

FACTOR IDEALS OF SOME REPRESENTATION ALGEBRAS

W. D. WALLIS

(Received 19 December 1966)

Throughout this paper \mathcal{F} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p ($\neq 0$) and \mathcal{G} is a finite group whose order is divisible by p . We define in the usual way an \mathcal{F} -representation of \mathcal{G} (or $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$ -representation) and its corresponding module. The isomorphism class of the $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$ -representation module \mathcal{M} is written $\{\mathcal{M}\}$ or, where no confusion arises, M . $A(\mathcal{G})$ denotes the \mathcal{F} -representation algebra of \mathcal{G} over the complex field \mathcal{C} (as defined on pages 73 and 82 of [6]).

J. A. Green [6] and S. B. Conlon [5] have shown that $A(\mathcal{G})$ is semisimple if and only if the algebras $W_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{G})$ (see Section 1) are all semisimple, where \mathcal{D} runs over the set of distinct (to within \mathcal{G} -conjugacy) p -subgroups of \mathcal{G} .

The semisimplicity of $W_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{G})$ is known to hold when \mathcal{D} is cyclic and in the special case where $p = 2$ and \mathcal{D} is a sylow subgroup of \mathcal{G} and is isomorphic to the elementary abelian group on two generators \mathcal{V}_4 . I have considered the case where \mathcal{D} is this group but the sylow condition does not hold; it is shown that $W_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{G})$ is semisimple when \mathcal{G} is the alternating group on six or seven symbols (\mathcal{A}_6 or \mathcal{A}_7). It is also shown that $A(\mathcal{A}_6)$ is semisimple if and only if $A(\mathcal{A}_7)$ is. Use is made of Conlon's results [3] on $A(\mathcal{V}_4)$ and $A(\mathcal{A}_4)$.

Section 1 of the paper is introductory. In the second section certain properties of alternating groups are indicated; the semisimplicity of $A(\mathcal{A}_5)$ is proven and those groups \mathcal{P} for which $W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A}_6)$ is isomorphic to $W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A}_7)$ are listed. The remainder of the paper is concerned with the semisimplicity of $W_{\mathcal{V}_4}(\mathcal{A}_k)$ for $k = 6$ or 7 . Certain results derived in Section 3 concerning the decomposition of induced modules are applied in the next section to the \mathcal{V}_4 -projective \mathcal{N}_6 and \mathcal{N}_7 -modules (\mathcal{N}_k is the \mathcal{A}_k -normalizer of \mathcal{V}_4); the generators of $A_{\mathcal{V}_4}(\mathcal{N}_k)$ modulo the projective ideal are calculated. In the final sections the structures of $W_{\mathcal{V}_4}(\mathcal{N}_6)$ and $W_{\mathcal{V}_4}(\mathcal{N}_7)$ are found; semisimplicity follows.

This research was carried out at the University of Sydney. I wish to express my thanks to Dr. S. B. Conlon for his help with this work.

1. Properties of representation algebras

We shall say an algebra \mathcal{A} over the complex field \mathcal{C} is semisimple¹ if, for every non-zero element A of \mathcal{A} , there is an algebra homomorphism $\phi_A : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, with $A\phi_A \neq 0$.² If the radical of \mathcal{A} is defined as the intersection $\cap \mathcal{M}$ of the maximal ideals \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{A} , such that $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{C}$, then a necessary and sufficient condition for the semisimplicity of \mathcal{A} is that \mathcal{A} should have zero radical.³

If $A(\mathcal{G})$ is as defined above, and $\mathcal{D} \leq \mathcal{G}$, we define $A_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{G})$ and $W_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{G})$ as in [6]. We write $W_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{G}) = A_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{G})$ where \mathcal{J} is the group with one element. It should be noted that $W_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{G})$ is trivial unless \mathcal{D} is a p -group.

If \mathcal{H} is a subgroup of \mathcal{G} , then the following results hold:

(1) THEOREM [5]. $A_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{G}) \cong \bigoplus W_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{G})$, where \bigoplus is the algebra direct sum over all the non- \mathcal{G} -conjugate p -subgroups \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{G} with $\mathcal{D} \leq \mathcal{H}$.

(2) COROLLARY. $A_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{G})$ is semisimple if and only if each $W_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{G})$ is.

It follows from (1) and (2) that to discuss semisimplicity we need only discuss the semisimplicity of the algebras W . We make use of the following result.

(3) THEOREM [6, p. 81]. If $\mathcal{D} \leq \mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{H} \leq \mathcal{G}$, where \mathcal{N} is the \mathcal{G} -normalizer of \mathcal{D} , then

$$W_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{H}) \cong W_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{G}).$$

In other words we need only consider the normalizer of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{G} , rather than \mathcal{G} .

$W_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{G})$ is always semisimple; in fact as \mathcal{F} is algebraically closed it is the direct sum of r copies of \mathcal{C} where r is the number of p -regular conjugacy classes in \mathcal{G} [3, p. 85].

Finally we note that there is no loss of generality in considering \mathcal{F} algebraically closed. If it were not, then let \mathcal{F}^* be the closure of \mathcal{F} , and write $A^*(\mathcal{G})$, $W_{\mathcal{D}}^*(\mathcal{G})$ for the algebras derived in this case. Then from p. 80 of [4] we see that the semisimplicity of A^* (or W^*) ensures that of A (or W).

2. Alternating groups

If we take \mathcal{A}_r as a permutation group on the first r positive integers in the usual way we can write $\mathcal{A}_{r-1} < \mathcal{A}_r$, where \mathcal{A}_{r-1} is obtained by omitting all elements of \mathcal{A}_r which properly permute one specific integer.

