

# SHORT REPORT

# Epidemiology and factors associated with candidaemia following *Clostridium difficile* infection in adults within metropolitan Atlanta, 2009–2013

S. VALLABHANENI<sup>1,2</sup>\*, O. ALMENDARES<sup>3,4</sup>, M. M. FARLEY<sup>5,6</sup>, J. RENO<sup>3,6,7</sup>, Z. T. SMITH<sup>3,7</sup>, B. STEIN<sup>3,5</sup>, S. S. MAGILL<sup>7</sup>, R. M. SMITH<sup>2</sup>, A. A. CLEVELAND<sup>2</sup> AND F. C. LESSA<sup>7</sup>

Received 1 October 2015; Final revision 5 November 2015; Accepted 9 November 2015; first published online 26 November 2015

#### **SUMMARY**

We assessed prevalence of and risk factors for candidaemia following *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI) using longitudinal population-based surveillance. Of 13 615 adults with CDI, 113 (0·8%) developed candidaemia in the 120 days following CDI. In a matched case-control analysis, severe CDI and CDI treatment with vancomycin + metronidazole were associated with development of candidaemia following CDI.

Key words: Candidaemia, CDI treatment, Clostridium difficile infection, co-infection, severe CDI.

Clostridium difficile and Candida sp. are both important causes of healthcare-associated infections [1, 2]. Risk factors are similar for Candida infections and C. difficile infections (CDI), and include broad spectrum antibiotic (BSA) use and prolonged intensive-care unit (ICU) stays [3, 4]. CDI itself and CDI treatment with certain antibiotics may lead to disruption of the indigenous microbiota, predisposing patients to overgrowth of endogenous pathogens such as Candida sp. and dissemination into the blood through the compromised mucosal barrier present during CDI [5, 6]. The purpose of our study was to describe the

prevalence and characteristics of adults developing candidaemia following CDI and evaluate the factors associated with developing candidaemia following CDI.

The CDCs Emerging Infections Program conducts population-based surveillance for CDI and candidaemia in the Atlanta area (population 3·8 million). The methods of CDI and candidaemia surveillance have been described previously [1, 7]; in brief, both surveillance systems rely on active laboratory-based case-finding in residents of the catchment area, followed by medical record abstraction for identified cases.

A CDI case was defined as a positive *C. difficile* toxin or molecular assay in a surveillance area resident aged  $\geqslant$ 18 years and a candidaemia case was defined as a *Candida* sp.-positive blood culture collected from a surveillance area resident aged  $\geqslant$ 18 years. Infection with CDI and candidaemia was defined as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Epidemic Intelligence Service Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Division of Foodborne Waterborne and Environmental Diseases, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Georgia Emerging Infections Program, Atlanta, GA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Atlanta Research and Education Foundation, Decatur, GA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Emory University School of Medicine, Department of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Atlanta Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Atlanta, GA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

<sup>\*</sup> Author for correspondence: S. Vallabhaneni, MD, MPH, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd, MS C-90, Atlanta, GA 30329, USA. (Email: fco6@cdc.gov)

candidaemia occurring in the 120 days after CDI during 1 September 2009–31 December 2013. Cases with both infections were identified by merging the two surveillance databases by patient's name and date of birth.

To calculate the prevalence of candidaemia in adults with CDI, we divided the number with co-infection by the number with CDI in a given year. We used the Cochran-Armitage test for trend. We conducted a matched case-control study to identify factors associated with candidaemia following CDI. Controls were defined as CDI cases without candidaemia who had survived at least 120 days post-CDI episode. For each case with both candidaemia and CDI, we identified up to three controls matched by age group (18–44, 45–64,  $\geq$ 65 years) and location of CDI disease onset [healthcare facility onset (HCFO), community-onset healthcare-facility associated, community associated]. Not all cases had three controls available because only 10% of the HCFO CDI cases had a full medical record review based on surveillance methodology [1]. We used conditional logistic regression analysis to identify factors associated with candidaemia following CDI. In all analyses, the level of significance was set at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Of 13 615 adults with CDI, we identified 113 (0·8%) patients who developed candidaemia in the 120 days after CDI. The prevalence of candidaemia following CDI declined from 1·4% in 2010 to 0·6% in 2013 (P < 0.001), and varied by age group, with the highest prevalence in cases aged 45–64 years (1·1%) compared to the other two age groups (18–44 years: 0·8%;  $\geq$ 65 years: 0·7%; P = 0.036).

