# Cauldron subsidence and subglacial floods

G.W. EVATT, A.C. FOWLER

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, 24–29 St Giles' Way, Oxford OX1 3LB, UK E-mail: evatt@maths.ox.ac.uk

ABSTRACT. Ice cauldrons are depressions which form at the surface of ice sheets when an underlying subglacial lake empties, in particular when subglacial volcanic eruptions occur. Notable examples of such cauldrons occur on the surface of the Vatnajökull ice cap in Iceland. More generally, cauldrons will form when a subglacial lake empties during a jökulhlaup. The rate of subsidence of the ice surface is related to the rate at which the subglacial water empties from the lake. We use a viscous version of classical beam theory applied to the ice sheet to determine the relation between the subsidence rate and flood discharge. We use the results to make inferences concerning ring fracture spacings in cauldrons, the consequent effect on flood discharge dynamics and the likely nature of subsidence events in the Antarctic Ice Sheet.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Ice cauldrons are depressions which form on ice caps when basal ice is melted to form subglacial lakes which then drain (Björnsson, 1988). Two well-known examples are the Skaftá cauldrons on the ice cap Vatnajökull in east Iceland, which lie above subglacial lakes which drain every year. A dramatic example of cauldron formation occurred during the 1996 eruption at Gjálp, also under Vatnajökull. Figure 1 shows a forming cauldron in its early stages. The ice surface subsided at a rate of  $\sim 12 \text{ m h}^{-1}$ , as the basal fissure eruption melted the subglacial ice. The resultant meltwater ( $\sim$ 3 km<sup>3</sup>) drained towards the subglacial lake Grímsvötn, from where a jökulhlaup eventually emerged. Another less dramatic example has recently been observed on the surface of the Antarctic Ice Sheet, where a subsidence of the order of 4 m has occurred over a period of several years above an inferred subglacial lake, whose area is likely to be hundreds of km<sup>2</sup> (Wingham and others, 2006).

The subsidence is not passive, as ice is viscous. The drawdown of the ice, necessary to accommodate the discharge of the subglacial floodwater, requires the floodwater to be underpressured in order that it can suck down the ice. The underpressure competes with the viscosity of the ice, and the consequence is that there must be a dynamic relationship between the underpressure and the rate of subsidence of the ice.

The purpose of this paper is to propose the nucleus of a theory that will predict how the rate at which the ice surface deforms is related to the water pressure in the basal lake. This theory will apply to cauldrons such as that in Figure 1, and also to the smaller depressions which have recently been observed on the surface of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (Wingham and others, 2006). The basis for our theory lies in the approximate treatment of the ice overlying the lake as a viscous 'beam'. The theory is essentially that of classical beam theory in elasticity, except that viscosity replaces elasticity thus introducing an extra time derivative to the problem. The approximation is based on the large aspect ratio of the ice, and is thus a version of the shallow ice approximation. It is similar to the description of ice shelf dynamics, insofar as normal stresses are dominant, but there the similarity ends. Since space is restricted, this paper provides a theoretical summary. For full details, see Evatt (2006).

# 2. VISCOUS BEAM THEORY

#### 2.1. Basic equations

The geometry of the situation we wish to consider is shown in Figure 2. For simplicity and pedagogy, we work in two dimensions: *x* and *z*. The corresponding components of velocity are *u* and *w*, the deviatoric longitudinal stress is  $\tau_1$ and the shear stress is  $\tau_3$ . The equations of slow flow (that is, flow at zero Reynolds number, also known as Stokes flow) can then be written in the form (where subscripts *x*, *z* and later *t* denote partial derivatives)

$$u_{x} + w_{z} = 0,$$
  

$$0 = -p_{x} + \tau_{1x} + \tau_{3z},$$
  

$$0 = -p_{z} + \tau_{3x} - \tau_{1z} - \rho_{i}g,$$
  

$$u_{z} + w_{x} = A\tau^{n-1}\tau_{3},$$
  

$$2u_{x} = A\tau^{n-1}\tau_{1},$$
  

$$\tau^{2} = \tau_{1}^{2} + \tau_{3}^{2}$$
(1)

where *p* is pressure,  $\tau$  is the second stress invariant, *A* is the flow law rate coefficient, typically dependent on temperature, n (= 3) is the exponent in Glen's law,  $\rho_i$  is ice density, and g is the acceleration due to gravity. The boundary conditions at the top and bottom surfaces are those of stress continuity and material integrity (manifested as the kinematic condition). These boundary conditions take the following forms: on *z* = *s*,

$$(-p + \tau_1)s_x - \tau_3 = 0,$$
  
 $\tau_3 s_x + p + \tau_1 = 0,$   
 $w = s_t + us_x - a$  (2)

while at the lake roof  $z = h_i$ 

$$(p - \tau_1)h_x + \tau_3 = p_w h_x,$$
  

$$p + \tau_1 + \tau_3 h_x = p_w,$$
  

$$w = h_t + u h_x - m$$
(3)

where a is the surface accumulation rate and m is the basal melt rate.

