Single step aerosol synthesis of nanocomposites by aerosol routes: γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ and their functionalization

Soubir Basak^{a)}

Department of Energy, Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri 63130

Vinay Tiwari

Department of Energy, Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri 63130; and Center for Environmental Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Mumbai 400076, India

Jinda Fan and Samuel Achilefu

Department of Radiology, Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110

Virendra Sethi

Center for Environmental Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Mumbai 400076, India Pratim Biswas^{b)}

Department of Energy, Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri 63130

(Received 23 September 2010; accepted 2 March 2011)

A single step gas phase method was developed to synthesize silica-coated iron oxide nanocomposite materials in a furnace aerosol reactor (FuAR) using premixed precursors. Synthesis of single component silica and magnetic iron oxide was studied to understand the decomposition mechanism of the precursors, identify the product crystal phase, and optimize the viable operating conditions for the controlled synthesis of nanocomposite material with desirable crystal phase, size, and morphology. The single component decomposition results are further extended to synthesize silica-coated magnetic iron oxide nanocomposite material using premixed precursor. A mechanism was proposed to explain the formation of SiO₂-coated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite in a single step in a FuAR based on chemical kinetics and was verified by supporting characterization results. The synthesized magnetic properties, and surface reactivity and was compared with uncoated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles to demonstrate improved surface properties.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nanocomposite materials are of interest for modern technological applications such as reinforced lightweight materials, catalysts, nonlinear optics, sensors, fuel cell, medical imaging, and other systems because of improved chemical, mechanical, optical, and functional properties compared to bulk materials.^{1–5} The properties of nano-composite materials depend not only on the properties of their individual component materials but also on the morphology and interfacial characteristics. Significant effort is focused on the ability to obtain control of the nanoscale structures via innovative synthetic approaches.^{6,7} Consider-

^{b)}Address all correspondence to this author.

e-mail: pbiswas@wustl.edu

able research has been performed on the preparation of nanocomposite materials by multistep liquid phase synthesis,^{8,9} gas phase spray pyrolysis,¹⁰ and flame synthesis methods.^{5,11} However, the large-scale production of nanocomposites with well-controlled size, shape, and morphology at an affordable cost still poses a challenge. Of all the methods, gas phase spray pyrolysis is most promising.⁶

In this study, a single step gas phase method is developed to synthesize nanocomposite materials in a furnace aerosol reactor (FuAR) using premixed precursors. The advantages of this method are the ability to independently control the crystallinity, morphology, and particle size for continuous production. Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (usually γ -Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄) are used to improve the quality of magnetic resonance imaging,^{1,12,13} site-specific drug delivery,^{14,15} the manipulation of cell membranes and biological heating applications.¹⁶ These magnetic nanoparticles are often tailored with a surface coating (e.g., organic polymers like dextran, polyethylene glycol; metals like gold; or oxides like silica, alumina)^{10,17,18} to improve

^{a)}Present address: Department of Chemical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139.

Supplemental files can be viewed online by visiting http://journals. cambridge.org/jmr.

DOI: 10.1557/jmr.2011.97

suspension stability and surface reactivity. Synthesis of silica-coated magnetic iron oxide nanocomposite material is demonstrated in this study as a model system for their long history in biomedical applications. A silica coating on the magnetic iron oxide core can provide better chemical, mechanical, and suspension stability and enable attachment of various bioactive ligands through surface silanol groups by covalent bonding.¹⁹

First, synthesis of single component silica and magnetic iron oxide is studied to understand the decomposition mechanism of the precursors, identify the product crystallinity, and optimize the operating conditions for the controlled synthesis of nanocomposite material. Detailed results of the system necessary for design of single step synthesis of γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposites in FuARs are not available in the literature. The single component decomposition results are further extended to synthesize silica-coated magnetic iron oxide nanocomposite material using premixed precursor. A mechanism is proposed to explain the formation of SiO₂-coated γ-Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite in a single step in a FuAR based on chemical kinetics and verified by supporting information. The synthesized magnetic γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite material is further tested for suspension stability, magnetic properties, and surface reactivity (by attaching a fluorescent dye cypate-II)²⁰ to demonstrate improved properties over uncoated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