Of the case-patients, median age was 62 years [interquartile range (IQR) 53–70 years], 54% were female, 54% were black, and 77% had CDI onset in a healthcare facility (Table 1). Diabetes (46·9%) was common. Vancomycin,  $\beta$ -lactam/ $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitors, and fluoroquinolones were the most common antibiotics administered to case-patients in the 12 weeks before CDI onset. Fifty (44·3%) case-patients experienced severe CDI. For CDI treatment,  $40\cdot7\%$  of case-patients received metronidazole only,  $10\cdot6\%$  received vancomycin + metronidazole; no case-patients received fidaxomicin.

The median time between CDI and candidaemia diagnosis was 19 days (IQR 8–45 days). Eighty-eight percent of case-patients had a central venous catheter in place in the 2 days before candidaemia diagnosis. *Candida albicans* was the most frequent species

recovered (37·2%). Thirty-three (29·2%) case-patients died within 30 days of candidaemia.

We identified 257 controls for 113 cases. Compared to controls, cases had higher odds of being black [matched odds ratio (mOR) 1.87, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1·16–3·05], having diabetes (mOR 1·66, 95% CI 1·01–2·77) or inflammatory bowel disease (mOR 5.07, 95% CI 1.29-23.67), and having a higher Charlson comorbidity index score (mOR 1.99, 95% CI 1.13-3.63) for score of  $\geq 2 \text{ vs.} < 2$ ). In the 12 weeks before CDI, cases also had higher odds of having received proton pump inhibitors (mOR 2·10, 95% CI 1.28-3.53), or BSAs (see Table 1 for individual mOR). Cases were also more likely to have severe CDI (mOR 2·10, 95% CI 1·29-3·42), colectomy (mOR 13·26, 95% CI 1·54–114·08), ICU admission (mOR 2·31, 95% CI 1·00-5·39), and to receive CDI treatment with vancomycin + metronidazole compared to metronidazole alone (mOR 2.08, 95% CI 1.18-3.74).

Using data from two active population- and laboratory-based surveillance systems, we evaluated over 10 000 CDI patients for development of candidaemia and identified a total of 113 persons with both infections. We found that candidaemia following CDI was rare (<1%). The decline observed in the prevalence of CDI/candidaemia is similar to the decline in trends in overall candidaemia rates in the Atlanta area [7]. Distribution of Candida sp. causing infection in CDI patients was also similar to that reported in the general population [7]. Some factors, such as black race, diabetes, BSA use, and ICU admission, which have been reported in the past as risk factors for candidaemia [4, 8], continue to be important in patients with preceding CDI. However, this study also identified new potential risk factors for candidaemia in CDI patients, including severe CDI and CDI treatment with both vancomycin + metronidazole.

Treatment with vancomycin + metronidazole was associated with higher odds of developing candidaemia after CDI, compared to metronidazole alone. While treatment with both antibiotics could be a marker of severity of CDI illness [9], it may also be independently associated with development of candidaemia as more disruption of mucosal integrity and disruption of gut microbiota, including suppression of anaerobes and overgrowth of *Candida* has been reported with vancomycin treatment [5, 10]. Although we do not report on the relationship between daily dose of vancomycin used to treat CDI and risk of subsequent candidaemia in this study,

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of case-patients with candidaemia following Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) and controls (CDI infection only), Atlanta metropolitan area, 2009–2013

