RADON ELIMINATION DURING BENZENE PREPARATION FOR RADIOCARBON DATING BY LIQUID SCINTILLATION SPECTROMETRY

DARDEN HOOD, RONALD HATFIELD, CHRISTOPHER PATRICK, JERRY STIPP, MURRY TAMERS Beta Analytic Inc, Coral Gables, Florida 33124

ROBERT LEIDL, BARBARA LYONS, HENRY POLACH, STEVE ROBERTSON and WEIJIAN ZHOU*

Radiocarbon Dating Research, Australian National University Canberra 2601, Australia

ABSTRACT. Radon gas is a serious contaminant in radiocarbon dating by radiometry. The low specific ionizations associated with the α -particle emitting radon and its β -particle emitting daughters overlap within the¹⁴C counting window. Elimination of radon is therefore imperative for precise ¹⁴C age determinations. This paper deals with the sources and mechanism of incorporation of radon affecting ¹⁴C dating by liquid scintillation (LS) counting, and reviews conventional radon elimination practices in ¹⁴C laboratories. It demonstrates, based on rigorous multichannel and multiparameter α - and β -particle spectral analyses of some 1000 benzene samples, that parent radium is not present and that its daughter radon is quantitatively eliminated during dynamic vacuum recovery of benzene at -78°C. However, the radon-free benzene can be recontaminated by exposure to air containing traces of radon, such as is common in concrete or low-lying laboratories. The use of radon-free air, when exposing the benzene to the atmosphere, and the monitoring of radon counts from the environment and sample benzene in a fixed 'radon window', are essential prerequisites to the quality control of ¹⁴C age determina-tions in very low background systems.

INTRODUCTION

Sources and Mechanism of Incorporation of Radon

The high natural abundance of uranium (238 U) in the earth's crust ensures the continued presence of its radioactive decay daughters, thorium (234 Th), uranium (234 U), 230 Th, and radium (226 Ra). 222 Rn is the result of α recoil on decay of its parent 226 Ra. Incorporation of 226 Ra and 222 Rn in the sample, during its storage in the archives of nature, ensures that almost all are variably contaminated by 222 Rn when submitted for 14 C dating. Thus, the mechanism of 222 Rn incorporation is two-fold. The primary source will be 222 Rn dissolved in groundwaters as these dissolve inert gases when they equilibrate with soil air in amounts proportional to their solubilities and partial pressures. 222 Rn content are thus considerably in excess of the 226 Ra contents, as is generally observed in groundwaters (Andrews & Lee, 1979). A lesser source will be the incorporation of 226 Ra as its solubilities are gen-

^{*}Visiting Fellow (1988) at the ANU Radiocarbon Lab. Permanent address: Xian Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology, Academia Sinica, Xian, China

erally less. ²²²Rn and ²²⁶Ra may thus be present in disproportionate amounts in the sample immediately after collection. Equilibration takes place only after 25 days (Andrews & Lee, 1979). It follows that samples which did not incorporate ²²⁶Ra will not contain measurable traces of ²²²Rn after that period. On the other hand, all samples incorporating ²²⁶Ra will be forever contaminated with ²²²Rn, from the ¹⁴C dating practical point of view (²²⁶Ra half-life is 1600 yr).

To adversely affect ¹⁴C determinations by radiometry, ²²²Rn does not have to be incorporated in the sample prior to its submission for dating. The atmosphere within laboratories may contain radon in varying amounts where the buildings are made of concrete or clay bricks containing some uranium, the buildings are sited low in the contours of the landscape with uranium-rich soils, or counting rooms are located in basements where the radon, 10 times heavier than air, will naturally accumulate. Thus, surface active samples such as charcoal, even if originally radon-free, will adsorb radon during exposure to the laboratory atmosphere and become contaminated during handling.

Another effect is that buildup of radon in a low-level counting room will cause an increase in background count rates. As this buildup is seldom constant, a variable background count will result and affect the precision of age determinations (Freundlich, 1972). Further, reagents used in the conversion of sample carbon to benzene, particularly the synthesis catalyst which uses a clay mineral base, and gases (O_2, N_2, CO_2) stored in steel cylinders, may incorporate uranium series decay products, and hence be contaminated with radon. Samples prepared for LS spectrometry can thus be contaminated during any stage of the benzene synthesis.