A. Solvents and reagents

Iron pentacarbonyl (IPC), 1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane (TMDS), 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane (APTMS), N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC), N,N'-dimethylformamide (DMF, Milwaukee, WI), and toluene were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. *N*-hydroxybenzotriazol (HOBt) was purchased from AnaSpec (San Jose, CA). All reagents and solvents were used as received unless mentioned otherwise. Cypate-II was synthesized by literature reported methods.²¹

B. Experimental setup for nanoparticle synthesis

The experimental setup for synthesis of nanocomposite consisted of three parts. A bubbler was used as a precursor feeding system; a tubular FuAR (Lindbergh Blue M, STF54779C, effective length 106 cm and internal diameter 2.5 cm) was used to decompose the precursor; and an electrostatic precipitator (ESP) was used for particle collection (Fig. 1). A diluter system was installed after the furnace to quench the temperature of the exhaust gas coming out of reactor by mixing with particle free air. Pure IPC and pure TMDS and a premixed IPC and TMDS (1:1 v/v) in liquid phase were carried by N₂ gas at 30 °C into the FuAR and were decomposed over a range of different temperatures (400–1400 °C). The temperature of the furnace was pre-

cisely controlled using a temperature controller (Lindbergh Blue M, CC58485C). Water-saturated N_2 was used to provide an oxidizing atmosphere, whereas N_2 was used to provide an inert atmosphere inside the reactor. Feed flow rate (1–200 ccm) and carrier gas flow (3–20 lpm) were varied to produce particles between 10–150 nm in size. The synthesized particles were collected on a cylindrical ESP with applied potential of +10 kV.

C. Protocol for surface functionalization

Synthesized nanoparticles (1 mg) were dispersed in toluene (2 mL) in a glass vial by sonicating for 30 min. APTMS (100 μ l) was added to the suspension and the mixture was further sonicated for 6 h at 50 °C. The nanoparticles were isolated by centrifugation and washed with toluene (3 × 1 mL), propanol (1 mL), and DMF (3 × 1 mL). The particles were then resuspended in DMF. DIC (13.9 μ l, 90 μ mol) was added to a solution of cypate-II (51.75 mg, 90 μ mol) and HOBt (12.2 mg, 90 μ mol) in DMF (1 mL) and swirled for 15 min at 600 rpm. The mixture was then transferred to the DMF suspension of nanoparticles and was swirled for 8 h at 600 rpm. The nanoparticles were isolated by centrifugation and washed with DMF (6 × 1 mL). The particles were then suspended in DMF.

D. Characterization methods

Particle size distributions were measured at the exit of FuAR using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS; TSI 3936) system. The size and microstructures were verified by a transmission electron microscope (TEM; Jeol 1200EX, Peabody, MA). The crystallographic characteristics were analyzed by powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) technique (Rigaku D-MAX/A9 diffractometer, Cu K α radiation, $\lambda =$ 1.5418 Å, The Woodlands, TX), whereas elemental analysis was done on energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis integrated in a scanning electron microscope (Hitachi S4500, Pleasanton, CA). The surface bonding, surface potential, magnetic property, and absorption spectrum were analyzed by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometry (Nexus-470, Thermo Nicolet, Madison, WI), Zetasizer (Nanoseries ZS, Malvern, Westborough, MA), physical properties measurement system (PPMS 6000, Quantum Design, San Diego, CA), and UV-Visible spectrophotometer (DU640, Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA) respectively.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A summary of the experimental plan along with the results are given in Table I. Single component pure silica and iron oxide nanomaterials are synthesized by decomposing pure TMDS and IPC separately to identify the product crystallinity under different reactor conditions and to optimize the operating conditions for the controlled synthesis of nanocomposite material. Amorphous silica



FIG. 1. Experimental setup to synthesize nanocomposite materials in a single step by furnace aerosol reactor.

TABLE I. Summary of experimental results along with product composition from x-ray diffraction analysis carried out at precursor flow rate 10 ccm and carrier gas flow rate 3 lpm.