Characteristic	Cases (N = 113) n (%)	Controls† $(N = 257)$ $n (\%)$	Matched OR (95% CI)	P value					
					Age group, years				
					18-44	19 (16.8)	37 (14·4)	Not applicable	
45–64	50 (44.2)	88 (34·2)	matched by this						
≥65	44 (38.9)	132 (51·3)	criteria						
Female sex	61 (54.0)	154 (59.9)	1.22 (0.74–2.00)	0.479					
Black race	61 (54.0)	95 (37.0)	1.87 (1.16–3.05)	0.009					
Location of CDI disease onset		, ,							
Community acquired	12 (10.6)	36 (14·3)	Not applicable						
Community-onset healthcare-associated	14 (12.4)	42 (16·3)	matched by this						
Healthcare facility onset (HCFO)	87 (77.0)	179 (69.7)	criteria						
Underlying medical conditions	. ,	, ,							
Diabetes	53 (46.9)	92 (35.8)	1.66 (1.01–2.77)	0.046					
Renal disease	39 (34·5)	69 (26.9)	1.38 (0.82–2.33)	0.244					
Heart failure	28 (24.8)	55 (21.4)	1.26 (0.71–2.24)	0.471					
Obstructive pulmonary disease	21 (18.6)	55 (21.4)	0.85 (0.45–1.57)	0.704					
Liver disease	5 (4.4)	10 (3.9)	0.95 (0.24–3.17)	1.0					
Inflammatory bowel disease	7 (6.2)	4 (1.6)	5.07 (1.29–23.67)	0.018					
Peptic ulcer disease	4 (3.5)	6 (2·3)	1.40 (0.28–6.12)	0.834					
Solid organ malignancy	8 (7.1)	30 (11.7)	0.66 (0.25–1.53)	0.415					
Haematologic malignancy	5 (4.4)	9 (3.5)	1.18 (0.24–3.86)	1.0					
Solid organ transplant	4 (3.5)	2 (0.8)	4.06 (0.55– 46.89)	0.214					
Bone marrow transplant	4 (3·5)	0	9.09 (1.48–∞)	0.042					
HIV/AIDS	7 (6.2)	15 (5.8)	0.81 (0.18–3.0)	0.983					
Dementia	14 (12.4)	30 (11.7)	1.34 (0.61–2.84)	0.528					
Cerebrovascular accident			0.71 (0.30–1.58)	0.488					
	12 (10.6)	37 (14.4)	*	0.488					
None	10 (8.99)	36 (14·0)	0.66 (0.27–1.48)	0.373					
Charlson comorbidity index	01 (25.4)	24 (21 2)	D.C	0.015					
<2	91 (35.4)	24 (21·2)	Ref.	0.015					
≥2 Diag. Land to the constant of the constant	166 (64.6)	89 (78·7)	1.99 (1.13–3.63)						
Prior healthcare exposure	16 (14.2)	21 (12 1)	1 00 (0 40 0 14)	1 000					
Chronic haemodialysis	16 (14·2)	31 (12·1)	1.02 (0.48–2.14)	1.000					
Surgical procedure in the last 12 weeks‡	46 (40.7)	77 (30.0)	1.63 (0.98–2.72)	0.061					
Long-term care facility in last 12 weeks‡	29 (25.7)	44 (17·1)	2·10 (1·09–4·09)	0.025					
Emergency room in last 12 weeks‡	28 (24.8)	79 (30·7)	0.78 (0.45–1.33)	0.405					
Hospitalized at the time of or within 7 days after stool									
collection	100 (00 5)	100 (52.0)	0 (5 (1 00 ( 00)	0.00.					
Yes	100 (88.5)	190 (73.9)	2.67 (1.32–6.00)	0.005					
Medications received in the 12 weeks before CDI episode	= ( (	100 (15.0)	0.10 (1.00 0.50)	0.000					
Proton pump inhibitors	76 (67.3)	123 (47.9)	2·10 (1·28–3·53)	0.002					
H2 blockers	25 (22·1)	39 (15·2)	1.40 (0.77–2.52)	0.289					
Steroids	31 (27.4)	47 (18·3)	1.56 (0.87–2.78)	0.141					
Chemotherapy	5 (4·4)	20 (7.8)	0.44 (0.13–1.25)	0.148					
Antibiotic exposure in the 12 weeks before CDI episode									
Aminoglycoside	8 (7·1)	4 (1.6)	3.76 (0.98–17.57)	0.055					
$\beta$ -lactamase inhibitors	51 (45·1)	60 (23·4)	2.49 (1.48–4.26)	<0.001					
Carbapenems	24 (21·2)	10 (3.9)	8.94 (3.25–30.60)	< 0.001					
1st- and 2nd-generation cephalosporins	12 (10.6)	22 (8.6)	1.26 (0.55–2.76)	0.663					
3rd- and 4th-generation cephalosporins	25 (22·1)	31 (12·1)	2.07 (1.05–4.13)	0.035					
Clindamycin	4 (3.5)	13 (5·1)	0.68 (0.15–2.38)	0.728					
Fluoroquinolones	46 (40.7)	75 (29·2)	1.68 (1.01–2.82)	0.044					
Vancomycin	52 (46.0)	53 (20.6)	3·12 (1·85–5·39)	< 0.001					
Macrolides	15 (13·3)	12 (4.7)	2.92 (1.22–7.20)	0.015					