¹ Also called G -semisimple [3, p. 84].

² All mappings except permutations and representations are written on the right.

³ See p. 84 of [3].

Suppose $\mathcal{D} \leq \mathcal{A}_{r-1}$ and suppose the normalizer $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{A}_r)$ of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{A}_r , satisfies $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{A}_r) \leq \mathcal{A}_{r-1}$. Then, by (3),

$$W_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_r) \cong W_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_{r-1}).$$

This sort of result interests us because it provides a link between $A(\mathcal{A}_r)$ and $A(\mathcal{A}_{r-1})$, so we will investigate when these conditions hold. To do this we introduce the idea of a permutation acting on an integer.

We say the permutation P acts on the integer i if, when P is considered as a mapping of the integers, $P(i) \neq i$, and a subgroup $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{A}_r$ acts on i if there is a member of \mathcal{P} which acts on i . Thus the positive integers $\leq r$ are divided into two disjoint classes: $\alpha_r(\mathcal{P})$, the set of integers acted on by \mathcal{P} , and $\beta_r(\mathcal{P})$, the set of integers invariant under \mathcal{P} . Then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{A}_r)$ consists those even permutations N of the form $N = N_1N_2$, where $N_1 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{S}_a)$ and $N_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{r-a}$, a being the order of $\alpha_r(\mathcal{P})$, \mathcal{S}_a the symmetric group on the elements of $\alpha_r(\mathcal{P})$, and \mathcal{S}_{r-a} the symmetric group on the elements of $\beta_r(\mathcal{P})$.

If $a = r-1$ then \mathcal{S}_{r-a} consists the identity element only, and in this case $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{A}_r) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{A}_{r-1}) \leq \mathcal{A}_{r-1}$. This is the condition we wanted.

(4) THEOREM. *If \mathcal{P} is a subgroup of \mathcal{A}_{pn+1} which acts on pn symbols then*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{A}_{pn+1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{A}_{pn})$$

and so

$$W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A}_{pn+1}) \cong W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A}_{pn}).$$

(\mathcal{A}_{pn} is the alternating group on the symbols acted on by \mathcal{P}).

We have considered \mathcal{P} acting on np symbols because of the following result:

(5) LEMMA. *If \mathcal{P} is a permutation group of order p^m , where p is prime, then \mathcal{P} acts on exactly pn symbols for some integer n .*

PROOF. Consider the orbit $\mathcal{P}(i)$ of the symbol i under \mathcal{P} .

$$\mathcal{P}(i) = \{j : P(i) = j \text{ for some } P \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Then, by theorem 3.2 on p. 5 of [9], the order $|\mathcal{P}(i)|$ of $\mathcal{P}(i)$ divides that of \mathcal{P} . From the fact that \mathcal{P} is a p -group, $|\mathcal{P}(i)|$ must be a power of p .

The set of symbols acted upon by \mathcal{P} is, by definition,

$$\{i : |\mathcal{P}(i)| \neq 1\},$$

that is

$$\cup \mathcal{P}(j),$$

the union being taken over all j such that $|\mathcal{P}(j)| \neq 1$. Since any two distinct orbits are disjoint, this set has order

$$\sum |\mathcal{P}(j)|,$$

and every term in the sum is a power of p , not p^0 . Thus p divides the sum, giving the result, except for the trivial case where \mathcal{P} acts on no symbols, in which case $m = 0$ and we can put $n = 0$.

In particular a sylow p -subgroup of \mathcal{A}_r must act on np symbols where n is the largest integer such that $np \leq r$, so a sylow p -subgroup of \mathcal{A}_{pn+1} acts on exactly pn symbols and satisfies the conditions of (4).

We shall consider the case $p = 2$. With $n = 2$ we can apply (4) to \mathcal{A}_4 and \mathcal{A}_5 . Every 2-subgroup of \mathcal{A}_5 except \mathcal{I} acts on $4 (= pn)$ symbols, so if \mathcal{P} is such a group,

$$W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A}_5) \cong W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A}_4).$$

$A(\mathcal{A}_4)$ is semisimple [3, p. 97], so each $W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A}_4)$ is semisimple by (2), and so each $W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A}_5)$ is semisimple. Moreover $W_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{A}_5)$ is semisimple. As any 2-subgroup of \mathcal{A}_5 is of the same type as \mathcal{P} or is \mathcal{I} , we see by (2) that $A(\mathcal{A}_5)$ is semisimple.

If $n = 3$ we have \mathcal{A}_6 and \mathcal{A}_7 . If we write

$$\begin{aligned} U &= (13)(24) & X &= (123) & Z &= (1234)(56) \\ V &= (14)(23) & Y &= (567) & T &= (12)(56) \end{aligned}$$

then the distinct 2-subgroups of \mathcal{A}_7 are \mathcal{I} , \mathcal{L}_2 , \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{V}' , \mathcal{L}_4 and \mathcal{P} , and their \mathcal{A}_7 -conjugates, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2 &= \langle U \rangle \\ \mathcal{V} &= \langle U, V \rangle \\ \mathcal{V}' &= \langle UV, T \rangle \\ \mathcal{L}_4 &= \langle Z \rangle \\ \mathcal{P} &= \langle Z, V \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

These are also the 2-subgroups of \mathcal{A}_6 .

We shall prove that $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}_6)$ and $W_{\mathcal{V}'}(\mathcal{A}_7)$ are semisimple. That $W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P})$ is semisimple when $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}$ has already been noted; that it is so when \mathcal{D} is cyclic has been shown in [8]. From (4) we see that

$$W_{\mathcal{V}'}(\mathcal{A}_6) \cong W_{\mathcal{V}'}(\mathcal{A}_7) \text{ and } W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A}_6) \cong W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A}_7),$$

since both these subgroups act on $6 (= np)$ symbols. Collecting these results and applying (2) we see that $A(\mathcal{A}_7)$ is semisimple if and only if $A(\mathcal{A}_6)$ is.