Sample number	FuAR temperature (°C)	Precursor	FuAR environment	Crystal phase
1	900	TMDS	Moist N ₂	Amorphous
2	400	IPC	Moist N_2	Amorphous
3	600	IPC	Moist N ₂	Amorphous + γ -Fe ₂ O ₃
4	800	IPC	Moist N_2	γ-Fe ₂ O ₃
5	900	IPC	N_2	γ -Fe ₂ O ₃
6	900	IPC	Moist N_2	γ-Fe ₂ O ₃
7	1000	IPC	Moist N_2	γ -Fe ₂ O ₃
8	1000	IPC	N ₂	γ-Fe ₂ O ₃
9	1200	IPC	Moist N_2	γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ + α -Fe ₂ O ₃
10	1400	IPC	Moist N_2	α-Fe ₂ O ₃
11	900	IPC + TMDS	Moist N_2	γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ /SiO ₂
12	900	IPC + TMDS	N_2	Fe/SiO ₂
13	1200	IPC + TMDS	Moist N_2	γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ /SiO ₂
14	1200	IPC + TMDS	N ₂	Fe/SiO ₂

FuAR, furnace aerosol reactor; IPC, iron pentacarbonyl; TMDS, 1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane.

nanoparticles are obtained when pure TMDS is oxidized between 500 and 1200 °C in a moist N₂ environment (Sample 1). In presence of excess oxidizing agent, the reaction can be described by first order kinetics and the rate of oxidation (k_{1,Si}) is assumed to be similar to the reported value for silicon tetrachloride oxidation.²² The oxidation rate is found to be extremely low in comparison to the nucleation rate (k_{2,Si})²³ over a range of temperatures (k_{1,Si}/k_{2,Si} = 10⁻¹³ at 900 °C, Fig. 2), which indicates that the oxidation of the precursor is the rate limiting step for formation of silica nanoparticles.

Pure IPC has a decomposition temperature of 250 °C, where CO is removed stepwise from the central Fe atom.²⁴ Being extremely reactive, the Fe atoms can be readily oxidized to produce iron oxides. In this study, moisture is utilized as a mild oxidizing agent during the synthesis process. The moisture can further act as stabilizing agent for the metastable γ -Fe₂O₃ phase.²⁵ Amorphous iron oxide is obtained up to 400 °C (Sample 2), and a mixture of amorphous and γ -Fe₂O₃ is obtained up to 600 °C (Sample 3) when IPC is decomposed in a moist (saturated with water vapor) N₂ atmosphere. Metastable γ -Fe₂O₃ is the final product of IPC decomposition between 800 and 1000 °C in both N_2 and moist N_2 atmosphere (Samples 4–8) as identified by XRD (Fig. 3). Because of the thermodynamic stability of α -Fe₂O₃, it is obtained as the major product above 1200 °C (Samples 9 and 10) for all reaction conditions. Further, α -Fe₂O₃ is the final product between 900 and 1400 °C when air is used as the oxidizing agent. The oxidation reaction is led via a multistep structurally similar intermediate, like cubic Fe, FeO, and Fe₃O₄.²⁵ The metastable γ -Fe₂O₃ state is stabilized by the combined effect of short residence time (3-15 s), high thermal quenching rate after the furnace reactor, and use of mild oxidizing agent (moisture). The reported value of oxidation rate $(k_{1,Fe})$ is compared with the nucleation rate $(k_{2,Fe})$ for IPC²³ over



FIG. 2. Comparison of reaction rate constant and nucleation rate constants for γ -Fe₂O₃ and SiO₂ system.

a wide range of temperature ($k_{1,Fe}/k_{2,Fe} = 4.9 \times 10^{-6}$ at 900 °C), and it is concluded that oxidation is the rate limiting step for iron oxide nanoparticle formation.