In addition, we suppose that the water pressure in the lake is hydrostatic, which implies

$$(p_w + \rho_w gh)_x = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad z = h. \tag{4}$$



**Fig. 1.** An ice cauldron forming after the Gjálp eruption under Vatnajökull in 1996. The cauldron is about 2 km in diameter and hundreds of metres deep. Ring shear fractures can be seen, indicating yield of the ice. The subsidence rate of the ice surface was initially about  $12 \text{ m h}^{-1}$ ; for further information see Guðmundsson and others (2004). Photograph courtesy of M.T. Guðmundsson.

Finally, if the net volume flux out of the lake is Q, then

$$Q = -\int_{A_{\rm L}} w \,\mathrm{d}S \tag{5}$$

where  $A_L$  is the lake area. Our 2-dimensional theory assumes the lake is rectangular in shape.

## 2.2. Non-dimensionalization

Let us suppose that the lake is of width *l* and the ice is of depth *d*. We define the aspect ratio to be

$$\nu = \frac{d}{l}.$$
 (6)

The basis of viscous beam theory (Howell, 1996; Ribe, 2001) is the assumption that  $\nu$  is small. We suppose that a suitable scale for the rate of subsidence is  $w_{s}$ , and that a suitable scale for the longitudinal stress is  $\tau_A$ . In beam theory, the greatest stresses are normal stresses, and if we consider also the variation of the flow rate coefficient *A* with temperature, then the rate-controlling value of *A* is its minimal value, obtained at the surface. We let  $A_s$  denote this surface value, and suppose for simplicity that it is constant. The drainage from the lake is controlled by the effective pressure of the lake (Nye, 1976), defined as

$$N = \rho_{\rm i} g(s - h) - p_{\rm w} \tag{7}$$

and we suppose that a typical value of this is  $N_0$  and that the time scale appropriate to channel drainage is  $t_0$ .

It is appropriate to scale the variables as

$$z, h, s \sim d, \quad x \sim I, \quad p - \rho_{i}g(s - z) \sim \tau_{A}, \quad \tau_{1} \sim \tau_{A},$$
  

$$\tau_{3} \sim \nu \tau_{A}, \quad A \sim A_{s}, \quad w \sim w_{s}, \quad u \sim \nu w_{s}, \quad t \sim t_{0},$$
  

$$Q \sim A_{L}w_{s}, \quad N \sim N_{0}.$$
(8)

The beam stress is chosen to be

$$\tau_A = \left(\frac{\nu^2 w_{\rm s}}{dA_{\rm s}}\right)^{1/{\rm n}} \tag{9}$$

in order to balance terms in the definition of longitudinal strain rate.

With these scales, the equations become

$$\nu^{2}u_{x} + w_{z} = 0,$$

$$0 = -\beta s_{x} + \tau_{3z} - p_{x} + \tau_{1x},$$

$$0 = -p_{z} + \tau_{1z} - \nu^{2}\tau_{3x},$$

$$u_{z} + w_{x} = \nu^{2}A\tau^{n-1}\tau_{3},$$

$$2u_{x} = A\tau^{n-1}\tau_{1},$$

$$\tau^{2} = \nu^{2}\tau_{3}^{2} + \tau_{1}^{2}$$
(10)

and we retain the now dimensionless A in case we want to have it as a function of temperature and thus depth: in the present paper, only the case A = 1 is considered.