Even more can be said. There is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{V}; \mathcal{A}_6)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{V}'; \mathcal{A}_6)$ which carries \mathcal{V} onto \mathcal{V}' , and so $W_{\mathcal{V}'}(\mathcal{A}_6) \cong W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}_6)$ and is semisimple. Therefore $A(\mathcal{A}_6)$ and $A(\mathcal{A}_7)$ are semisimple if and only if $W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A}_6)$ is. As $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{A}_6)$ is \mathcal{P} , we can say $A(\mathcal{A}_6)$ and $A(\mathcal{A}_7)$ are semisimple if and only if $W_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P})$ is semisimple.

It remains to show that $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}_6)$ and $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}_7)$ are semisimple. By (3) we need only consider $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}_6)$ and $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}_7)$ where \mathcal{N}_r is $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{V}; \mathcal{A}_r)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_6 &= \langle U, V, X, T \rangle, \\ \mathcal{N}_7 &= \langle U, V, X, T, Y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

3. Decomposition of induced modules

Suppose \mathcal{H} is a normal subgroup of \mathcal{G} , and let \mathcal{L} be an indecomposable $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{H}$ -representation module with \mathcal{G} -stabilizer \mathcal{S} . Then we know [2, p. 162] that $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}}$ decomposes as does a certain twisted group algebra $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{H}$ over \mathcal{F} . To be specific, suppose $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}} \cong \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_m$ and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{I}_1 \oplus \mathcal{I}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{I}_n$, where the \mathcal{L}_i are indecomposable submodules and the \mathcal{I}_i are indecomposable left ideals. Then we can reorder the \mathcal{I}_i so that

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad & m = n \\ & \mathcal{L}_i \cong \mathcal{L}_j \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{I}_i \cong \mathcal{I}_j, \\ & \dim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{L}_i = \dim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{I}_i \cdot \dim_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{L}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore $\mathcal{L}_i^{\mathcal{G}}$ is indecomposable.

(7) THEOREM. If $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{W}$, then

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}} \cong \bigoplus_1^h \mathcal{W}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}$$

is a decomposition into indecomposables, where

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{W} = \bigoplus_1^h \mathcal{W}_i$$

is a decomposition into indecomposable left ideals. ($\mathcal{W}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}$ is defined as the subset of $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}$ consisting of the elements $W \otimes L$, where $W \in \mathcal{W}_i$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$.)

PROOF. It is clear that the sum is direct and equals $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}}$ and that the summands are $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}$ -representation modules. $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{H})$ is $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{H})$ in this case, so by (6) $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}}$ must split into exactly h indecomposable parts. By the Krull-Schmidt theorem these must be the $\mathcal{W}_i \otimes \mathcal{L}$.

Write \mathcal{X} for the alternating group on $\{5, 6, \dots, k\}$. Then (7) applies when $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{X}$ or $\mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{X}$, which are the cases we will need.

4. \mathcal{V} -projective representations of \mathcal{N}_k

The indecomposable \mathcal{V} -projective $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{N}_k$ -representation modules are just the indecomposable parts of the modules $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{N}_k}$ where \mathcal{L} is an $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{V}$ -representation module.

The indecomposable \mathcal{FV} -representation module isomorphism classes are known ([1], [7]). In the notation of Conlon [3] the classes are $A_0, A_n, B_n, C_n(f)$ and D , where n ranges through the positive integers and f through $\mathcal{F} \cup \{\infty\}$. Typical representations are given on pp. 86–7 of [3]; we shall denote this representative of A_n by \mathcal{A}_n , and similarly for the others. The stabilizers are given in (8):

Module	Generators of Stabilizer	
	in \mathcal{N}_6	in \mathcal{N}_7
$\mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{B}_n, \mathcal{D}$	U, V, X, T	U, V, X, T, Y
$\mathcal{C}_n(0)$	U, V, TX	U, V, TX, Y
$\mathcal{C}_n(1)$	U, V, T	U, V, T, Y
$\mathcal{C}_n(\infty)$	U, V, TX^2	U, V, TX^2, Y
$\mathcal{C}_n(\omega), \mathcal{C}_n(\omega^2)$	U, V, X	U, V, X, Y
$\mathcal{C}_n(f)$	U, V	U, V, Y

where ω is a primitive cube root of unity in \mathcal{F} and f ranges over all values not already listed. The stabilizer in \mathcal{N}_k may be derived from that in \mathcal{N}_6 as noted in Section 3.

The representations of \mathcal{A}_4 are also known [3]; their classes are $\bar{A}_0^a, \bar{A}_n^a, \bar{B}_n^a, \bar{C}_n^a(g), \bar{C}_n^*(f), \bar{D}^a$, where n ranges through the positive integers, a through the integers modulo 3, g through $\{\omega, \omega^2\}$ and f through a set of representatives of the equivalence classes of \mathcal{F} under the relation

$$f \sim 1 + \frac{1}{f} \sim \frac{1}{1+f}, \quad \text{except } \omega \text{ and } \omega^2.$$

If we write $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_n^a$ for a representative module of the class \bar{A}_n^a , and so on, then $\bar{\mathcal{L}}^a$ may be taken as an extension of \mathcal{L} ; $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_n^*(f)$ may be taken as $(\mathcal{C}_n(f))^{a4}$. We follow Conlon's convention that $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_0^a$ yields the representation

$$U \rightarrow 1, V \rightarrow 1, X \rightarrow \omega^a$$

and

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_0^a \otimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}^b \cong \bar{\mathcal{L}}^{a+b}.$$