The single component decomposition results are extended to synthesize nanocomposite oxides by cofeeding two precursors. The processes for the decomposition of the premixed precursors are the same as of single component decomposition viz. oxidation, nucleation, and coagulation as discussed earlier. However, the final composition and morphology of the mixed oxide may be chemically identical or chemically distinct based on the relative decomposition kinetics of the precursors. To build a generalized mechanism for the formation of different morphologies starting with premixed precursors, the two precursors are symbolized as P_1 and P_2 and the oxides formed by these precursors are symbolized as $A_x O_y$ and B_pO_q, respectively. Formation of chemically identical core-shell type $A_x O_v / B_p O_q$ nanocomposite is proposed for a binary system of premixed precursors having high relative decomposition rate (i.e., $k_A \gg k_B$ or $k_A \ll k_B$, where k_A and k_B are the rate of formation of oxide $A_x O_y$ and B_pO_q respectively). In case of $k_A \gg k_B$, the core of the nanocomposite is made of A_xO_y , whereas the shell of the nanocomposite is formed by B_pO_q, as graphically represented in Path-I of Fig. 4. Because of the higher rates of oxidation of precursor P₁, A_xO_y particles are produced before B_pO_q . Once formed, the A_xO_y vapor nucleates rapidly followed by growth of particle size by collisions and sintering. The growth of $A_x O_y$ is followed by formation of B_pO_q by chemical decomposition. The B_pO_q may nucleate heterogeneously on the surface of existing A_xO_y nanoparticles. Finally, at the downstream regions of the furnace, the nanocomposite gets sintered to form uniform core-shell type morphology. In a similar way, chemically identical B_pO_q coated with A_xO_y can be synthesized with proper choice of precursors (where $k_A \ll k_B$) as shown in Path-III of Fig. 4. A chemically distinct mixed morphology is proposed for the decomposition of premixed precursors with similar decomposition rate



FIG. 3. X-ray diffraction pattern of uncoated and silica-coated γ -Fe₂O₃ samples synthesized at different experimental conditions in Table I (H, hematite; M, maghemite).

constant (i.e., $k_A \approx k_B$) as graphically represented in Path-II of Fig. 4. Because of similar relative decomposition kinetics, both A_xO_y and B_pO_q are formed simultaneously, followed by formation of a mixed oxide nanomaterial.

To meet the objective of controlled synthesis of a silicacoated iron oxide nanocomposite, the rate of iron oxide formation should be higher than the rate of silica formation based on the Path-I of the proposed mechanism. In this study, both the precursors, IPC and TMDS are selected by the relative decomposition kinetics ($k_{1,Fe}/k_{1,Si} = 4.0 \times 10^8$ at 900 °C) for preferential production of a silica-coated iron oxide nanocomposite. When the premixed precursor (TMDS and IPC) is fed into the FuAR, initially, IPC is oxidized at a faster rate compared to TMDS ($k_{1,Fe} \gg k_{1,Si}$) forming only iron oxide. The iron oxide vapor is homogeneously nucleated (as $k_{1,Fe} \ll k_{2,Fe}$ at 900 °C) and the particles grow by



FIG. 4. Generalized formation mechanism of A_xO_y/B_pO_q type nanocomposites based on reaction kinetics starting with premixed precursors P_1 and P_2 . In this study, P_1 and P_2 represent IPC and TMDS, whereas A_xO_y and B_pO_q represent Fe₂O₃ and SiO₂, respectively. The value of $k_{1,Fe}/k_{1,Si}$ (4.0 × 10⁸ at 900 °C) satisfies the Path-I in the proposed generalized formation mechanism. Combined analysis of Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite by transmission electron microscopic (TEM) image, Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra, and zeta potential supports the proposed mechanism.

collision and sintering to form larger aggregates. This is followed by formation of silica molecules that are then heterogeneously nucleated on the existing iron oxide aggregates to form a shell. Downstream of the furnace, the silica deposited on the surface of iron oxide aggregate is sintered to form a spherical core-shell type nanocomposite system. In this study, the residence time inside the reactor is maintained in such a way to ensure complete decomposition of IPC to iron oxide for all the cases (Figs. S1-S3 in supplementary information; supplemental files can be viewed online by visiting http://journals.cambridge.org/jmr.). However, oxidation of TMDS based on the kinetic data of silicon tetrachloride is found to be partial for some reaction conditions at high flow rates (Figs. S2 and S3; supplemental files can be viewed online by visiting http://journals.cambridge.org/jmr.).

A spherical SiO₂-coated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite is synthesized in moist N₂ atmosphere between 900 and 1200 °C (Samples 11 and 13), which supports the proposed mechanism. The nanocomposite material obtained by this process has similar crystallinity to that individual single component system. However, a SiO₂-coated Fe nanocomposite (Samples 12 and 14) is obtained in pure N₂ environment, unlike γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles obtained from single component pure IPC decomposition under similar conditions (Samples 5 and 8). This observation is consistent with the proposed mechanism that pure Fe nanoparticles are formed as a reaction intermediate during the decomposition of IPC. The Fe nanoparticles being highly reactive get converted to γ -Fe₂O₃ by reaction with air in the diluter (Fig. 1) before being collected in the ESP. The conversion of Fe to γ -Fe₂O₃ during single component IPC decomposition can be avoided by using inert gas in the diluter and ESP system during collection. In case of two premixed precursors, the conversion of Fe to γ -Fe₂O₃ is hindered by formation of the shell SiO₂ layer on the surface of the Fe nanoparticles. The synthesis of stable Fe/SiO₂ nanocomposite clearly supports the formation of core shell type morphology with silica on the surface of the surface of highly reactive nanosized Fe core. The proposed mechanism can also be used to explain the synthesis of nanocomposite materials in flame aerosol reactors.¹¹

