralising antibodies elicited by SARS-CoV-2 non-D614G variants offer cross-protection against the SARS-CoV-2 D614G variant. *Clinical and Translational Immunology* 10, e1241. doi: 10.1002/cti2.1241.
- Weissman D et al. (2021) D614G spike mutation increases SARS CoV-2 susceptibility to neutralization. Cell Host & Microbe 29, 23–31.e4.
- Polack FP et al. (2020) Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. The New England Journal of Medicine 383, 2603–2615.
- Dagan N et al. (2021) BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine in a nationwide mass vaccination setting. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 384, 1412–1423.
- 75. Michos A et al. (2021) Association of total and neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 spike-receptor binding domain antibodies with epidemiological and clinical characteristics after immunization with the 1st and 2nd doses of the BNT162b2 vaccine. Vaccine 39, 5963–59637. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.07.067.
- Muik A et al. (2021) Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 pseudovirus by BNT162b2 vaccine-elicited human sera. Science (New York, N.Y.) 371, 1152–1153.
- Collier DA et al. (2021) Sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 to mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies. *Nature* 593, 136–141. doi: 10.1038/ s41586-021-03412-7.
- Tada T et al. (2021) Neutralization of viruses with European, South African, and United States SARS-CoV-2 variant spike proteins by convalescent sera and BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies. *bioRxiv*, 2021.02.05.430003. doi: 10.1101/2021.02.05.430003.
- Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H and Butt AA (2021) Effectiveness of the BNT162b2 Covid-19 vaccine against the B.1.1.7 and B.1.351 variants. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 385, 187–189. doi: 10.1056/ nejmc2104974.
- Hall VJ et al. (2021) COVID-19 vaccine coverage in health-care workers in England and effectiveness of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine against infection (SIREN): a prospective, multicentre, cohort study. *The Lancet* 397, 1725–1735.
- Planas D *et al.* (2021) Reduced sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 variant Delta to antibody neutralization. *Nature* **596**, 276–280. doi: 10.1038/ s41586-021-03777-9.
- Bernal JL et al. (2021) Effectiveness of Covid-19 vaccines against the B.1.617.2 (delta) variant. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 385, 585–594. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2108891.
- Shen X et al. (2021) Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.429 and B.1.351. The New England Journal of Medicine 384, 2352–2354. doi: 10.1056/nejmc2103740.
- Wu K et al. (2021) Serum neutralizing activity elicited by mRNA-1273 vaccine. The New England Journal of Medicine 384, 1468–1470.
- Shen X et al. (2021) Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.429 and B.1.351. The New England Journal of Medicine 384, 2352–2354.

- Edara V-V et al. (2021) Infection and vaccine-induced neutralizing-antibody responses to the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617 variants. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 385, 664–666. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMc2107799.
- Sadoff J et al. (2021) Safety and efficacy of single-dose Ad26.COV2.S vaccine against Covid-19. The New England Journal of Medicine 384, 2187–2201. doi: 10.1056/nejmoa2101544.
- Voysey M et al. (2021) Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. *The Lancet* 397, 99–111.
- Greinacher A et al. (2021) Thrombotic thrombocytopenia after ChAdOx1 nCov-19 vaccination. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 384, 2092–2101. doi: 10.1056/nejmoa2104840.
- Emary KRW et al. (2021) Efficacy of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern 202012/01 (B.1.1.7): an exploratory analysis of a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet* 397, 1351–1362.
- Wall EC et al. (2021) AZD1222-induced neutralising antibody activity against SARS-CoV-2 Delta VOC. Lancet (London, England) 398, 207– 209.
- Keech C et al. (2020) Phase 1–2 trial of a SARS-CoV-2 recombinant spike protein nanoparticle vaccine. The New England Journal of Medicine 383, 2320–2332.
- Novavax COVID-19 vaccine demonstrates 89.3% efficacy in UK phase 3 trial Novavax Inc. – IR site. Available at https://ir.novavax.com/newsreleases/news-release-details/novavax-covid-19-vaccine-demonstrates-893efficacy-uk-phase-3 (Accessed 1 June 2021).
- Shinde V et al. (2021) Efficacy of NVX-CoV2373 Covid-19 vaccine against the B.1.351 variant. The New England Journal of Medicine 384, 1899–1909.
- 95. Logunov DY et al. (2020) Safety and immunogenicity of an rAd26 and rAd5 vector-based heterologous prime-boost COVID-19 vaccine in two formulations: two open, non-randomised phase 1/2 studies from Russia. *The Lancet* 396, 887–897.
- 96. Ikegame S et al. (2021) Neutralizing activity of Sputnik V vaccine sera against SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Nature Communications* 12, 1–11.
- 97. Zhu FC et al. (2020) Immunogenicity and safety of a recombinant adenovirus type-5-vectored COVID-19 vaccine in healthy adults aged 18 years or older: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *The Lancet* **396**, 479–488.