Then if $\bar{\mathcal{L}}^0$ gives the representation $X \rightarrow \lambda(X)$, $\bar{\mathcal{L}}^a$ gives $X \rightarrow \omega^a \lambda(X)$. Suitable matrices $\lambda(X)$ are known [3] for all cases except $\mathcal{C}_n(\omega)$ and $\mathcal{C}_n(\omega^2)$; and the author has found that a suitable matrix to extend $\mathcal{C}_n(\omega)$ is $M_1 \oplus M_2$, where

$$M_1 \text{ has } (i, j) \text{ element } \omega^{i+j+1} \begin{pmatrix} i-2 \\ j-2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_2 \text{ has } (i, j) \text{ element } \omega^{i+j+2} \begin{pmatrix} i-1 \\ j-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

⁴ $1 \sim 0 \sim \infty$

the binomial coefficients being evaluated over characteristic 2 and \oplus being direct sum of matrices. We take $\mathcal{C}_0^n(\omega)$ to be the extension with

$$\lambda(X) = \omega^{2n+2} (M_1 \oplus M_2)$$

and otherwise follow Conlon's choice for the particular extension of \mathcal{L} which will be labelled $\overline{\mathcal{L}}^0$.

If \mathcal{L} is an \mathcal{FV} -representation module with stabilizer \mathcal{S} in \mathcal{N}_k , and \mathcal{X} is as before, the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if } X \in \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{A}_4 \trianglelefteq \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{X} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{S}; \\ &\text{if } X \notin \mathcal{S}, \quad \mathcal{V} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{X} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{S}; \end{aligned}$$

in both series equality holds in the last place if and only if $T \notin \mathcal{S}$.

Suppose $\mathcal{FX} \cong \oplus \mathcal{X}_i$ is a decomposition into indecomposable left ideals. Then, from (7), $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{X}} \cong \oplus \mathcal{X}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{FV}} \mathcal{L}$ is a decomposition into indecomposables. If $X \in \mathcal{S}$ then in every case

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}_4} \cong \overline{\mathcal{L}}^0 \oplus \overline{\mathcal{L}}^1 \oplus \overline{\mathcal{L}}^2,$$

so

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{X}} \cong \bigoplus_{a=0}^2 (\overline{\mathcal{L}}^a)^{\mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{X}},$$

so using (7),

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{X}} \cong \bigoplus_{a=0}^2 \bigoplus_i \mathcal{X}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{A}_4} \overline{\mathcal{L}}^a.$$

Again the direct summands are indecomposable.

Let \mathcal{M} be one of the direct summands in this last decomposition. $\mathcal{S}/(\mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{X})$ has order 1 or 2, and so its group algebra over \mathcal{F} is indecomposable. So $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{S}}$ is indecomposable. A similar result holds if $X \notin \mathcal{S}$. We have the following decompositions into indecomposables:

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{S}} \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_i (\mathcal{X}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{FV}} \mathcal{L}) & \text{if } X \notin \mathcal{S} \\ \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{a=0}^2 (\mathcal{X}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{A}_4} \overline{\mathcal{L}}^a)^{\mathcal{S}} & \text{if } X \in \mathcal{S} \end{cases}$$

The calculation of the isomorphism-classes of \mathcal{V} -projective \mathcal{FN}_k -representation modules is now an easy task, using the last part of (6).

For \mathcal{N}_7 we find that the classes are $A_0^{a,b}, A_n^{a,b}, B_n^{a,b}, C_n^{a,b}(\omega), C_n^{*,b}(f)$ and $D^{a,b}$, where a and b range through the integers modulo 3, n through the positive integers and f through the elements of $\mathcal{F} \cup \{\infty\}$ other than ω and ω^2 . The following identities hold:

$$A_0^{a,b} = A_0^{2a,2b}, \quad A_n^{a,b} = A_n^{2a,2b}, \quad B_n^{a,b} = B_n^{2a,2b}, \quad D^{a,b} = D^{2a,2b}$$

$$C_n^{*,b}(f) = C_n^{*,b}(g) = C_n^{*,2b}(h) \text{ when } g = 1 + \frac{1}{f} \text{ or } \frac{1}{1+f},$$

$$h = \frac{1}{f} \text{ or } \frac{f}{1+f} \text{ or } 1+f \text{ }^5$$

The classes for \mathcal{N}_6 are just those for \mathcal{N}_7 with all reference to b dropped (we write, for example, $A_0^a, C_n^*(f)$) and with $L^a = L^d$ whenever $L^{a,0} = L^{d,0}$. We use the convention that

$$(L^{a,b})_{\mathcal{N}_6} = L^a, \quad (L^a)_{\mathcal{A}_4} = L^a + L^{2a}.$$

The representation matrices for typical members of the \mathcal{FN}_7 -classes are shown in (10) in terms of the corresponding \mathcal{FA}_4 representation with superscript 0. These corresponding representation matrices are denoted by λ . The table also shows the \mathcal{FV} -class from which each \mathcal{FN}_7 -class is obtained and the dimension of square block-matrices involved. The \mathcal{FN}_6 -representations are found by deleting the matrix for Y .