Last, when a sample of radon-free benzene has been prepared, it is important to equilibrate it with air to avoid variable quench from dissolved O_2 content. If the laboratory air contains radon, the benzene sample will become contaminated during O_2 equilibration process.

Radon Elimination

Radon elimination from the gas phase is based either on slow fractional distillation at controlled temperatures (de Vries, 1957), the more generally accepted chromatographic separation over activated charcoal at -80°C (Bruns, 1976), or the aging process based on a stand time of 30 days. Based on the search of literature relating to LS counting, a high temperature (900°C) vacuum pull following the lithium carbide production stage (Barker, 1953) was originally considered adequate to remove radon originating in the sample (eg, Polach, Gower & Fraser, 1972). Thus, the generated C_2H_2 and the synthesized C_6H_6 used for LS ¹⁴C age determinations was considered radon-free. When, early in the 1970s, some radon contamination of benzene was observed at the Australian National University (ANU), the stand-time method enabling radon to decay to insignificant levels or the mathematical method based on the observed decrease in count rate (Gupta & Polach, 1985, p 109) were routinely applied.

The advent of high-resolution low-level multiparameter multichannel LS spectrometers (Kojola et al, 1984) enabled spectral identification of

radioisotopes, and the qualitative determination of radon in the sample benzene. LS spectrometers, enabling simultaneous α - and β -particle energy and pulse shape resolution (Oikari *et al*, 1987), prompted Polach and Kaihola (1988) to propose that multilabeled samples (eg, ¹⁴C, ²²²Rn and its daughters) could be quantitatively resolved and, based on this, a precise ¹⁴C activity correction factor determined.

On analyzing >1000 samples, using a high-resolution low-level LS spectrometer and an α/β -particle pulse height and pulse shape LS spectrometer, we found that ²²⁶Ra is not present and that ²²²Rn is quantitatively removed, irrespective of source or concentration, during dynamic vacuum recovery of benzene at -78°C.

EXPERIMENTAL

Equipment and Procedure

For simultaneous α/β -particle resolution, we used, at Beta Analytic, a Pharmacia-Wallac 1219 Spectra MasterTM LS spectrometer enabling pulseheight and -shape analyses (Oikari *et al*, 1987). For high-sensitivity β -particle determinations, we used, at ANU, a low-level, high-resolution Pharmacia-Wallac 1220 QuantulusTM LS spectrometer enabling only pulse-height analyses but at very low backgrounds (Polach, 1987).

The sample preparation techniques of the three laboratories (including University of Miami, UM) are based on the benzene synthesis procedures established by Tamers, Stipp and Collier (1961) and Noakes, Kim and Stipp (1965). In revised form used at ANU (Polach & Stipp, 1967), UM (Stipp, 1973) and Beta Analytic (Tamers, 1975).

Spectral resolution of α -particle decaying ²²²Rn in the range of 5–6 MeV from the ¹⁴C β -particle energy range at 0–155 keV is complete. Only the β particle-emitting decay daughters of ²²²Rn, namely ²¹⁴Bi and ²¹⁴Pb, contribute to count rates in the ¹⁴C region of interest (Polach & Kaihola, 1988). As both of the counters used enable simultaneous multiple 1024 channel spectra to be accumulated, the 'soft window' data analysis approach postulated by Polach *et al* (1983) was used.

Sample benzene synthesis at ANU was characterized by 900°C dynamic pumping to a high vacuum of the lithium carbide and recovery of synthesized benzene from the catalyst was at liquid nitrogen temperatures (-180°C), first 20 min under static vacuum, followed by dynamic pumping for 40 min (Polach, Gower & Fraser, 1972). Contamination of benzene samples with radon was frequently observed, casting doubts about the dependability of the 900°C lithium carbide after-heating as a radon removal step.

Sample benzene synthesis at Beta Analytic and UM employ the same after-heating procedures but benzene was recovered at dry ice-alcohol temperatures (-78°C) under vacuum (Tamers, 1975, p 678). Contamination with radon was never observed.

The present investigation uses organic samples 1) naturally contaminated by radon, 2) known to contain no radon as well as analytical reagent benzene (radioactive isotope-free), 3) labeled with ¹⁴C and/or ²²²Rn to trace the radon sources and determine the efficiency of the radon removal steps. Radon was generated by placing a sample of pitchblende, a uraniumrich mineral, into flasks containing both air and pure CO_2 , and allowed to stand for a month. Radon-rich air was then withdrawn by syringe and the benzene sample aerated with 5ml of the gaseous mix. Alternatively, the radon-contaminated CO_2 was used for benzene synthesis, after adequate dilution.