- China's 1-dose COVID-19 vaccine 74.8% effective in late-stage trial CGTN. Available at https://news.cgtn.com/news/2021-02-09/CanSinoBIO-s-COVID-19-vaccine-74-8-effective-in-Pakistan-trials-XJrVtCspNu/index.html (Accessed 2 June 2021).
- Phase III trial of a COVID-19 vaccine of adenovirus vector in adults 18 years old and above – full text view – ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04526990 (Accessed 2 June 2021).
- Baraniuk C (2021) What do we know about China's covid-19 vaccines? BMJ 373, n912. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n912.
- 101. Zhang Y et al. (2021) Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in healthy adults aged 18–59 years: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1/2 clinical trial. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 21, 181–192.
- 102. Hitchings MDT et al. (2021) Effectiveness of CoronaVac among healthcare workers in the setting of high SARS-CoV-2 Gamma variant transmission in Manaus, Brazil: a test-negative case-control study. *Lancet Regional Health Americas*, 100025. doi: 10.1016/j.lana.2021.100025.
- 103. Ella R et al. (2021) Safety and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, BBV152: a double-blind, randomised, phase 1 trial. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 21, 637–646.

- 104. Yadav PD et al. (2021) Neutralization of variant under investigation B.1.617 with sera of BBV152 vaccinees. Clinical Infectious Diseases, ciab411. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab411.
- 105. Yadav PD et al. (2021) Comparable neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Delta AY.1 and Delta with individuals sera vaccinated with BBV152. Journal of Travel Medicine, taab154. doi: 10.1093/jtm/taab154.
- 106. Xia S et al. (2020) Effect of an inactivated vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 on safety and immunogenicity outcomes: interim analysis of 2 randomized clinical trials. *Journal of American Medical Association* 324, 951–960.
- 107. Xia S et al. (2021) Safety and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, BBIBP-CorV: a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled, phase 1/2 trial. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 21, 39–51.
- Al Kaabi N et al. (2021) Effect of 2 inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccines on symptomatic COVID-19 infection in adults: a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of American Medical Association* 326, 35–45.
- 109. Chen Y et al. (2021) Serum neutralising activity against SARS-CoV-2 variants elicited by CoronaVac. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 21, 1071–1072.
- Ledford H (2021) J&J's one-shot COVID vaccine offers hope for faster protection. *Nature*. Published online 29 January 2021. doi: 10.1038/ d41586-021-00119-7
- 111. Voysey M et al. (2021) Single-dose administration and the influence of the timing of the booster dose on immunogenicity and efficacy of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine: a pooled analysis of four randomised trials. *The Lancet* 397, 881–891.
- 112. Tregoning JS *et al.* (2021) Progress of the COVID-19 vaccine effort: viruses, vaccines and variants versus efficacy, effectiveness and escape. *Nature Reviews Immunology* **21**, 626–636.
- 113. Callaway E and Mallapaty S (2021) Novavax offers first evidence that COVID vaccines protect people against variants. *Nature* **590**, 17.
- 114. Focosi D et al. (2021) Sars-cov-2 variants: a synopsis of in vitro efficacy data of convalescent plasma, currently marketed vaccines, and monoclonal antibodies. Viruses 13, 1211.
- 115. Widera M et al. (2021) Bamlanivimab does not neutralize two SARS-CoV-2 variants carrying E484K in vitro. *medRxiv*, 2021.02.24.21252372. doi: 10.1101/2021.02.24.21252372.
- 116. Sapkal G et al. (2021) Neutralization of VUI B.1.1.28 P2 variant with sera of COVID-19 recovered cases and recipients of Covaxin an inactivated COVID-19 vaccine. *Journal of Travel Medicine* 28, taab077. doi: 10.1093/jtm/taab077.
- 117. Shi P-Y et al. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.617.1 and B.1.525 by BNT162b2-elicited sera. Published online 21 May 2021. doi: 10.21203/RS.3.RS-540721/V1
- 118. Jangra S et al. (2021) The E484K mutation in the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein reduces but does not abolish neutralizing activity of human convalescent and post-vaccination sera. *medRxiv*, 2021.01.26.21250543. doi: 10.1101/2021.01.26.21250543.
- 119. Chen L-L et al. (2021) Impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) variant-associated receptor binding domain (RBD) mutations on the susceptibility to Serum antibodies elicited by coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection or vaccination. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, ciab656. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab656.
- 120. Zhou H, Dcosta and BM and Sa (2021) B.1.526 SARS-CoV-2 variants identified in New York City are neutralized by vaccine-elicited and therapeutic monoclonal antibodies. *MBio* 12, e0138621.
- 121. Tada T et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 lambda variant remains susceptible to neutralization by mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies and convalescent Serum. bioRxiv, 2021.07.02.450959. doi: 10.1101/2021.07.02.450959.
- 122. Messali S et al. (2021) A cluster of the new SARS-CoV-2 B.1.621 lineage in Italy and sensitivity of the viral isolate to the BNT162b2 vaccine. *Journal of Medical Virology* 93, 6468–6470.