J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 34 (1983), 394-398

# **ON SEPARABLE NONCYCLIC EXTENSIONS OF RINGS**

### **GEORGE SZETO and YUEN-FAT WONG**

(Received 17 January 1982; revised 23 July 1982)

Communicated by R. Lidl

### Abstract

The separable cyclic extension of rings is generalized to a separable noncyclic extension of rings: a crossed product with a factor set over a ring (not necessarily commutative). A representation of separable idempotents for a separable crossed product is obtained, and simplifications for some special factor sets are also given.

1980 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): 16 A 16.

## 1. Introduction

Let R be a ring with 1 (not necessarily commutative),  $\rho$  an automorphism of order n of R for some integer n. The separability of the cyclic extension  $R[i, \rho]$ , has been intensively investigated (Parimula and Sridharan (1977), Nagahara and Kishimoto (1978), Szeto (1980), Szeto and Wong (1982)), where  $ri = i(r)\rho$  for each r in R,  $\{1, i, i^2, ..., i^{n-1}\}$  is a free basis of  $R[i, \rho]$  over R,  $i^n = b$  which is a unit in the center C of R and  $(b)\rho = b$ . The purpose of the present paper is to continue the above investigation to a noncyclic extension: a crossed product  $\Delta(R, G)$ , where G is a finite automorphism group (not necessarily cyclic) with factor set f:  $G \times G \rightarrow U(C)$ , the set of units of the center C of R. Our study includes cyclic extensions, crossed products over a commutative ring (DeMeyer and Ingraham (1971), Chapter 3), and crossed products with trivial factor set (Kanzaki (1964), Section 3).

<sup>©</sup> Copyright Australian Mathematical Society 1983

### 2. Preliminaries

Let R be a ring with 1, C the center of R, G (=  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n: g_1 = the$ identity of G for some integer n}) an automorphism group of R, and  $R^G = \{r \text{ in }$ R such that  $(r)g_i = r$  for each  $g_i$  in G}. A crossed product  $\Delta(R, G)$  with factor set f:  $G \times G \rightarrow U(C)$ , the set of units of C, is a free ring with a basis  $\{U_i\}$ : i = 1, ..., n over R such that  $rU_i = U_i((r)g_i)$  for each r in R, and  $U_iU_i =$  $U_k f(g_i, g_i)$ , where  $g_i g_i = g_k$  and  $f(g_i g_i, g_m)(f(g_i, g_i))g_m = f(g_i, g_i g_m)f(g_i, g_m)$ for all  $g_i, g_j, g_m$  in G. We note that  $\Delta(R, G)$  is associative if and only if the above equation holds. Let S be a subring with 1 of R. Then R is called a separable extension of S if there exist elements  $\{a_i, b_i \text{ in } R \text{ such that } i = 1, \dots, m \text{ for some } m \}$ integer m}, such that  $t(\sum a_i \otimes b_i) = (\sum a_i \otimes b_i)t$  for each t in R and  $\sum a_i b_i = 1$ where  $\otimes$  is over S (Szeto and Wong (1982)). Such an element  $\sum a_i \otimes b_i$  is called a separable idempotent for R over S. A ring R with 1 and with a finite automorphism group G is called a Galois extension over  $R^G$  if there exist elements  $\{a_i, b_i\}$  in R: i = 1, ..., m for some integer m} such that  $\sum a_i b_i = 1$  and  $\sum a_i((b_i)g_i) = 0$ whenever  $g_i \neq g_1$  (DeMeyer (1965), (1966)). Since  $(C)g_i = C$  for each *i*, G induces an automorphism group of C. The Kanzaki hypothesis (Kanzaki (1964), page 110) on R means that R is an Azumaya C-algebra (central separable) and C is Galois over  $C^G$  with Galois group induced by and isomorphic with G. Throughout, we assume that R is a ring with 1 and G an automorphism group of *R*.

### 3. Separability of crossed products

Under the Kanzaki hypothesis on R, we shall show a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\Delta(R, G)$  being a separable extension over R. It is easy to see that  $\Delta(R, G)$  has an identity  $U_1 a^{-1}$  so that R is embedded in  $\Delta(R, G)$ , where  $a = f(g_1, g_1)$ . We begin with a representation of a separable idempotent for a separable crossed product  $\Delta(R, G)$  over R.

THEOREM 1. Under the Kanzaki hypothesis on R, the element  $x (= \sum U_i \otimes U_j b_{ij};$ i, j = 1, ..., n and  $b_{ij}$  are in R) is a separable idempotent for  $\Delta(R, G)$  if and only if (1)  $b_{ii} = 0$  whenever  $g_i \neq g_i^{-1}$ , and  $b_{ij}$  are in C,

(2)  $b_{11'} = ((f(g_k, g_1))^{-1}g_1^{-1})f(g_i^{-1}, g_k)(b_{ii'}g_k)$ , where  $g_{1'} = g_1^{-1}$ ,  $g_{i'} = g_i^{-1}$ , and  $g_i = g_k g_1$ , and

(3)  $a \cdot \sum_{1} f(g_1, g_1^{-1})((f(g_k, g_1))^{-1}g_1^{-1})f(g_i^{-1}, g_k)(b_{ii'}g_k) = 1$ , where  $a = f(g_1, g_1)$  and  $g_i = g_k g_1$ .