The boundary conditions become, on the surface z = s,

$$\tau_{3} + (p - \tau_{1})s_{x} = 0,$$
  

$$\nu^{2}\tau_{3}s_{x} + p + \tau_{1} = 0,$$
  

$$s_{t} = \mu(w - \nu^{2}us_{x} + a^{*})$$
(11)

where

and

$$\mu = \frac{w_{\rm s} t_0}{d} \tag{12}$$

$$a^* = \frac{a}{w_{\rm s}}.\tag{13}$$

On the lake roof, z = h,

$$\tau_3 + (p - \tau_1)h_x = -\gamma\beta Nh_x,$$
  

$$\nu^2 \tau_3 h_x + p + \tau_1 = -\gamma\beta N,$$
  

$$h_t = \mu(w - \nu^2 uh_x - m^*)$$
(14)

where

$$m^* = \frac{m}{w_{\rm s}}.\tag{15}$$

The parameters  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  are defined by

$$\beta = \frac{\rho_{\rm i} {\rm g} d}{\tau_A} \quad {\rm and} \quad \gamma = \frac{N_0}{\rho_{\rm i} {\rm g} d}.$$
 (16)

The lake hydrostatic condition Equation (4) becomes

$$(s + \delta h - \gamma N)_x = 0 \tag{17}$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{\rho_{\rm w} - \rho_{\rm i}}{\rho_{\rm i}} \tag{18}$$

and the lake refilling equation (5) becomes, in dimensionless terms,

$$Q = -\int_{x_{-}}^{x_{+}} w \,\mathrm{d}x \tag{19}$$

where  $x_{-}$  and  $x_{+}$  are the upstream and downstream positions of the lake margins.

The deflation rate scale  $w_s$  must be determined, if the effective pressure scale is known. The way it is chosen is by selecting the parameter  $\mu$  to balance terms in the hydrostatic equation, and we do this by choosing

$$\mu = \frac{\gamma}{1+\delta} \tag{20}$$

and we will see that this is appropriate for closed subsurface lakes.

The three other parameters involved in finding an approximate solution to this set of equations are  $\gamma$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\nu$ . Generally, we can assume  $\gamma \leq 1$ ,  $\nu \ll 1$  and  $\beta \geq 1$ . We therefore begin by seeking approximate solutions for  $\nu \ll 1$ , and then subsequently considering possible choices for  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$ . The limit  $\nu \rightarrow 0$  is the classical approximation associated with beam theory.

#### 2.3. The beam equation

With  $\nu \ll 1$ , we have  $w \approx w(x, t)$ ,  $\tau \approx |\tau_1|$  and  $u_z + w_x \approx 0$ , from which we obtain

$$2u_x \approx A|\tau_1|^{n-1}\tau_1$$
$$u \approx v - zw_x \tag{21}$$

where v(x, t) is to be determined. From Equations (10), (11) and (14), we see that  $p + \tau_1 \sim \nu^2$ . (Strictly, this requires  $\gamma \beta \sim \nu^2$ , but for the moment we only use this to motivate the definition of the following equation. See later comment after Equation (28).) We therefore define

$$p + \tau_1 = -\nu^2 \sigma_3. \tag{22}$$

From (21), we find

$$\tau_1 = \left(\frac{2}{A}\right)^{1/n} \frac{v_x - z w_{xx}}{\left|v_x - z w_{xx}\right|^{(n-1)/n}}.$$
 (23)



Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of cauldron geometry.

We now define three quantities: the bending moment M, the shear force S and the tension T as

$$M = \int_{h}^{s} 2z\tau_{1} dz, \quad S = \int_{h}^{s} \tau_{3} dz \quad \text{and} \quad T = \int_{h}^{s} \tau_{1} dz.$$
(24)

We also define the secondary quantities uplift force U and lifting torque L to be

$$U = \int_{h}^{s} \sigma_{3} dz \quad \text{and} \quad L = \int_{h}^{s} z \sigma_{3} dz.$$
 (25)

Integrating the force balance equations and applying the boundary conditions at z = s and z = h, we find that

$$2T_x + \nu^2 U_x = -\gamma\beta Nh_x + \beta(s-h)s_x,$$
  

$$M_x - \nu^2 L_x + S = \gamma\beta Nhh_x - \frac{1}{2}\beta(s^2 - h^2)s_x,$$
  

$$S_x = \frac{\gamma\beta N}{\nu^2}.$$
(26)

Subject to suitable boundary conditions, these equations will enable us to provide a closed solution which determines the surface depression rate -w in terms of the underlying effective pressure *N*.

The simplest assumption for the boundary conditions is that the positions of the lake margins are fixed, so that the values  $x_{\pm}$  are constant. The ice at the margins does not subside, so we set

$$w = 0$$
 at  $x = x_{\pm}$ . (27)

In reality, the margins will move as the ice sags, forming a moving grounding line problem. The solution in the case of ice sheet/ice shelf transition is itself non-trivial (Schoof, 2007).