The highest radon spike count rate tested was 200 cpm in the radon window. This, given our \sim 3.5g carbon sample size, is \sim 5 times the activity of the modern ¹⁴C reference standard and is twice as high as any natural radon contamination observed in the 23 years of dating experience at ANU.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A typical benzene background spectrum obtained at Beta Analytic using a) liquid nitrogen benzene recovery, thin line, showing clear radon and daughters peak between channels 600 and 900 and b) dry-ice recovery of the same sample, thick line, radon free, is shown in Figure 1. We

[A]	0.015 CPM/ch	148.04 min	\RADON\CT-DAY11\B-BKG	SP#12
[B]	0.015 CPN/ch	148.03 min	NRADONNCT-DAY 12NJM-BKG	SP#12



Fig 1. The thick curve was obtained from aerated reagent-grade dead benzene solutions loaded and counted in the laboratory of a one-story building with many windows and frequent air 'turn-over'. The thin curve is from similar solutions loaded and counted in a basement laboratory with no windows and poor air 'turnover'. The characteristic ²²²Rn peak is apparent in both much higher in the poorly 'air-cycled' lab. Since all the solutions were prepared from the same reagent bottle, ²²²Rn was introduced during the loading stage and reflected a higher content within the basement lab.

analyzed 326 samples, 112 backgrounds and 18 reference standards at Beta Analytic. None were contaminated by detectable radon if the benzene was recovered from the catalyst at -78° C while continuously pumping (dynamic vacuum).

Analysis of records held at ANU show that, prior to adoption of the Stipp/Tamers benzene recovery technique, 1965-April 1987, 1 in 10 samples was partially contaminated with radon. Severe contamination seldom occurred, as there was a built-in delay time between benzene synthesis and counting. Since April 1987, >600 benzene samples (unknowns, backgrounds and standards) were recovered under dynamic vacuum at -78° C. None showed traces of radon, unless the benzene was artificially contaminated after recovery.

Tests with radon-labeled CO_2 showed that benzene recovered at liquid nitrogen temperatures was contaminated with 200 cpm in the radon window (the modern reference standard normal count rate is 40 cpm in the ¹⁴C window and is background in the Rn window). Recovery of the same sample at -78°C and dynamic pumping showed no measurable traces of radon at very low backgrounds (0.2 cpm). We concluded that removal of radon at tested concentration (which in the experience of the ANU laboratory exceeded that normally found in any of their samples) was quantitative.

Sources of radon contamination other than the sample were identified as follows:

1) O_2 used for sample combustion and CO_2 used for sample dilution contained measurable traces of radon. These gases were stored in steel cylinders, some of which must have incorporated traces of uranium during their manufacture.

2) The chromium and vanadium catalyst used for benzene synthesis were tested by adsorbing first an analytical reagent-grade benzene (no radioactive isotopes) and then desorbing it, 24, 36 and 72 hours later, while trapping at liquid nitrogen temperatures. Traces of radon were identified and showed increasing levels with time.

3) Sample benzene recovered at -78° C and dynamic pumping (thus containing no radon) was aerated in radon-free air as well as air naturally contaminated with radon. The benzene, exposed to only 20ml of the contaminated air, adsorbed from that small air mass measurable amounts of radon. (The natural radon count in the unventilated concrete room corresponded to 2.3 pCi/L, which is not abnormal.)

CONCLUSIONS

LS spectrometry is a powerful tool enabling positive identification of radon in the benzene synthesized from the samples submitted for ¹⁴C dating. Samples may be contaminated, while stored in the archives of nature, by ²²⁶Ra, hence ²²²Rn, or by radon in the laboratory atmosphere and reagents. Radon in concentrations corresponding to 200 cpm (in our case 500 pM) originating in the sample and chemical reagents, such as gases, and catalyst will be quantitatively removed during benzene recovery, under dynamic pumping, at dry ice-alcohol or -acetone slush temperatures (\sim 78C). No

measurable trace of residual radon could be detected in the sample benzene using very low background (0.2 cpm) LS counters or simultaneous α/β particle pulse-shape analysis. We conclude that radon-free benzene samples can be prepared for LS counting without applying "radon" corrections. However, the benzene may be recontaminated during its necessary air equilibration by environmental radon accumulated in the basement of buildings or emanating from concrete/clay brick structures. A source of clean, radon-free air needs to be used to aerate the samples. In the absence of LS spectrometry, *ie*, using fixed-window LS counting, monitoring countrates in a "radon counting window" is a prerequisite to ¹⁴C dating quality control for very low-level background counters. Such monitoring has been the feature of the ANU and Beta Laboratories since their establishment.

