acquired carbapenemase genes, including class D OXA-variants. Results: From August 2017 through July 2019, the ARLN tested 2,368 CRAB isolates across 44 states. Only 12 (0.5%) of these harbored a *bla*- gene: *bla*KPC (n = 5), *bla*NDM (n = 5), *bla*IMP (n = 5) = 1), and *blaVIM* (n = 1). Of 95 reference and surveillance isolates sequenced, none harbored these targeted carbapenemases. However, 69 (73%) harbored at least 1 acquired class D OXA gene; OXA-23 was the most commonly acquired OXA variant (n = 46, 48.4%). Conclusions: Using a multipronged approach, our studies indicate that the presence of class D β -lactamases of the OXA type are common in CRAB among surveillance and reference samples that underwent WGS analysis. Other acquired carbapenemases appear to be rare. To prevent the spread of highly resistant CRAB, particularly those carrying the targeted, emerging carbapenemase genes, continued testing, and rapid infection control are necessary to improve patient safety and maintain situational awareness. Funding: None

Disclosures: None Doi:10.1017/ice.2020.917

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation

Motivational Application of Standardized Antimicrobial Administration Ratios Within a Healthcare System

Stephanie Shealy, University of South Carolina College of Pharmacy and Prisma Health Richland; Joseph Kohn, Prisma Health – Midlands; Emily Yongue, Prisma Health – Midlands; Casey Troficanto, Prisma Health – Midlands; Brandon Bookstaver, University of South Carolina College of Pharmacy and Prisma Health Richland; Julie A. Justo, University of South Carolina College of Pharmacy and Prisma Health Richland; Michelle Crenshaw, Prisma Health – Midlands; Hana Winders, University of South Carolina College of Pharmacy; Sangita Dash, University of South Carolina, School of Medicine; Majdi Al-Hasan, University of South Carolina School of Medicine

Background: Hospitals in the United States have been encouraged to report antimicrobial use (AU) to the CDC NHSN since 2011. Through the NHSN Antimicrobial Use Option module, health systems may compare standardized antimicrobial administration ratios (SAARs) across specific facilities, patient care locations, time periods, and antimicrobial categories. To date, participation in the NHSN Antimicrobial Use Option remains voluntary and the value of reporting antimicrobial use and receiving monthly SAARs to multihospital healthcare systems has not been clearly demonstrated. In this cohort study. we examined potential applications of SAAR within a healthcare system comprising multiple local hospitals. Methods: Three hospitals within Prisma Health-Midlands (hospitals A, B, and C) became participants in the NHSN Antimicrobial Use Option in July 2017. SAAR reports were presented initially in October 2017 and regularly (every 3-4 months) thereafter during interprofessional antimicrobial stewardship system-wide meetings until end of study in June 2019. Through interfacility comparisons and by analyzing SAAR categories in specific patient-care locations, primary healthcare providers and pharmacists were advised to incorporate results into focused antimicrobial stewardship initiatives within their facility. Specific alerts were designed to promote early de-escalation of antipseudomonal β-lactams and vancomycin. The Student t test was used to compare mean SAAR in the preintervention period (July through October 2017) to the postintervention period (November 2017

through June 2019) for all antimicrobials and specific categories and locations within each hospital. Results: During the preintervention period, mean SAAR for all antimicrobials in hospitals A, B, and C were 0.69, 1.09, and 0.60, respectively. Notably, mean SAARs at hospitals A, B, and C in intensive care units (ICU) during the preintervention period were 0.67, 1.36, and 0.83 for broad-spectrum agents used for hospital-onset infections and 0.59, 1.27, and 0.68, respectively, for agents used for resistant gram-positive infections. After antimicrobial stewardship interventions, mean SAARs for all antimicrobials in hospital B decreased from 1.09 to 0.83 in the postintervention period (P < .001). Mean SAARs decreased from 1.36 to 0.81 for broad-spectrum agents used for hospital-onset infections and from 1.27 to 0.72 for agents used for resistant gram-positive infections in ICU at hospital B (P = .03 and P = .01, respectively). No significant changes were noted in hospitals A and C. Conclusions: Reporting AU to the CDC NHSN and the assessment of SAARs across hospitals in a healthcare system had motivational effects on antimicrobial stewardship practices. Enhancement and customization of antimicrobial stewardship interventions was associated with significant and sustained reductions in SAARs for all antimicrobials and specific antimicrobial categories at those locations.

Funding: None Disclosures: None Doi:10.1017/ice.2020.918

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation

Moving Beyond Contact Precautions: Implementation of a *Staphylococcus aureus* Screening and Decolonization Program Sarah Hochman, NYU Langone Health; Anna Stachel, NYU Langone Health; Michael Phillips, NYU Langone Medical Center; Stephanie Sterling, NYU; Jennifer Lighter, NYU Langone Health; Maria Aguero-Rosenfeld, NYU Langone Health; Tamara King-Morrieson, NYU Langone

Background: Staphylococcus aureus-colonized hospitalized patients are at risk for invasive infection and can transmit S. aureus to other patients in the absence of symptoms. Infection isolation precautions do not reduce the risk of infection in colonized patients and are untenable in health systems with high rates of S. aureus colonization. Objective: We implemented an inpatient S. aureus screening and targeted decolonization program across hospital campuses to reduce transmission and invasive infection. We screen and decolonize for methicillin-susceptible S. aureus (MSSA) and methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) because MSSA makes up more than half of all S. aureus isolated from clinical cultures in our health system. Methods: All medicine, pediatrics, and transplant patients receive S. aureus nares culture at admission and upon change in level of care for medicine, and at admission and weekly for pediatrics and transplant patients. All S. aureus-colonized patients receive decolonization with nasal mupirocin ointment and chlorhexidine baths. Two implementation frameworks guide our processes for S. aureus screening and decolonization: the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research, to evaluate factors affecting implementation at different levels of the health system, and the Dynamic Sustainability Framework, to account for iterative changes as the hospital setting and patient population change over time. Implementation interventions focus on education of patients and bedside nurses who perform S. aureus screening and decolonization; utilization of the electronic health record to identify

CrossMark