(10)

\mathcal{FN}_7 -class	$A_n^{a,b}, B_n^{a,b}$	$C_n^{a,b}(\omega)$	$C_n^{*,b}(f)$
Corresponding \mathcal{FV} -class	A_n, B_n	$C_n(\omega)$	$C_n(f)$
Block size	$2n+1$	$2n$	$6n$
Matrix for U	$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda(U) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda(V) \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda(U) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda(V) \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda(U) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda(V) \end{bmatrix}$
V	$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda(V) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda(U) \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda(V) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda(U) \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda(V) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda(U) \end{bmatrix}$
X	$\begin{bmatrix} \omega^a \lambda(X) & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{2a} \lambda(X)^2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \omega^a \lambda(X) & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{2a} \lambda(X)^2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda(X) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda(X)^2 \end{bmatrix}$
T	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
Y	$\begin{bmatrix} \omega^b I & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{2b} I \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \omega^b I & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{2b} I \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \omega^b I & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{2b} I \end{bmatrix}$

⁵ In particular $C_n^{*,b}(1) = C_n^{*,2b}(1) = C_n^{*,b}(0) = C_n^{*,b}(\infty)$

5. Multiplication of module classes

The multiplication of module classes is defined in the usual way: If L is $\{\mathcal{L}\}$ and M is $\{\mathcal{M}\}$, then LM is $\{\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}\}$.

We shall consider the multiplication of \mathcal{FN}_6 -classes modulo $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G})$. The effect is that of putting $D^a = 0$, since $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G})$ is a direct summand of $A_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{G})$ for any \mathcal{G} and any $\mathcal{H} \leq \mathcal{G}$,⁶ so there is no confusion in writing L for $L + W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{N}_6)$.

The multiplications for \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{A}_4 are given in propositions 2–4 of [1]⁷ and equations (6), (7), (9) and (11)–(16) of [3]. However we can be more specific about $\bar{C}_n^a(\omega)$. The convention of Section 4 yields upon a direct calculation the following result when $n \geq m \geq 1$:

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{C}_m^0(\omega) \bar{C}_n^0(\omega) &= 2\bar{C}_m^0(\omega) && \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ &= \bar{C}_m^1(\omega) + \bar{C}_m^2(\omega) && \text{if } n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

(This replaces equations (13) and (14) of [3].)

From these results, the distributive law and the law

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{N}_6} \otimes \mathcal{M} \cong (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_4})^{\mathcal{N}_6},$$

where \mathcal{L} is an \mathcal{FA}_4 -representation module and \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{FN}_6 -representation module, we can calculate the products of \mathcal{FN}_6 -classes. We introduce two points of notation: we write $\bar{L}^{\mathcal{N}_6}$ for the class $\{\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{N}_6}\}$; and we write $f \approx g$ whenever $f \sim g$ or $f \sim 1/g$, that is whenever f and g are members of the same set of cross-ratios. Example calculations are

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^a A_m^b &= [(\bar{A}_n^a + \bar{A}_n^{2a}) \bar{A}_m^b]^{\mathcal{N}_6} \\ &= (\bar{A}_{n+m}^{a+b} + \bar{A}_{n+m}^{2a+b})^{\mathcal{N}_6} \\ &= A_{n+m}^{a+b} + A_{n+m}^{2a+b} \end{aligned}$$

and, if $m \leq n$ and $f \not\approx 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^*(f) C_m^*(g) &= [(\bar{C}_n^*(f) + \bar{C}_n^*(1/f)) \bar{C}_m^*(g)]^{\mathcal{N}_6} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2C_m^*(f) & \text{if } f \approx g, \\ 0 & \text{if } f \not\approx g, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

except

$$C_1^*(f) C_1^*(f) = C_2^*(f);$$

moreover

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^*(1) C_m^*(1) &= (2\bar{C}_n^*(1) \bar{C}_m^*(1))^{\mathcal{N}_6} \\ &= 4C_m^*(1). \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations yield the following multiplication table:

⁶ This follows from Corollary 5 of [3].

⁷ There is an error in Bašev's work — see p. 88 of [3].

(12)

$m \leq n$	A_m^b	B_m^b	$C_m^b(\omega)$	$C_m^*(g), g \not\approx \omega$
A_n^a	$A_{n+m}^{a+b} + A_{n+m}^{2a+b}$	$A_{n-m}^{a+b} + A_{n-m}^{2a+b}$	$C_m^{a+b+n}(\omega) + C_m^{2a+b+n}(\omega)$	$2C_m^*(g)$
B_n^a	$B_{n-m}^{a+b} + B_{n-m}^{2a+b}$	$B_{n+m}^{a+b} + B_{n+m}^{2a+b}$	$C_m^{a+b+2n}(\omega) + C_m^{2a+b+2n}(\omega)$	$2C_m^*(g)$
$C_n^a(\omega)$	$C_n^{a+b+m}(\omega)$ $+ C_n^{a+2b+m}(\omega)$	$C_n^{a+b+2m}(\omega)$ $+ C_n^{a+2b+2m}(\omega)$	$C_2^{a+b}(\omega)$ if $m = n = 1$ $2C_m^{a+b}(\omega)$ if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ $C_m^{a+b+1}(\omega) + C_m^{a+b+2}(\omega)$ if $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ when $n > 1$	
$C_n^*(f)$	$2C_n^*(f)$	$2C_n^*(f)$	0	0 if $f \not\approx g$
$f \not\approx \omega$				$4C_m^*(1)$ if $f \approx g \approx 1$ $2C_m^*(f)$ if $n \neq 1$ $C_2^*(f)$ if $m = n = 1$ when $f \approx g \not\approx 1$