PROOF. Let x be a separable idempotent for  $\Delta(R, G)$  over R. Since bx = xb for each b in R,  $\sum_{i,j} b(U_i \otimes b_j)b_{ij} = \sum_{i,j} (U_i \otimes U_j)b_{ij}b$ . Hence  $\sum_{i,j} (U_i \otimes U_j)(bg_ig_j)b_{ij}$  $= \sum_{i,j} (U_i \otimes U_j)b_{ij}b$ . In particular, taking b in C, we have that  $(bg_ig_j)b_{ij} = b_{ij}b$ , so  $b_{ij}(b - (bg_ig_j)) = 0$ . Hence  $b_{ij}$  is in the annihilator ideal I of the ideal J generated by  $\{b - (bg_ig_j): b \text{ in } C\}$ . By hypothesis, R is Azumaya over C, so  $I = I_0 R$  (DeMeyer and Ingraham (1971), Corollary 3.7, page 54) where  $I_0 = I \cap$ C. Noting that  $I_0$  is the annihilator ideal of J in C, we have that  $I_0 = \{0\}$ (DeMeyer and Ingraham (1971), Proposition 1.2, page 81) because C is Galois over  $C^G$  with Galois group induced by and isomorphic with G. This implies that  $b_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $g_j \neq g_i^{-1}$ . Let i' = j in case  $g_j = g_i^{-1}$ . Then  $x = \sum_i (U_i \otimes U_{i'})b_{ii'}$ . Thus we can write  $b_i$  for  $b_{ii'}$  so that  $x = \sum_i (U_i \otimes U_{i'})b_i$ . Again, from the equation bx = xb for each b in R,  $b_i$  are in C. Moreover, for each  $U_k$ ,  $U_k x = xU_k$ , so  $\sum_1 (U_k U_1 \otimes U_{1'})b_1 = \sum_i U_i \otimes U_{i'}U_k(b_ig_k)$ . Let  $g_i^{-1}g_k = g_j$ . Then  $g_i = g_k g_j^{-1}$ . Thus  $U_i U_k = U_j f(g_i^{-1}, g_k)$  and  $U_i = U_k U_{j'} (f(g_k, g_j^{-1}))^{-1}$ . This implies that

$$\sum_{1} (U_{k}U_{1} \otimes U_{1'})b_{1} = \sum_{i} U_{k}U_{j'} (f(g_{k}, g_{j}^{-1}))^{-1} \otimes U_{j}(g_{i}^{-1}, g_{k})(b_{i}g_{k})$$

$$= \sum_{i} (U_{k}U_{j'} \otimes U_{j}) (f(g_{k}, g_{j}^{-1}))^{-1} g_{j} f(g_{i}^{-1}, g_{k}) (b_{i}g_{k})$$

Let  $U_j = U_{1'}$ . Then,  $g_j = g_1^{-1}$ ,  $g_1 = g_j^{-1}$ ,  $U_1 = U_{j'}$  and  $U_{1'} = U_j$ . Hence  $U_k U_1 \otimes U_{1'} = U_k U_{j'} \otimes U_j$ . Thus  $b_1 = (f(g_k, g_1))^{-1} g_1^{-1} f(g_i^{-1}, g_k) (b_i g_k)$  for each 1, where  $g_i = g_k g_1$ . Furthermore, noting that  $\sum_1 U_1 f(g_1, g_{1'}) b_1 = U_1 a^{-1}$ , we have that  $a \cdot \sum_1 f(g_1, g_1^{-1}) (f(g_k, g_1))^{-1} g_1^{-1} f(g_i^{-1}, g_k) (b_i g_k) = 1$ . This proves the necessity. The sufficiency is immediate by reversing the above arguments.

From Theorem 1, the coefficients of x are in C and the factor set  $f: G \times G \rightarrow U(C)$ , so  $\Delta(R, G)$  is separable over R if and only if  $\Delta(C, G)$  is separable over C. Next, we study the separability of  $\Delta(R, G)$  for some types of factor sets f. A factor set f is called *I-symmetric* if  $f(g_i^{-1}, g_j) = f(g_j^{-1}, g_i)$  for all  $g_i, g_j$  in G. (f can be considered as a function on entries of a matrix with row index  $\{1, \ldots, n'\}$  and column index  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  where  $g_{i'} = g_i^{-1}$ .) A factor set f is called a scalar factor set if  $f(g_i', g_i)$  is a constant for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . The following property of f is easy to verify:

LEMMA 2. Let f be a factor set such that  $f(g_1, g_1) = a$ . Then  $(af(g_i, g_{i'}))g_i = af(g_{i'}, g_i)$  for each i.