REFERENCES

- Andrews, J N and Lee, D J, 1979, Inert gases in groundwater from Bunter sandstone of England as indicators of age and palaeoclimatic trends: Jour Hydrology, v 41, p 233–235.
- Barker, H, 1953, Radiocarbon dating: large scale preparation of acetylene from organic material: Nature, v 172, p 631–632.
- Bruns, M, (ms) 1979, Gas Chromatographie mit Radon-222: PhD dissert, Inst Umweltphysik, Heidelberg.
 Freundlich, J C, 1972, Natural radon as a source of low level laboratory contamination, *in*
- Freundlich, J C, 1972, Natural radon as a source of low level laboratory contamination, in Rafter, T A and Grant-Taylor, T, eds, Internatl ¹⁴C dating conf, 8th, Proc: Wellington, New Zealand, Royal Soc New Zealand, p 537–546.
- Gupta, SK and Polach, H A, 1985, Radiocarbon dating practices at ANU: Canberra, Radiocarbon Dating Research, 176 p.
- Kojola, H, Polach, H, Nurmi, J, Oikari, T and Soini, E, 1984, High resolution low-level liquid scintillation beta-spectrometer: Internatl Jour Applied Radiation Isotopes, v 35, p 949– 952.
- Noakes, J E, Kim, S and Stipp, J J, 1965, Chemical and counting advances in liquid scintillation age dating, *in* Chatters, R M and Olson, E A, eds, Internati conf on ¹⁴C and tritium dating, 6th, Proc: Clearinghouse for Fed Sci Tech Inf, NBS, Washington, DC, USAEC-650-652, p 68–92.
- Oikari, T, Kojola, H, Nurmi, J and Kaihola, L, 1987, Simultaneous counting of low alpha- and beta-particle activities with liquid-scintillation spectrometry pulse-shape analysis: Internatl Jour Applied Radiation Isotopes, v 38, no. 10, p 875–878.
- Polach, H Å, 1987, Evaluation and status of liquid scintillation counting for radiocarbon dating: Radiocarbon, v 29, no. 1, p 1-11.
- Polach, H, Gower, J and Fraser, I, 1972, Synthesis of high purity benzene for radiocarbon dating by liquid scintillation method, *in* Rafter, A T and Grant-Taylor, T, eds, Internatl ¹⁴C dating conf, 8th, Proc: Wellington, New Zealand, Royal Soc New Zealand, Proc: p 145–157.
- Polach, H and Kaihola, L, 1988, Determination of radon by liquid scintilation α/β particle spectrometry: towards the resolution of a ¹⁴C problem: Radiocarbon, v 30, no. 1, p 19–24.
- Polach, H A, Robertson, S, Butterfield, D, Gower, J and Soini, E, 1983, Windowless approach to scintillation counting: low-level ¹⁴C as an example, *in* McQuarrie, SS, Ediss, C and Wiebe, LI, eds, Advances in scintillation counting: Alberta, Univ Alberta Press, p 494–507.
- Polach, H A and Stipp, J J, 1967, Improved synthesis techniques for methane and benzene radiocarbon dating: Internatl Jour Applied Radiation Isotopes, v 18, p 359–364.
- Stipp, J J, 1973, Research/teaching course laboratory manual: Univ Miami.
- Tamers, M A, 1975, Chemical yield optimization of the benzene synthesis for radiocarbon dating: Internatl Jour Applied Radiation Isotopes, v 26, p 676–682.
- Tamers, M A, Stipp, J J and Collier, J, 1961, High sensitivity detection of naturally occurring radiocarbon, I Chemistry of the counting sample: Geochim et Cosmochim Acta, v 24, p 266–276.
- Vries, H de, 1957, The removal of radon from CO₂ for use in ¹⁴C age measurements: Applied Sci Research, sec B, v 6, p 461–470.