The single step synthesis of nanocomposite material proposed in this study is highly effective to control the morphology, crystallinity, and size of the nanostructure. Proper choices of precursors with relative decomposition



FIG. 5. (a) TEM image of synthesized core-shell type γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite (Sample 11). The inset is elemental analysis of the same sample using energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy. (b) FTIR spectra of synthesized uncoated and silica-coated γ -Fe₂O₃ samples at different experimental conditions.



FIG. 6. Scanning mobility particle sizer measured size distribution of γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ at different feed flow rates. (color online)



FIG. 7. (a) Zeta potential analysis of uncoated and silica-coated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles. (b) Hysteresis loop analysis of synthesized uncoated and silica-coated γ -Fe₂O₃ samples at different experimental conditions.

rates are essential to determine the morphology, whereas control of the decomposition temperature and the reaction environment are the key factors that control the crystallinity of the product as demonstrated earlier. Mean size of nanocomposite can be varied independently without altering the morphology and crystalline composition of the nanocomposite by varying the feed flow rate and the carrier gas flow rate. A decrease in feed flow rate decreases the mean particle size, whereas a decrease in carrier gas flow rate increases the residence time and particles grow to larger sizes. TEM images of collected nanocomposites (Sample 11) clearly show the formation of spherical γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite with core-shell morphology with some pure silica particles by nucleation [Fig. 5(a)]. The difference in surface bonding of uncoated and SiO₂-coated γ -Fe₂O₃ particles as observed by FTIR



FIG. 8. (a) Schematic diagram of surface functionalization of pure and SiO_2 -coated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles using cypate-II via 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane (APTMS) linkage. (b) FTIR analysis of APTMS, cypate-II, and cypate-II functionalized pure and silica-coated iron oxide nanoparticles. (c) UV–Visible analysis of uncoated (Sample 6), silica-coated γ -Fe₂O₃ (Sample 11) and surface modified nanoparticles functionalized with cypate-II suspended in water.

analysis also supports the formation of core shell morphology [Fig. 5(b)] with silica forming the shell of the nanocomposite. Two absorption bands around 570 and 470 cm⁻¹, characteristic of disordered γ -Fe₂O₃,¹⁰ are observed on uncoated γ -Fe₂O₃ particles (Samples 5 and 6). These two peaks disappear for the synthesized core-shell type γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ (Sample 11) and Fe/SiO₂ (Sample 12) nanocomposite. Appearance of two bands at 1180 and 1080 cm⁻¹ characteristic of the Si-O-Si asymmetric stretching vibration modes and a peak at 798 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the Si-O-Si symmetric stretching vibration modes and a peak at 798 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the Si-O-Si symmetric stretching vibration modes and a peak at 798 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the Si-O-Si symmetric stretching vibration modes and a peak at 798 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the Si-O-Si symmetric stretching vibration modes and a peak at 798 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the Si-O-Si symmetric stretching vibration modes and a peak at 798 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the Si-O-Si symmetric stretching vibration modes and a peak at 798 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the Si-O-Si symmetric stretching vibration modes and a peak at 798 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the Si-O-Si symmetric stretching vibration modes¹⁰ indicate the presence of silica on the surface. Another broad band at 960 cm⁻¹ confirms the presence of surface active Si-OH groups^{10,26} required for surface modification. The composite nanoparticles have only signature of peaks associated with silica, with very weak

signal of iron oxide. This also supports that silica is on the surface of the composite nanoparticles. Synthesis of particles in size ranges from 10 to 150 nm has been demonstrated by varying the precursor feed flow rate (1–200 ccm) and carrier gas flow rate (3–20 lpm). The mean particle size measured with the SMPS (Fig. 6) at different inlet conditions is in close agreement with the particle size observed on the TEM images.