Table 1 (cont.)

Characteristic	Cases (N = 113) n (%)	Controls† (N = 257) n (%)	Matched OR (95% CI)	P value
Severe CDI§	50 (44·3)	69 (26.9)	2·10 (1·29–3·42)	0.003*
Ileus	10 (8.9)	3 (1.2)		
Toxic megacolon	3 (2.7)	1 (0.40)		
Pseudomembranous colitis	4 (3.9)	2 (0.8)		
High white blood cell count (>15 000/ $\mu$ l)	41 (36·3)	65 (25·3)		
Colectomy	5 (4.4)	1 (0.4)	13.26 (1.54–114.08)	0.019*
Intensive-care unit admission (within 7 days after CDI diagnosis)	15 (13·2)	15 (5.8)	2·31 (1·00–5·39)	0.049*
Prior CDI episode	25 (22·1)	38 (15·1)	1.76 (0.94–3.25)	0.078
Other gastrointestinal pathogen present CDI treatment	2 (1.8)	7 (2.7)	0.58 (0.06–3.20)	0.793
Metronidazole only	46 (40.7)	120 (46.7)	Ref.	
Vancomycin only	12 (10.6)	31 (12·1)	0.94 (0.40-2.10)	1.00
Vancomycin + metronidazole	45 (39.8)	60 (19·1)	2.08 (1.18-3.74)	0.010*
Fidaxomicin only	0	1 (0.4)	3.75 (0-71.30)	1.00
Other	10 (8.9)	42 (16.5)	$0.70 \ (0.28-1.60)$	0.480
Type of Candida sp.				
albicans	42 (37.2)	Not applicable		
glabrata	28 (24.8)			
parapsilosis	21 (18.5)			
tropicalis	13 (11.5)			
Other	5 (4.4)			
Multiple	2 (1.8)			
Previously known candidaemia risk factors				
Received systemic antibiotics in the 14 days		Not applicable		
prior to candidaemia	101 (89·4)			
Had a central venous catheter in the 2 days				
prior to candidaemia	100 (88.0)			
Received total parenteral nutrition in the 14				
days prior to candidaemia	43 (38·1)			
Previous candidaemia episode	10 (8.9)			

OR, Odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

previous research has shown that higher daily doses of vancomycin (≥500 mg/day) was an important risk factor for development of bloodstream infections, including candidaemia, following CDI [11]. Poor outcomes, including recurrent CDI and worse histopathology have also been noted in mice treated with vancomycin compared to untreated mice [12]. There is the potential for even greater disruption of the normal microbiome with exposure to two CDI antibiotics. Severe CDI may contribute to an

increased risk of candidaemia due to severe mucosal damage, which may facilitate translocation of *Candida*, particularly in the setting of *Candida* overgrowth occurring during CDI treatment. Severe CDI may also be a marker for patients who require ICU admission, surgical intervention, or placement of a central line, all of which are known to be risk factors for candidaemia. Our findings are consistent with a recent smaller study from Italy in which severe CDI was also found to be associated with candidaemia [13].