The second condition at a margin is less easy to motivate. The horizontal velocity scale in the beam is  $\nu w_s$  (see Equation (8)), so that for the rapid subsidence in the Vatnajökull cauldrons, we would expect to prescribe u = 0 and thus  $v = w_x = 0$  at the margin (clamped conditions). For the much smaller rates of subsidence appropriate to the Antarctic sub-glacial lake (Wingham and others, 2006), the horizontal velocity scale is much smaller than that of the surrounding ice-sheet ice. A more appropriate boundary condition may be to prescribe zero longitudinal stress, thus  $\tau_1 = 0$ . Another argument in favour of this is that the beam stress  $\tau_A$  will typically be much larger than the normal stress scale in the ice sheet.

Another consideration is the effect of temperature in the ice. The rate of subsidence in the beam depends on the surface rate constant  $A_s$  and the beam stress  $\tau_A$ . The effective beam viscosity is thus  $\eta = (2A_s\tau_A^{n-1})^{-1}$ . Generally the beam

stresses are higher than the basal shear stress beyond the lake, while the surface rate coefficient may be much lower: for example, in Antarctica. If we suppose that the beam ice is much stiffer than the ice-sheet ice, then this also suggests conditions of zero longitudinal stress at the margins, i.e.  $\tau_1 = 0$ .

None of these arguments are watertight, and the issue of the correct choice of effective boundary conditions is one of difficulty. We do not pursue the issue further in this paper, but to be specific we will choose conditions of zero longitudinal stress at the margins, based on the idea that the ice-sheet ice is very weak in comparison to the beam ice.

Neglecting terms in  $\nu$  makes it unlikely that we can satisfy this pointwise, but we can certainly suppose that this condition can be applied in a vertically integrated sense. We therefore prescribe

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x = x_{\pm}. \tag{28}$$

We solve Equations (26) by formally assuming  $\gamma\beta \sim \nu^2$ . This is useful for exposition, but in fact the resulting approximations are still valid even if  $\gamma\beta \gg \nu^2$ , as is easily checked. The only term we retain on the right hand sides of Equations (26) is therefore the forcing term for *S*. Neglecting terms of relative O( $\nu$ ), we then have that T = T(t) and the boundary conditions (28) imply that T = 0. A consequence of this from Equation (23) is that

$$v_x = z_{\rm m} w_{xx} \tag{29}$$

where  $z_m$  is the mid-depth point, defined as

$$z_{\rm m} = \frac{s+h}{2}.\tag{30}$$

From this it follows that

$$M = \frac{D_{n} w_{xx}}{|w_{xx}|^{(n-1)/n}},$$
(31)

where

$$D_{\rm n} = \frac{{\rm n} H^{(2{\rm n}+1)/{\rm n}}}{(2{\rm n}+1)A^{1/{\rm n}}}, \qquad H = s - h \tag{32}$$

and the beam equation takes the resultant form

$$\left(\frac{D_{n}w_{xx}}{|w_{xx}|^{(n-1)/n}}\right)_{xx} = -\frac{\gamma\beta N}{\nu^{2}}$$
(33)

subject to

$$w = w_{xx} = 0$$
 at  $x = x_{\pm}$ . (34)

An expression for N results from the hydrostatic equation (17), together with the approximate kinematic equations

$$s_t \approx \mu(w+a^*), \qquad h_t \approx \mu(w+m^*).$$
 (35)

Let us suppose for simplicity that  $a^*$  and  $m^*$  are negligible. Then the depth H = s - h depends only on x. If we suppose that it is constant, then  $s_x = h_x$  and the integral of Equation (17) is, from the definition of  $\mu$  in Equation (20),

$$s = s_{+} + \mu \{ N - N_{+}(t) \}$$
(36)

where  $s = s_+$  (constant, since w = 0 at  $x_+$ ) and  $N = N_+$  at  $x = x_+$ . Differentiating, we finally have

$$N_t = \dot{N}_+ + w. \tag{37}$$

In the assumptions made in choosing scales  $t_0$ ,  $N_0$  and  $w_{s}$ , the terms in Equation (37) are all O(1), and it is this which motivates the choice made in Equation (20).