A zeta potential measurement is used to compare the stability of the synthesized nanoparticles in the aqueous system at pH 5.3 [Fig. 7(a)]. In aqueous suspension, γ -Fe₂O₃ particles get partially ionized to produce hydronium ions (H₃O⁺). The H₃O⁺ get adsorbed on the surface of the particles to generate small positive surface charge.²⁷ This is confirmed by the measured +17 mV zeta potential value of pure γ -Fe₂O₃ (Sample 6). The zeta potential value

for the silica-coated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite (Sample 11) is -34 mV, which is close to the zeta potential value of pure silica particles (Sample 1) measured in this study (-55 mV) and also reported in literature.²⁸ The high surface charge on the silica coated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanocomposites indicates better stability of these particles over uncoated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles in an aqueous suspension. Furthermore, zeta potential values of γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite close to that of pristine silica nanoparticles supports the full coverage of the iron oxide particles by silica.

A high saturation magnetization (M_s) and small remanent magnetization are desired for biomedical applications. A high saturation magnetization would require a small external magnetic field to transport the particles, whereas a superparamagnetic behavior with low remanent magnetization would make these particles less susceptible to agglomeration after removal of the external magnetic field. The measured value of saturation magnetization for synthesized γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite (Sample 11) is 19 emu \cdot g⁻¹ at 300 K [Fig. 7(b)] and is close to the reported value for γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite (20–40 emu·g⁻¹) synthesized by a wet chemical method.²⁹ A lower saturation magnetization value for γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite compared to pure γ -Fe₂O₃ (74 emu · g⁻¹)³⁰ is caused by the presence of diamagnetic silica coating on the surface of γ -Fe₂O₃, and it agrees with calculated values based on mass of nanocomposite. The magnetic moment of the nanocomposite can be controlled by varying thickness of the silica coating that is readily produced by changing the feed ratio of precursors at the inlet to the FuAR. The superparamagnetic behavior of the synthesized product is confirmed by the absence of hysteresis in the magnetization (M) versus applied magnetic field (H) plot at 300 K. However, at 4 K, the particles exhibit ferromagnetic features including coercivity (Hc) and remanent magnetization (MR). The synthesized core-shell type Fe/SiO₂ nanocomposite (Sample 12) might be a promising alternative to the γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ system for higher magnetic moment (35 emu g^{-1} at 300 K with no hysteresis) because of to higher saturated magnetization of the Fe core $(156 \text{ emu} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}).^{31}$

To demonstrate the surface reactivity, pure and silicacoated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles are functionalized with a carbocyanine dye cypate-II loaded via an amide bond on APTMS. Cypate is selected for its known in vivo optical imaging applications in the near-infrared region.³² The schematic of the surface functionalization is given in Fig. 8(a). In the case of uncoated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles, APTMS attaches to the surface hydroxyl group formed by partial ionization; whereas for silica-coated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles, APTMS reacts with the surface silanol group via covalent bonding. Attachment of APTMS and cypate-II on the nanoparticle surface is confirmed by FTIR measurements [Fig. 8(b)]. Pure APTMS has peaks in the 2800– 3000 cm⁻¹ characteristic of amine group, whereas cypate-II has several peaks in the 1300–1700 cm⁻¹ characteristic of C=O and C-O bond. The nanoparticles functionalized with cypate-II loaded via the APTMS link show fingerprint of both cypate-II and APTMS. The presence of cypate-II is further supported by the UV–Visible spectra of the dye-modified nanoparticles in water [Fig. 8(c)]. The pristine iron oxide and silica-coated iron oxide can absorb only below the 500 nm region, whereas the dye-modified pristine and silica-coated iron oxide has a distinct absorption band between 530–630 nm that is characteristic of the cypate-II. Further, the dye-modified γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite has better absorption intensity compared to uncoated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles, which indicate better functionalization ability of γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, controlled synthesis of a core-shell type γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite is demonstrated in a single step in a FuAR using premixed precursors. A generalized mechanism for the formation of pristine and chemically distinct nanocomposite is proposed based on relative reaction kinetics. Synthesis of silica-coated y-Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite depends on choice of proper precursors as proposed in the generalized mechanism. Combined analysis of XRD, TEM, FTIR, and zeta potential results are presented to support the formation of spherical, core-shell type γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite. The presence of surface silanol groups is indicated by FTIR analysis, and γ -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite shows better surface reactivity than pure γ -Fe₂O₃ does, when functionalized with a fluorescent dye (cypate-II). The newly designed dyemodified magnetic nanocomposite system with multitasking ability may be used clinically as imaging agent. The proposed generalized method can be used for preparation of other nanocomposite systems with choice of proper precursors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Department of Defense (DoD-MURI FA9550-04-1-0430) and the Center of Materials Innovation at Washington University in St. Louis for partial support for this work. Partial funding by the McDonnell Academy Global Energy and Environmental Partnership (mageep.wustl.edu) and the Indo-US Science and Technology Forum is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- F.Q. Hu, L. Wei, Z. Zhou, Y.L. Ran, Z. Li, and M.Y. Gao: Preparation of biocompatible magnetite nanocrystals for in vivo magnetic resonance detection of cancer. *Adv. Mater.* 18, 2553 (2006).
- N.S. Yu, X.P. Hao, X.G. Xu, and M.H. Jiang: Synthesis and optical absorption investigation on Gap/Gan core/shell nanocomposite materials. *Mater. Lett.* 61, 523 (2007).