<sup>†</sup> Controls were matched on age group (18–44, 45–64,  $\geq$ 65 years) and location at the time of CDI onset. Note that not all cases had 1:3 matching; HCFO cases in age groups 18–44 and 45–64 years had only one or two controls each because of lack of availability of controls in these groups.

<sup>‡</sup> Refers to 12 weeks before CDI onset.

<sup>§</sup> Severe CDI = having one or more of the following: ileus, toxic megacolon, pseudomembranous colitis (within 5 days before or after initial *C. difficile* + stool, or a white blood cell count >15 000 cells/ $\mu$ l within 1 day before or after initial *C. difficile* + stool.

<sup>\*</sup> Significant P value.

This study has several limitations. Although we were not able to conduct a multivariable analysis because data on antibiotic use after CDI diagnosis, other than those used for CDI treatment, and presence of a central line, both important risk factors for candidaemia [4], were not available for all CDI patients, we were able to find some associations that will be important for evaluation and confirmation in future studies. We were unable to identify three controls per case due to surveillance methodology, which may have limited our ability to find significant associations. The effect of fidaxomicin use on development of candidaemia could not be evaluated since no cases received fidaxomicin.

The prevalence of candidaemia in patients with recent CDI is low. However, clinicians should be vigilant for candidaemia in CDI patients who have previously recognized risk factors for candidaemia, and those with severe CDI or CDI treatment with certain two-drug regimens. Further research is needed to evaluate independent risk factors for candidaemia following CDI.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

This study received no financial support.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

None.

### REFERENCES

 Lessa FC, et al. Burden of Clostridium difficile infection in the United States. New England Journal of Medicine 2015; 372: 825–834.

- Magill SS, et al. Multistate point-prevalence survey of health care-associated infections. New England Journal of Medicine 2014; 370: 1198–208.
- 3. **Bignardi GE.** Risk factors for *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Journal of Hospital Infections* 1998; **40**: 1–15.
- Wey SB, et al. Risk factors for hospital-acquired candidaemia. A matched case-control study. Archives of Internal Medicine 1989; 149: 2349–53.
- Nerandzic MM, et al. Reduced acquisition and overgrowth of vancomycin-resistant enterococci and Candida species in patients treated with fidaxomicin versus vancomycin for Clostridium difficile infection. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2012; 55: S121–S126.
- Guastalegname M, et al. Candidaemia subsequent to severe infection due to Clostridium difficile: is there a link? Clinical Infectious Diseases 2013; 57: 772–774.
- Cleveland AA, et al. Changes in incidence and antifungal drug resistance in candidaemia: results from population-based laboratory surveillance in Atlanta and Baltimore, 2008–2011. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2012; 55: 1352–1361.
- 8. **Fanfair RN**, *et al.* Racial disparities in candidaemia: results from population-based surveillance in the United States, 2008–2010, no. M-1511. Presented at the 2011 ICAAC, 17–20 September, Chicago.
- Cohen S, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for Clostridium difficile infection in adults: 2010 update by the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA) and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA). Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology 2010; 31: 431–455.
- Lewis BB, et al. Loss of microbiota-mediated colonization resistance to Clostridium difficile infection with oral vancomycin compared with metronidazole. Journal of Infectious Diseases. Published online: 28 April 2015. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiv256.
- Falcone M, et al. Bloodstream infections secondary to Clostridium difficile infection: risk factors and outcomes. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2015; pii: AAC.01927-15.
- Warren CA, et al. Vancomycin treatment's association with delayed intestinal tissue injury, clostridial overgrowth, and recurrence of Clostridium difficile infection in mice. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2013; 57: 689–96.
- Russo A, et al. Risk factors and clinical outcomes of candidaemia in patients treated for Clostridium difficile. Clininical Microbiology and Infection 2015; pii: S1198-743X(15)00178-0.