**THEOREM 3.** Assume that  $f: G \times G \to U(C^G)$  such that f is I-symmetric and scalar. If  $\Delta(R, G)$  is separable over R, then any separable idempotent  $x (= \sum_j (U_j \otimes U_{i'})b_j)$  satisfies

(1)'  $b_j = (b_1)g_j^{-1}$  for some  $b_1$  in C and for each j, and (2)'  $\Sigma_j(b_1)g_j^{-1} = a^{-2}$  where  $a = f(g_1, g_1)$ .

PROOF. Since  $f(G \times G) \subset U(C^G)$ ,  $af(g_j, g_j^{-1}) = af(g_j^{-1}, g_j)$  for each *j* by the lemma. Hence  $f(g_j, g_j^{-1}) = f(g_j^{-1}, g_j) = a$  (for *f* is scalar). Since  $f(g_i^{-1}, g_k)f(g_j^{-1}, g_k^{-1}) = f(g_j^{-1}g_k^{-1}, g_k)f(g_j^{-1}, g_k^{-1}) = f(g_j^{-1}g_k^{-1}g_k)f(g_k^{-1}, g_k) = a^2$ ,  $f(g_i^{-1}, g_k) = a^2(f(g_j^{-1}, g_k^{-1}))^{-1}$ . But *f* is *I*-symmetric, so  $f(g_j^{-1}, g_k^{-1}) = f(g_k, g_j)$ . Then, conditions (2) and (3) in Theorem 1 imply that  $b_j = (f(g_k, g_j))^{-2}a^2(b_ig_k)$  and  $1 = a^4 \sum_j (f(g_k, g_j))^{-2}(b_ig_k)$  where  $g_i = g_k g_j$ . Thus  $1 = a^2 \sum_j b_j$ , and so  $\sum_j b_j = a^{-2}$ . Taking i = 1, we have that  $g_k = g_j^{-1}$ . Hence,  $1 = a^4 a^{-2} \sum_j (b_1 g_j^{-1}) = a^2 \sum_j (b_1 g_j^{-1})$ , so  $\sum_j (b_1 g_j^{-1}) = a^{-2}$ . Also,  $b_j = a^{-2}a^2(b_1 g_j^{-1}) = b_1 g_j^{-1}$ .

Condition (1)' means that each coefficient  $b_j$  of x is determined by  $b_1$ , and condition (2)' implies that the trace of  $b_1$  is  $a^{-2}$ . It can be verified that the converse of Theorem 3 holds for any constant factor set f.

**THEOREM 4.** If  $f: G \times G \rightarrow U(C^G)$  is a constant, then the converse of Theorem 3 holds.

Assume nc = 1 for some c in C. Then the trace of  $ca^{-2}$  is  $a^{-2}$ . Thus  $\Delta(R, G)$  is a separable extension over R by Theorem 4. We conclude the paper with an example to demonstrate our results. Let  $R[i, \rho]$  be a generalized quaternion algebra (Parimula and Sridharan (1977), Szeto (1980)), where  $\{1, i\}$  is a basis for  $R[i, \rho]$  over  $R, \rho$  an automorphism of R of order 2,  $ri = i(r\rho)$  for each r in R and  $i^2 = b$  in  $U(C^{\rho})$ . We define  $f: \langle \rho \rangle \times \langle \rho \rangle \rightarrow U(C)$  by  $f(\rho^0, \rho) = f(\rho, \rho^0) =$  $f(\rho^0, \rho^0) = 1$  and  $f(\rho, \rho) = b$ . Then it is easy to see that f is a factor set for the crossed product  $\Delta(R, \langle \rho \rangle)$  with basis  $U_0 = U_{\rho^0}, U_1 = U_{\rho}$  such that the identity is  $U_0$  and that  $R[i, \rho]$  is isomorphic with  $\Delta(R, \langle \rho \rangle)$  with factor set f under  $\alpha$ :  $R[i, \rho] \rightarrow \Delta(R, \langle \rho \rangle)$  where  $\alpha(x + iy) = U_0 x + U_1 y$  for x and y in R.

#### References

- F. R. DeMeyer (1965), 'Some notes on the general Galois theory of rings,' Osaka J. Math. 2, 117-127.
- F. R. DeMeyer (1966), 'Galois theory in separable algebras over commutative rings,' *Illinois J. Math.* 2, 287–295.

- F. R. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham (1971), Separable algebras over commutative rings (Lecture Notes in Mathematics 181, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York).
- T. Kanzaki (1964), 'On commutor rings and Galois theory of separable algebras,' Osaka J. Math. 1, 103-115.
- T. Nagahara and K. Kishimoto (1978), 'On free cyclic extensions of rings,' Math. J. Okayama Univ., 1-25.
- S. Parimula and R. Sridharan (1977), 'Projective modules over quaternion algebras,' J. Pure Appl. Algebra 9, 181-193.
- G. Szeto (1980), 'A characterization of a cyclic Galois extension of commutative rings,' J. Pure Appl. Algebra 16, 315-322.
- G. Szeto and Y. F. Wong (1982), 'On separable cyclic extensions of rings,' J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 32, 165-170.

Department of Mathematics Bradley University Peoria, Illinois 61625 U.S.A. Department of Mathematics DePaul University Chicago, Illinois 60637 U.S.A.

[5]

398