- A.A. Athawale and S.V. Bhagwat: Synthesis and characterization of novel copper/polyaniline nanocomposite and application as a catalyst in the Wacker oxidation reaction. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 89, 2412 (2003).
- A.K. Cuentas-Gallegos, M. Lira-Cantu, N. Casan-Pastor, and P. Gomez-Romero: Nanocomposite hybrid molecular materials for application in solid-state electrochemical supercapacitors. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 15, 1125 (2005).
- E. Thimsen, N. Rastgar, and P. Biswas: Nanostructured TiO₂ films with controlled morphology synthesized in a single step process: Performance of dye-sensitized solar cells and photo watersplitting. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **112**, 4134 (2008).
- V. Viswanathan, T. Laha, K. Balani, A. Agarwal, and S. Seal: Challenges and advances in nanocomposite processing techniques. *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, *R* 54, 121 (2006).
- S. Basak, D.R. Chen, and P. Biswas: Electrospray of ionic precursor solutions to synthesize iron oxide nanoparticles: Modified scaling law. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 62, 1263 (2007).
- C. Cannas, G. Concas, D. Gatteschi, A. Musinu, G. Piccaluga, and C. Sangregorio: How to tailor maghemite particle size in gamma-Fe₂O₃-SiO₂ nanocomposites. *J. Mater. Chem.* **12**, 3141 (2002).
- A. Bourlinos, A. Simopoulos, D. Petridis, H. Okumura, and G. Hadjipanayis: Silica-maghemite nanocomposites. *Adv. Mater.* 13, 289 (2001).
- P. Tartaj, T. Gonzalez-Carreno, and C.J. Serna: Single-step nanoengineering of silica coated maghemite hollow spheres with tunable magnetic properties. *Adv. Mater.* 13, 1620 (2001).
- B.K. McMillin, P. Biswas, and M.R. Zachariah: In situ characterization of vapor phase growth of iron oxide-silica nanocomposites.
 2-D planar laser-induced fluorescence and Mie imaging. J. Mater. Res. 11, 1552 (1996).
- H. Ai, C. Flask, B. Weinberg, X. Shuai, M.D. Pagel, D. Farrell, J. Duerk, and J.M. Gao: Magnetite-loaded polymeric micelles as ultrasensitive magnetic-resonance probes. *Adv. Mater.* 17, 1949 (2005).
- J. Qin, S. Laurent, Y.S. Jo, A. Roch, M. Mikhaylova, Z.M. Bhujwalla, R.N. Muller, and M. Muhammed: A highperformance magnetic resonance imaging T-2 contrast agent. *Adv. Mater.* 19, 1874 (2007).
- P.X. Zhi, H.Z. Qing, Q.L. Gao, and B.Y. Ai: Inorganic nanoparticles as carriers for efficient cellular delivery. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 61, 1027 (2006).
- S.K. Pulfer, S.L. Ciccotto, and J.M. Gallo: Distribution of small magnetic particles in brain tumor-bearing rats. *J. Neurooncol.* 41, 99 (1999).
- S. Dutz, R. Hergt, J. Murbe, J. Topfer, R. Muller, M. Zeisberger, W. Andra, and M.E. Bellemann: Magnetic nanoparticles for biomedical heating applications. *J. Res. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 220, 145 (2006).
- U. Gaur, S.K. Sahoo, T.K. De, P.C. Ghosh, A. Maitra, and P.K. Ghosh: Biodistribution of fluoresceinated dextran using novel nanoparticles evading reticuloendothelial system. *Int. J. Pharm.* 202, 1 (2000).

