REFERENCES

- GREENWOOD, M., and YULE, G. U. (1914). "On the determination of size of family and of the distribution of characters in order of birth." J. roy. statist. Soc., 77, 179.
- 2. McKeown, T., and Record, R. G. (1956). "Maternal age and birth order." Amer. J. hum. Genet., 8, 8-23.

HETEROCHROMOPHILIA

DEAR SIR,

I wonder whether any of your readers have encountered the condition of *heterochromophilia* which I described some time ago. This is the compulsion in human beings to choose a mate of different colour.

It was shown very nicely in the American engineer, Clarence King (1), who was born into higher American society, and met many wealthy and beautiful white girls, but preferred to sleep with coloured women, and indeed had a long series of coloured mistresses.

I have described elsewhere a similar case I encountered (2).

The condition appears to be rather more than a fetishism since no single article of clothing, etc., is the basis of the attraction, but the whole woman. Indeed, it appears to be in the nature of imprinting in human beings. The man behaves in a similar way to the ducks and geese, described by Lorenz, who find their own type unattractive but the imprinted one overwhelmingly fascinating. In human beings this imprinting seems to be caused by the colour of the nurse in babyhood.

It seems to be that apart from the implications as to its origin the condition is rare and not of great importance, but since we are getting more coloured women nursing white babies these days there is a likelihood of its becoming much more common.

CLIFFORD ALLEN.

The Lodge, Llwyn Offa, Mold, Flintshire.

REFERENCES

- 1. WILKINS, T. (1958). Clarence King. London.
- 2. Allen, C. (1962). Textbook of Psychosexual Disorders. London.

CHLORPROMAZINE IN CHRONIC SCHIZOPHRENIA

DEAR SIR,

The excellently designed study by Letemendia and Harris which appeared in the Journal for September,

1967 (pp. 950-958), on the evaluation of chlorpromazine in the untreated chronic schizophrenic patient, constitutes a real triumph of technique over purpose. The small doses used demonstrate conclusively that inadequate treatment will result in inadequate response. The National Institute of Mental Health co-operative study has already demonstrated that doses of 300 mg. a day of chlorpromazine are ineffective, but it is nice to have this confirmed. The N.I.H. found that doses of 500-600 mg. a day constitute a practical working minimum. On the package inserts in the United States the range is from 400 mg. to 2,000 mg. plus. In one of the standard American textbooks (Noyes and Kolb) the recommended dosage is 600-800 mg. Even Henderson and Gillespie suggest routine doses up to 400 mg. and occasional ones to 800; and Sargant and Slater in their Physical Methods in Psychiatry recommend 600-800 mg. and up to 3,000.

Since apparently the patients are still available, it would be a brilliant tour de force if Letemendia and Harris would repeat the identical experiment but this time use 600–900 mg. as a minimum. This might help resolve the high dosage versus low dosage controversy.

NATHAN S. KLINE.

Rockland State Hospital, Orangeburg, N.Y. 10962.

DEAR SIR,

Dr. Kline approves our technique but misunderstands our purpose. The title of our paper, which he quotes correctly, should make it clear that we were dealing with *chronic* schizophrenics, and we said explicitly that we shared the view that "the manifestations of *acute* schizophrenic illness can be controlled by chlorpromazine".

The dose of 300 mg. a day in chronic schizophrenia seemed to be in accordance with the practice of the time and with recommendations in the literature. Inquiries made recently in this country at other hospitals suggest that doses averaging 150–300 mg. a day are still customary in chronic schizophrenia, and it was our object to test whether such doses do in fact modify the course of the illness.

In answering Dr. Kline, it is really unnecessary to do more than state this point. However, it would be a pity to allow the impression to remain that all the authorities he cites agree with him in thinking that 300 mg. a day is an inadequate dose, and that much higher doses are always and necessarily required. Sargant and Slater, for example (An Introduction to Physical Methods of Treatment in Psychiatry, 4th ed.,

1963) do not "recommend 600-800 mg. and up to 3,000". They say, "300 mg. or more of chlorpromazine a day in three divided doses is given initially and increased progressively until symptoms respond or side-effects become too troublesome. Doses of up to 3,000 mg. a day have been reported, but we have rarely had to give more than 1,200 mg. a day. Seriously disturbed patients should be started on 600-800 mg. a day." For maintenance therapy they advise "between 150 and 300 mg. a day", although "larger doses are sometimes needed". (Our italics.)