We see from (12) that $\frac{1}{2}A_0^0$ is an identity element for the algebra $\mathcal{R} = A_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}_6)/W_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}_6)$, and admits of the orthogonal idempotent decomposition $\frac{1}{2}A_0^0 = J_0 + J_1$, where

$$J_0 = \frac{1}{6}A_0^0 + \frac{1}{3}A_0^1,$$

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{3}A_0^0 - \frac{1}{3}A_0^1.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}J_0 \oplus \mathcal{R}J_1.$$

Write $A_{n\alpha} = A_n^0 J_{\alpha}$, $B_{n\alpha} = B_n^0 J_{\alpha}$, $C_{n0} = C_n^0(\omega)J_0$, $C_{n1}^a = C_n^a(\omega)J_1$. Then the set of these elements (where α is 0 or 1 and a is any integer modulo 3), J_0 , J_1 and the distinct $C_n^*(f)$ together generate \mathcal{R} , since

$$A_n^0 = A_{n0} + A_{n1}$$

$$B_n^0 = B_{n0} + B_{n1}$$

$$A_n^1 = \frac{3}{4}A_{n0} - \frac{3}{8}A_{n1}$$

$$B_n^1 = \frac{3}{4}B_{n0} - \frac{3}{8}B_{n1}$$

$$C_n^a(\omega) = C_{n0} + C_{n1}^a.$$

Moreover the identity $C_{n1}^0 + C_{n1}^1 + C_{n1}^2 = 0$ holds, and so we can drop C_{n1}^0 from the list of generators.

Writing $X_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}A_{1\alpha}$ we see that $A_{n\alpha} = 2(X_{\alpha})^n$ and $B_{n\alpha} = 2(X_{\alpha})^{-n}$,

so the set generated by all the $A_{n\alpha}$ and $B_{n\alpha}$ for a given α is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}[X_\alpha, 1/X_\alpha]$. If we write \mathcal{A}_0 for the algebra generated by all the $C_n^*(f)$ and C_{n0} , and \mathcal{A}_1 for that generated by the C_{n1}^a , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}J_0 &\cong \mathcal{C}[X_0, 1/X_0] + \mathcal{A}_0, \\ \mathcal{R}J_1 &\cong \mathcal{C}[X_1, 1/X_1] + \mathcal{A}_1, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{A}_α is an ideal of $\mathcal{R}J_\alpha$.

We next set up orthogonal idempotents which generate \mathcal{A}_0 and \mathcal{A}_1 . For \mathcal{A}_0 we use

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(1) &= \frac{1}{4}C_1^*(1), \\ I_n(1) &= \frac{1}{4}(C_n^*(1) - C_{n-1}^*(1)) \quad \text{if } n > 1, \\ I_n(\omega) &= \frac{1}{4}(C_{20} + (-1)^n \sqrt{2}C_{10}) \quad \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or } 2, \\ I_n(\omega) &= \frac{1}{2}(C_{n0} - C_{n-1,0}) \quad \text{if } n > 2, \\ I_n(f) &= \frac{1}{4}(C_2^*(f) + (-1)^n C_1^*(f)) \quad \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or } 2, f \neq 1, \\ I_n(f) &= \frac{1}{2}(C_n^*(f) - C_{n-1}^*(f)) \quad \text{if } n > 2, f \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

In each case, $\mathcal{R}I_n(f) \cong \mathcal{C}I_n(f)$.

To consider \mathcal{A}_1 we set

$$\begin{aligned} K_n^a &= \frac{1}{4}(C_{21}^a + (-1)^n \sqrt{2}C_{11}^a) \quad \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or } 2, \\ K_n^a &= h_n C_{n1}^a - h_{n-1} C_{n-1,1}^a \quad \text{if } n > 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_n = \frac{1}{2}$ if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $h_n = -1$ otherwise.

The K_n^0 are orthogonal and $\mathcal{R}K_n^0 \cong \mathcal{C}[K_n^0, K_n^1, K_n^2]$. Since $K_n^a K_n^b = K_n^{a+b}$ and $K_n^0 + K_n^1 + K_n^2 = 0$ we see that if we put

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n0} &= \frac{1}{3}(K_n^0 + uK_n^1 + u^2K_n^2), \\ L_{n1} &= \frac{1}{3}(K_n^0 + u^2K_n^1 + uK_n^2), \end{aligned}$$

where u is a primitive cube root of unity in \mathcal{C} , then

$$\mathcal{R}K_n^0 = \mathcal{R}L_{n0} \oplus \mathcal{R}L_{n1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}L_{n\alpha} \cong \mathcal{C}L_{n\alpha}.$$

From these we can find the structure of \mathcal{R} . $A_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}_6) \cong \mathcal{R} \oplus W_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}_6)$, and $W_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}_6) \cong \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C}$, so $A_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}_6)$ has the following form to within isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad A_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}_6) &\cong \{\mathcal{C}[X_0, 1/X_0] + \oplus_1 \mathcal{C}I_n(f)\} \\ &\quad \oplus \{\mathcal{C}[X_1, 1/X_1] + \oplus_2 \mathcal{C}L_{n\alpha}\} \\ &\quad \oplus \{\mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C}\} \end{aligned}$$

where \oplus_1 ranges over all positive integers n and all $f \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{\infty\}$ modulo the relation \approx , and \oplus_2 ranges over the positive integers n and $\alpha = 0, 1$. The $I_n(f)$ and the $L_{n\alpha}$ are sets of orthogonal idempotents, and

$$X_0 I_n(f) = I_n(f),$$

$$X_1 L_{na} = u^{2a+2} L_{na}.$$

We work similarly in the \mathcal{N}_7 case. The \mathcal{V} -projective $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{N}_7$ -representation module classes are considered modulo $W_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}_7)$, and find the following multiplication table for $\mathcal{S} = A_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}_7)/W_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}_7)$:

(14)