## 2.4. An approximate solution

An approximate solution to the beam equation (33) and the lake refilling equation (37) can be obtained using a boundary layer theory. For details, see Evatt (2006). Here we summarize the results. We first estimate the size of the term  $\gamma\beta/\nu^2$  for the cauldron in Figure 1. The ice depth is about 500 m and the cauldron width ~2 km, so we take  $\nu \sim 0.2$ . The product  $\gamma\beta = N_0/\tau_A$  is the ratio of the channel effective pressure scale to the beam stress. Typical values of  $w_s \approx 10^5 \text{ m a}^{-1}$  (12 m h<sup>-1</sup>),  $A_s \approx 10^{-2} \text{ bar}^{-3} \text{ a}^{-1}$  (based on a surface temperature of  $-10^\circ$ C; see Paterson, 1994) and n = 3 are employed; with these values, we find  $\tau_A \approx 20$  bar. This is comparable to typical estimates of channel effective pressure (Evatt, 2006), and then  $\gamma\beta \sim O(1)$  and  $\gamma\beta/\nu^2 \gg 1$ . In this case, the solution becomes of singular perturbation type, and supports boundary layers near the lake margins.

There is a central flat portion of the ice beam in which  $N \approx 0$ , i.e. the ice is essentially floating. The refilling equation together with Equation (19) then implies that the boundary condition for the flow in the channel is

$$\dot{N}_{+} = \frac{Q}{x_{+} - x_{-}},\tag{38}$$

which is essentially the same refilling condition that has been used in the past (Fowler, 1999). This is the first conclusion of the present study.

Near the margins, there are boundary layers of thickness

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{\nu^2 D_{\mathsf{n}}}{\gamma\beta}\right)^{\frac{\mathsf{n}}{2(\mathsf{n}+1)}}.$$
(39)

The governing boundary layer equation has a similar solution if we assume that the rising limb of the flood hydrograph is given by a power law of the form

$$N_{+} = \frac{c}{(t^{*} - t)^{lpha}}, \qquad Q = \frac{\alpha c}{(t^{*} - t)^{\alpha + 1}}$$
 (40)

where c and  $\alpha$  are positive constants. This was demonstrated by Nye (1976) with  $\alpha = 3$ . Here *t* is time and t<sup>\*</sup> is a constant chosen to fit the numerically computed form of the hydrograph. An essentially analytic solution for *w* can thus be obtained, and from this the surface deformation history can be computed by integrating Equation (35). The result of doing this is shown in Figure 3, which shows a theoretical subsidence sequence above a lake whose discharge follows the Nye rising hydrograph equation (40). The parameter choices for this lake are chosen to be appropriate for a sub-Antarctic lake.

### 3. CAULDRONS AND RING FRACTURES

It is of interest to calculate the maximum stress in the beam. From Equation (23), the maximum stress is at the surface and base of the ice, and is given by

$$\left|\tau_{1}\right|_{\max} = \left|\frac{Hw_{xx}}{A}\right|^{1/n} \tag{41}$$

We can use the boundary layer theory to estimate the maximum stress. After some algebra, we find that the maximum dimensional stress is

$$\tau_{\max} \sim \left(\frac{\gamma\beta}{\nu^2} N_+ \dot{N}_+\right)^{1/4} \tau_{A,} \tag{42}$$

where we take n = 3. This occurs at a distance from the margin of

$$\Delta x \sim \left(\frac{\dot{N}_{+}}{N_{+}^{3}}\right)^{1/8} \frac{\nu^{1/2} I}{\left(\gamma\beta\right)^{1/4}}$$
(43)

where *l* is the lake width. If  $\tau_{max}$  reaches the yield stress  $\tau_c$  of ice, then the ice will fracture forming a crevasse and the overlake ice will reset itself to the application of effective boundary conditions at the position of this ring fracture. Thereafter, continued rise of effective pressure will allow a new ring fracture to occur in-lake of the old fracture, and in this way a sequence of such fractures may form, with a spacing indicated by Equation (43) as seen in Figure 1. If we equate  $\tau_{max} = \tau_c$  and use Equation (42) to eliminate  $\dot{N}_+$ , we find that the fracture spacing should be

$$\Delta x \sim \left(\frac{\tau_{\rm c}}{\tau_A}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\nu^2}{\gamma\beta}\right)^{3/8} \frac{l}{N_+^{1/2}}.$$
 (44)

If  $\tau_A \gg \tau_c$  and  $\gamma \beta / \nu^2 \gg 1$ , as we surmise for the cauldron of Figure 1, then we see that  $\Delta x \ll I$  (clearly observed in Fig. 1). However, it is not easy to get good quantitative agreement with observed fracture spacing for which a consideration of viscoelastic effects may be necessary.

