Tupara, while Plesiosorex soricinoides is a totally different form, whose affinities, as stated by Pomel, are rather with Myogale. I am further led to conclude that Fraas' identification of Erikaceus arvernensis of De Blainville, with Plesiosorex soricinoides is likewise erroneous, and that the fauna is probably identical with E. arvernensis of Gervais.¹

The specimen entered in the Museum Catalogue under the name *Plesiosorex* must therefore be named *Parasorex*, and the former genus referred to the *Talpidæ*. This error will be noticed in the supplement to be published in part v. of the Museum Catalogue; but as the publication of that part will be several months hence, I have thought it advisable to correct the error as soon as possible.

HARPENDEN, Dec. 10, 1886.

R. Lydekker.

ON THE OSBORNE BEDS.

SIR,—I wish to correct a mis-statement of my views respecting the position of the Osborne Beds, which appears in the Report of the International Geological Congress for 1885, and also I find is reproduced in Mr. Jukes-Browne's new Handbook of Historical Geology. My opinion has always been that the Osborne Beds should have been a part of the Bembridge Series, and not a separate member.

Woodwardian Museum, Cambridge. H. KEEPING.

THE COLLINGHAM OR SCARLE BORING.

Sir,—In the recently issued Report of the British Association for 1885, pp. 388, 389, the original inaccurate account of this boring is reproduced, and I am credited with the alternative figures given in a second column.

Permit me to state that I have published no account of the boring, and that the figures alleged to be mine do not coincide with the section preserved among my papers, viz.:—

	Gravel	21 feet.
Upper Permian 380½ Lower Permian	Lias	29
	Rhætic	15
	Keuper Marls	688
	Keuper Sandstone	$205\frac{1}{2}$
	Bunter "Pebble Beds"	319
	Lower Bunter	223
	Marls	$118\frac{1}{2}$
	Limestone	$43\frac{1}{2}$
	Marls	150
	Limestone	$68\frac{1}{2}$
	(Sandstone	20
	/ Marl Slates	118
	(Breccia	1
	Coal Measure Shales	12
		2032

The site is not in the parish of Scarle, Lincolnshire, but in Collingham, Notts.

W. H. Dalton, F.G.S.,
Late H.M. Geological Survey.

¹ See "Cat. Foss. Mamm. Brit. Mus." pt. i. pp. 17, 18.