$m \leq n$	$A_m^{b,d}$	$B_m^{b,d}$	$C_m^{b,d}(\omega)$	$C_m^{*,d}(g), g \not\approx \omega$
$A_n^{a,c}$	$A_{m+n}^{a+b,c+d}$ $+ A_{m+n}^{a+2b,c+2d}$	$A_{n-m}^{a+b,c+d}$ $+ A_{n-m}^{a+2b,c+2d}$	$C_m^{n+a+b,c+d}(\omega)$ $+ C_m^{n+2a+b,2c+d}(\omega)$	$C_m^{*,c+d}(g) + C_m^{*,2c+d}(g)$
$B_n^{a,c}$	$B_{n-m}^{a+b,c+d}$ $+ B_{n-m}^{a+2b,c+2d}$	$B_{n+m}^{a+b,c+d}$ $+ B_{n+m}^{a+2b,c+2d}$	$C_m^{2n+a+b,c+d}(\omega)$ $+ C_m^{2n+2a+b,2c+d}(\omega)$	$C_m^{*,c+d}(g) + C_m^{*,2c+d}(g)$
$C_n^{a,c}(\omega)$	$C_n^{m+a+b,c+d}(\omega)$ $+ C_n^{m+a+2b,c+2d}(\omega)$	$C_n^{2m+a+b,c+d}(\omega)$ $+ C_n^{2m+a+2b,c+2d}(\omega)$	if $n = m = 1,$ $C_2^{a+b,c+d}(\omega)$ if $n \neq 1, n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ $2C_m^{a+b,c+d}(\omega)$ if $n \neq 1, n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ $C_m^{a+b+1,c+d}(\omega)$ $+ C_m^{a+b+2,c+d}(\omega)$	0
$C_n^{*,c}(f)$ $f \not\approx \omega$	$C_n^{*,c+d}(f) + C_n^{*,c+2d}(f)$	$C_n^{*,c+d}(f) + C_n^{*,c+2d}(f)$	0	0 if $f \not\approx g$ $2(C_m^{*,c+d}(1) + C_m^{*,2c+d}(1))$ if $f \approx g \approx 1$ $C_2^{c+d}(f)$ if $f \approx g \not\approx 1,$ $m = n = 1$ $2C_m^{c+d}(f)$ if $f \approx g \not\approx 1,$ $n \neq 1$

Write $S = A_0^{0,1} + A_0^{1,0} + A_0^{1,2}$. The identity element $\frac{1}{2}A_0^{0,0}$ of \mathcal{S} admits of an orthogonal idempotent decomposition:

$$\frac{1}{2}A_0^{0,0} = J_0 + J_{10} + J_{01} + J_{11} + J_{12},$$

$$J_0 = \frac{1}{18}(A_0^{0,0} + 2S)$$

$$J_{ab} = \frac{1}{9}(A_0^{0,0} + 3A_0^{a,b} - S)$$

We proceed much as before. It is clear that \mathcal{S} is generated by the J_x and the elements

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{nx} &= A_n^{0,0} J_x & B_{nx} &= A_n^{0,0} J_x \\
 C_{n,0}(f) &= C_n^{*,0}(f) J_0 & C_{n,10}^a(f) &= C_n^{*,a}(f) J_{10} \\
 C_{n,0}(\omega) &= C_n^{0,0}(\omega) J_0 & C_{n,10}^a(\omega) &= C_n^{0,a}(\omega) J_{10} \\
 C_{n,x}^a(\omega) &= C_n^{a,0}(\omega) J_x \quad (x \neq 0, 10),
 \end{aligned}$$

where a ranges through the integers modulo 3, x through $\{0, 01, 10, 11, 12\}$ and f through the non-equivalent members of \mathcal{F} other than ω under the relation \approx .

Putting $Y_x = \frac{1}{2}A_{1x}$ we obtain as before $A_{n,x} = 2(Y_x)^n, B_{n,x} = 2(Y_x)^{-n}$, and

$$\mathcal{S}J_x \cong \mathcal{C}[Y_x, 1/Y_x] + \mathcal{B}_x,$$

where \mathcal{B}_x is an ideal. We then write

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_n(f) &= \frac{1}{4}(C_{2,0}(f) + (-1)^n \sqrt{2}C_{1,0}(f)) & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or } 2, \\
 I_n(f) &= \frac{1}{2}(C_{n,0}(f) - C_{n-1,0}(f)) & \text{if } n > 2, \\
 K_{n,10}^a(f) &= \frac{1}{4}(C_{2,10}^a(f) + (-1)^n \sqrt{2}C_{1,10}(f)) & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ and } f \not\approx 1, \\
 K_{n,10}^a(f) &= \frac{1}{2}(C_{n,10}^a(f) - C_{n-1,10}^a(f)) & \text{if } n > 2 \text{ and } f \not\approx 1, \\
 K_{1,10}^0(1) &= \frac{1}{4}C_{1,10}^0(1) \\
 K_{n,10}^0(1) &= \frac{1}{4}(C_{n,10}(1) - C_{n-1,10}(1)) & \text{if } n \neq 1, \\
 K_{n,x}^a(\omega) &= \frac{1}{4}(C_{2,x}^a(\omega) + (-1)^n \sqrt{2}C_{1,x}^a(\omega)) & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or } 2 \\
 K_{n,x}^a(\omega) &= h_n C_{n,x}^a(\omega) - h_{n-1} C_{n-1,x}^a(\omega) & \text{if } n > 2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $x = 01, 11$ or 12 and h_n is defined as before. It is found that $\{I_n(f)\}, \{K_{n,10}^0(f)\}$ and $\{K_{n,x}^a(\omega)\}$ are sets of orthogonal idempotents generating $\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_{10}$ and \mathcal{B}_x . $\mathcal{S}I_n(f) \cong \mathcal{C}I_n(f)$ and $\mathcal{S}K_{n,10}^0(1) \cong \mathcal{C}K_{n,10}^0(1)$; in the other cases we find

$$\mathcal{S}K_{n,x}^a(f) \cong \mathcal{C}[K_{n,x}^0(f), K_{n,x}^1(f), K_{n,x}^2(f)]$$

so we put

$$L_{n,\alpha,x}(f) = K_{n,x}^0(f) + u^{x+1}K_{n,x}^1(f) + u^{2x+2}K_{n,x}^2(f).$$

For convenience write $L_{n,0,10}(1) = K_{n,10}^0(1)$.