### 4. FLOODS IN THE ANTARCTIC

Recently, Wingham and others (2006) observed subsidence of the Antarctic ice sheet surface of the order of 4 m occurring over a period of  $\sim$ 3 years. They ascribe these local deflations to small lake flooding events (one lake floods into another 300 km away, above which the ice surface rises several metres). Our theory can be applied to these floods provided the value of  $\mu$  in Equation (35) is appropriate; specifically, we need  $\mu d \approx 4 \text{ m}$ . From Equations (20) and (16),  $\mu \approx \gamma = N_0 / \rho_i g d$ , so we see that the depth of subsidence is of the order  $N_0/\rho_i g$ . Assuming this is 4 m, we infer that  $N_0 \approx 0.4$  bar. This is essentially the value that was inferred for the effective pressure under ice stream B (Whillans ice stream) (Blankenship and others, 1987), where the ice overlies marine sediment (Kamb, 2001). The lake described by Wingham and others (2006) lies in the Adventure subglacial trench, which was presumably a large lake even before Antarctic glaciation (Drewry, 1983, sheet 6), and thus likely to be bedded with glaciolacustrine sediments. We interpret the small subsidence observed by Wingham and others (2006) as indicative of a high-pressure canal-type drainage system (Walder and Fowler, 1994), although jökulhlaups in such drainage systems have not previously been described. Other interpretations are possible: for example, that the lake was small and simply emptied.

Figure 3 shows a sequence of the surface profiles *s* as time increases, obtained by solving the surface kinematic equation (35). The two features of these shapes are their depth and the sharpness of the boundary layers. The depth is controlled by the parameter  $\mu = \gamma$  while the boundary layer thickness is  $(\gamma\beta/\nu^2)^{1/4}$ . The depression of the surface of ~3 m (over an ice depth of 4000 m) suggests that  $\mu \sim 10^{-3}$  and  $N_0 \sim 0.3$  bar. To calculate  $\gamma\beta = N_0/\tau_A$ , we use the definition of  $\tau_A$  in Equation (9). With  $\nu \sim 0.2$ ,  $w_{\rm s} \sim 3$  m a<sup>-1</sup>, d ~ 4000 m,  $A_{\rm s} \sim 10^{-4}$  bar<sup>-3</sup> a<sup>-1</sup> (at -50°C; Paterson, 1994), we find  $\tau_A \sim 0.67$  bar and thus  $\gamma\beta \sim 0.45$ . With  $\nu \sim 0.2$ 



**Fig. 3.** Solution of Equation (35) (beginning at t = 0 days) at times t = 350 days (a), t = 371 days (b), t = 382 days (c) and t = 393 days (d) using Equation (40) for  $N_+$ , with  $t^* = 1$ ,  $c = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $\alpha = 3$ ,  $\gamma \beta / \nu^2 = 15.8$ , and a time scale of  $t_0 = 416$  days. The units of vertical subsidence are in metres, and the units of horizontal distance are km. The results in the figure correspond to a choice of  $\mu d = N_0 / \rho_i g = 3$  m, and thus  $N_0 = 0.3$  bar. The results are plotted for a lake of width 20 km, in which case if d = 4 km and  $\nu = 0.2$ ,  $\gamma \beta = 0.63$ , corresponding to a value of  $\tau_A = 0.48$  bar. No surface fracture is expected in this case.

(a 20 km wide lake), we have the boundary layer width  $(\nu^2 / \gamma \beta)^{1/4} \sim 0.55$ . In this case the boundary layers are quite wide, as indicated in Figure 3, where they essentially extend to the centre of the depression.