Henderson and Gillespie (Textbook of Psychiatry, 9th ed., revised by Henderson and Batchelor, 1962) say that "chlorpromazine is prescribed usually in doses of 150 to 400 mg. daily by mouth, and as much as 800 mg. daily has been given". Noyes and Kolb (Modern Clinical Psychiatry, 6th ed., 1963) say that "there is no standard dose of the drug; this must be individualized", the reference to approximately 600-800 mg. a day is in relation to the chronically disturbed patient.

The N.I.H. study to which Dr. Kline refers (presumably "Phenothiazine Treatment in Acute Schizophrenia", Arch. gen. Psychiat., 10, 246–262, 1964) is concerned with the treatment of acute schizophrenia. It covers a range of doses from 200 to 1,600 mg. a day, with a mean of 654·8 mg. No conclusion is drawn about the value of doses below 300 mg., nor is it stated that doses of 500–600 mg. constitute a "practical working minimum".

We have not checked on the doses given on "the package inserts in the United States" (nor on the manufacturer's recommendations in this country). Dr. Kline may well be right on this point.

F. J. J. LETEMENDIA. A. D. HARRIS.

Littlemore Hospital, Oxford.

INFANTILE PSYCHOSIS

DEAR SIR,

244

In their very interesting paper (Journal, November 1967, p. 1169) Michael Rutter and Linda Lockyer include a most valuable table of the "behavioural characteristics of psychotic and control children", which verifies that many of the signs are (quantitatively) shared by the two groups. It is common experience and practice among child psychiatrists, however, to recognize a qualitative element in the diagnosis of either "psychosis" or "organic" brain damage in children. This is bound to elude any tabulation, and I am sure that, although it carries the danger of inaccuracy due to unchecked clinical "impressions", it is nonetheless the very essence of the

clinician's ability to utilize the non-measurable elements that many an illness offers for diagnosis.

Having worked as Dr. James Anthony's Registrar in the Children's Department of the Maudsley Hospital during the period that Michael Rutter and Linda Lockyer cover in their stimulating paper, it is most likely that I have examined several of the psychotic children mentioned in their report, and I feel I could make the following point: the label of "autism" given to some of the "control" patients (Table IV, p. 1173) raises the question that these might approximate to either cases of "organic brain damage" (a term that I remember the late Dr. Cameron often using in caseconferences) showing psychotic behaviour, or, possibly so-called "psychotic-defectives". In any event, even if these terms are not in preference today, the label of autism in some of the control patients indicates a certain heterogeneity which, if somewhat awkward methodologically, is a very interesting finding with regard to the psychopathology of these patients. It would be interesting to know if the 'autistic' controls had achieved communicative speech or not, and what was their final "diagnosis". It is also a pity that the authors did not provide a table about the presence of brain damage in the control children, corresponding to their Table X. Finally, one might remark that "brain damage" (i.e. organic dysfunction) cannot be equated with 'brain disease'' (p. 1178), and that developmental delays in brain maturation are sufficiently "organic" in origin to be placed together with "organic brain dysfunction". This would substantially alter the analysis of results of Table X, and might explain the greater incidence of fits which the investigators found in the follow-up during adolescence.

Whatever the answers to these minor queries may be, Dr. Rutter and Miss Lockyer are to be most warmly thanked and congratulated for a very useful description of the clinical status and of the follow-up of psychotic children, and also for showing us that this can be done with the use of a control design.

GEORGE JACOBIDES.

Associate Professor, Athens University Medical School.

COGNITIVE DISORDER IN THE SCHIZOPHRENIAS

DEAR SIR,

The findings of Foulds et al. (Journal, December 1967, pp. 1361-1374) are of considerable interest, but it is a pity that they were unable to obtain a more useful clinical rating of thought disorder. In an unpublished study (Costello, 1966) I showed that when