Proceeding as before we find $A_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}_7)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}_7) &\cong \{\mathcal{C}[Y_0, 1/Y_0] + \oplus_1 \mathcal{C}I_n(f)\} \\
 (15) \quad &\oplus \{\mathcal{C}[Y_{10}, 1/Y_{10}] + \oplus_2 \mathcal{C}L_{n,\alpha,10}(f)\} \\
 &\oplus \oplus_3 \{\mathcal{C}[Y_x, 1/Y_x] + \oplus_4 L_{n,\alpha,x}(\omega)\} \\
 &\oplus \{\mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

where \oplus_1 is over all elements f of \mathcal{F} , modulo \approx , and all positive integers n ,

\oplus_2 is as \oplus_1 , and also over $\alpha = 0, 1$, except for the case $f = 1, \alpha = 1$,

\oplus_3 is over $x = 01, 10, 12$,

\oplus_4 is over all $n \geq 1$ and $\alpha = 0, 1$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_0 I_n(f) &= I_n(f) \\
 Y_{10} L_{n,\alpha,10}(f) &= L_{n,\alpha,10}(f) \\
 Y_x L_{n,\alpha,x}(\omega) &= u^{2\alpha+2} L_{n,\alpha,x}(\omega).
 \end{aligned}$$

The classes of \mathcal{X}_2 -projective \mathcal{V} -modules are D and $C_1(1)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{\mathcal{X}_2}(\mathcal{N}_6) &\cong \mathcal{C}I_1(1) \oplus \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C} \\
 A_{\mathcal{X}_2}(\mathcal{N}_7) &\cong \mathcal{C}I_{1,0}(1) \oplus \mathcal{C}L_{1,0,10}(1) \oplus \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}_6) \cong \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{C}I_1(1)$. $I_1(1) \in \mathcal{R}J_0$, so we need only consider this factor. It can be split into two components, one of which is $\mathcal{C}I_1(1)$, by the idempotent decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_0 &= I_1(1) + (J_0 - I_1(1)) \\
 &= I_1(1) + \mathcal{J}_0, \text{ say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

If we write $\bar{X}_0 = X_0 \mathcal{J}_0$, then the decomposition of $\mathcal{R}\bar{J}_0$ is just that of $\mathcal{R}J_0$ with X_0 replaced by \bar{X}_0 , and with the case $f = 1, n = 1$, dropped from the summation. Notice that $\bar{X}_0 I_n(f) = X_0 I_n(f)$ except when $n = 1$ and $f = 1$. The same considerations apply to the \mathcal{N}_7 case. Therefore when $k = 6$ or 7 the form of $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}_k)$ is just that given in (13) or (15), provided that the final term consisting of copies of \mathcal{C} is omitted and that the case $n = 1, f = 1$, is dropped from all direct sums where it occurs.

6. Semisimplicity

It is now easy to see that $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}_6)$ and $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}_7)$ are semisimple. If \mathcal{A}_1 is any algebra of the form

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{C}[X, 1/X] + \mathcal{B}$$

where \mathcal{B} is an ideal of the form $\bigoplus \mathcal{C}I_r$, with r ranging through some indexing set, then \mathcal{A}_1 is semisimple.⁸ It is clear that if $\mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 \oplus \dots$ is a finite sum of semisimple algebras then it is semisimple. But both $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}_6)$ and $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}_7)$ are of this form, where each \mathcal{A}_i has the form of \mathcal{A}_1 in (16). Therefore we have the following result.

(17) THEOREM. $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}_6)$ and $W_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}_7)$ are semisimple.

References

- [1] V. A. Bašev, 'Representations of the group $Z_2 \times Z_2$ into a field of characteristic 2', (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 141 (1961), 1015–1018.
- [2] S. B. Conlon, 'Twisted group algebras and their representations', *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 4 (1964), 152–173.

⁸ The proof is an easy generalization of the proof of the Theorem on p. 90 of [3].

- [3] S. B. Conlon, 'Certain representation algebras', *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **5** (1965), 83—99.
- [4] S. B. Conlon, 'The modular representation algebra of groups with Sylow 2-subgroup $Z_2 \times Z_2$ ', *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **6** (1966), 76—88.
- [5] S. B. Conlon, 'Structure in representation algebras', *J. of Algebra* **5** (1967), 274—279.
- [6] J. A. Green, 'A transfer theorem for modular representations', *J. of Algebra* **1** (1964), 73—84.
- [7] A. Heller and I. Reiner, 'Indecomposable representations', *Illinois J. Math.* **5** (1961), 314—323.
- [8] M. F. O'Reilly, 'On the semisimplicity of the modular representation algebra of a finite group', *Illinois J. Math.* **9** (1965), 261—276.
- [9] H. Wielandt, *Finite permutation groups* (Academic Press, 1964).

La Trobe University
Melbourne