- A.K. Gupta and M. Gupta: Synthesis and surface engineering of iron oxide nanoparticles for biomedical applications. *Biomaterials* 26, 3995 (2005).
- Y.K. Sun, L. Duan, Z.R. Guo, D.M. Yun, M. Ma, L. Xu, Y. Zhang, and N. Gu: An improved way to prepare superparamagnetic magnetite-silica core-shell nanoparticles for possible biological application. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **285**, 65 (2005).
- S. Achilefu, S. Bloch, M.A. Markiewicz, T.X. Zhong, Y.P. Ye, R.B. Dorshow, B. Chance, and K.X. Liang: Synergistic effects of light-emitting probes and peptides for targeting and monitoring integrin expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **102**, 7976 (2005).
- 21. N. Kohler, G.E. Fryxell, and M.Q. Zhang: A bifunctional poly (ethylene glycol) silane immobilized on metallic oxide-based nanoparticles for conjugation with cell targeting agents. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 7206 (2004).
- D.R. Powers: Kinetics of SiCl₄ oxidation. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 61, 295 (1978).
- P. Biswas, C.Y. Wu, M.R. Zachariah, and B. McMillin: Characterization of iron oxide-silica nanocomposites in flames: Part II. Comparison of discrete-sectional model predictions to experimental data. J. Mater. Res. 12, 714 (1997).
- M.N.A. Karlsson, K. Deppert, B.A. Wacaser, L.S. Karlsson, and J.O. Malm: Size-controlled nanoparticles by thermal cracking of iron pentacarbonyl. *Appl. Phys. A* 80, 1579 (2005).
- 25. K.S. Rane, V.M.S. Verenkar, R.M. Pednekar, and P.Y. Sawant: Hydrazine method of synthesis of gamma-Fe₂O₃ useful in ferrites preparation. Part III—Study of hydrogen iron oxide phase in gamma-Fe₂O₃. J. Mater. Sci.- Mater. Electron. **10**, 121 (1999).
- 26. S. Bruni, F. Cariati, M. Casu, A. Lai, A. Musinu, G. Piccaluga, and S. Solinas: IR and NMR study of nanoparticle-support interactions in a Fe₂O₃-SiO₂ nanocomposite prepared by a sol-gel method. *Nanostruct. Mater.* **11**, 573 (1999).
- U. Kunzelmann, H.J. Jacobasch, and G. Reinhard: Investigations of the influence of vapor-phase inhibitors on the surface-charge of iron-oxide particles by zeta-potential measurements. *Mater. Corros.* 40, 723 (1989).
- J. Kim and D.F. Lawler: Characteristics of zeta potential distribution in silica particles. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 26, 1083 (2005).
- C. Cannas, M.F. Casula, G. Concas, A. Corrias, D. Gatteschi, A. Falqui, A. Musinu, C. Sangregorio, and G. Spano: Magnetic properties of gamma-Fe₂O₃-SiO₂ aerogel and xerogel nanocomposite materials. *J. Mater. Chem.* **11**, 3180 (2001).
- C. Cannas, G. Concas, D. Gatteschi, A. Falqui, A. Musinu, G. Piccaluga, C. Sangregorio, and G. Spano: Superparamagnetic behaviour of gamma-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles dispersed in a silica matrix. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **3**, 832 (2001).
- K.S. Suslick, M.M. Fang, and T. Hyeon: Sonochemical synthesis of iron colloids. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118, 11960 (1996).
- S. Achilefu, R.B. Dorshow, J.E. Bugaj, and R. Rajagopalan: Novel receptor-targeted fluorescent contrast agents for in vivo tumor imaging. *Invest. Radiol.* 35, 479 (2000).