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

The formation of cauldrons on the surface of ice caps is associated with the emptying of subglacial lakes. The rate of subsidence is directly related to the rate of lake discharge, but requires an underpressure in the lake in order to drawdown the overlying ice. The underpressure in the lake is therefore related to the rate of subglacial lake discharge. This has consequences for theories of jökulhlaups, since this relationship is an essential part of the flood hydrograph theory. Previous theories (e.g. Nye, 1976) have in effect simply assumed a flotation condition for the ice over the lake, but it is not obvious that this will apply when the lake is isolated from the atmosphere. In this paper we have presented the elements of a theory which can be used to predict the relationship between ice surface subsidence and lake underpressure. The theory is that of a viscous beam (Howell, 1996; Ribe, 2001), and is based on the assumption of small aspect ratio (depth/length) of the ice above the lake. Providing a further parameter  $\gamma\beta = N_0/\tau_A$  is not too small, where  $N_0$  is the scale of the effective pressure at the entrance to the lake drainage channel and  $\tau_A$  is the size of the deviatoric normal stress in the ice, a boundary layer theory shows that the bulk of the ice can effectively be considered to be approximately in flotation, thus vindicating the Nye assumption for closed subglacial lakes.

For rapid channel drainage, such as occurs following subglacial volcanic eruptions, the induced beam stresses may be very large (of the order 10 bar), and will cause closely spaced ring fractures to occur in the beam as it collapses. The present theory can be used to predict an approximate fracture spacing, but does not seem to give good quantitative agreement with observation, perhaps because the model is not sophisticated enough.

The recent observation of small subsidence events (of the order of metres) on Antarctica is indicative of small floods. The duration of these floods (with a time scale of a year) is comparable to those of simulations using Nye's (1976) theory (Evatt and others, 2006), but the slight depressions either indicate very shallow lakes, or may imply that such floods occur in channelized flow over soft sediments at low effective pressure. We consider this latter (tentative) explanation to be more robust. It raises further questions concerning the nature of hydraulic drainage under ice sheets.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

G.W.E. thanks W.H.R. Evatt for financial support and the Centre for Polar and Ocean Modelling at University College, London, for the award of a research assistantship. A.C.F. thanks the University of Limerick for their continued support of his research.

#### REFERENCES

- Björnsson, H. 1988. Hydrology of ice caps in volcanic regions.
   1. Vísindafélag Íslendinga [Icelandic Society of Science]. 45. Revkjavík, Societas Scientiarum Islandica, University of Iceland.
- Blankenship, D.D., C.R. Bentley, S.T. Rooney and R.B. Alley. 1987. Till beneath Ice Stream B. 1. Properties derived from seismic travel times. J. Geophys. Res., 92(B9), 8903–8911.

- Drewry, D.J. 1983. *Antarctica: glaciological and geophysical folio.* Cambridge, University of Cambridge, Scott Polar Research Institute.
- Evatt, G.W. 2006. Jökulhlaups and sub-glacial floods. DPhil Thesis, University of Oxford.
- Evatt, G.W., A.C. Fowler, C.D. Clark and N.R.J. Hulton. 2006. Subglacial floods beneath ice sheets. *Philosophical Transactions* of the Royal Society A, 364(1844), 1769–1794.
- Fowler, A.C. 1999. Breaking the seal at Grímsvötn, Iceland. J. Glaciol., 45(151), 506–516.
- Guðmundsson, M.T., F. Sigmundsson, H. Björnsson and Þ. Högnadóttir. 2004. The 1996 eruption at Gjálp, Vatnajökull ice cap, Iceland: efficiency of heat transfer, ice deformation and subglacial water pressure. *Bull. Volcanol.*, **66**(1), 46–65.
- Howell, P.D. 1996. Models for thin viscous sheets. *European Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 7, 321–343.
- Kamb, B. 2001. Basal zone of the West Antarctic ice streams and its role in lubrication of their rapid motion. *In* Alley, R.B. and R.A. Bindschadler, *eds. The West Antarctic ice sheet: behavior and environment.* Washington, DC, American Geophysical Union, 157–199. (Antarctic Research Series 77.)
- Nye, J.F. 1976. Water flow in glaciers: jökulhlaups, tunnels and veins. J. Glaciol., 17(76), 181–207.
- Paterson, W.S.B. 1994. *The physics of glaciers. Third edition.* Oxford, Elsevier.
- Ribe, N.M. 2001. Bending and stretching of thin viscous sheets. *J. Fluid Mech.*, **433**, 135–160.
- Schoof, C. 2007. Marine ice-sheet dynamics. Part 1. The case of rapid sliding. J. Fluid Mech., 573, 27–55.
- Walder, J.S. and A. Fowler. 1994. Channelised subglacial drainage over a deformable bed. J. Glaciol., **40**(134), 3–15.
- Wingham, D.J., M.J. Siegert, A. Shepherd and A.S. Muir. 2006. Rapid discharge connects Antarctic subglacial lakes. *Nature*, 440(7087), 1033–1036.