

Carlos Gussenhoven

Department of Linguistics, Radboud University Nijmegen

c.gussenhoven@let.ru.nl

Zwara Berber is a variety of Nafusi (ISO 639-3; Lewis, Simons & Fennig 2016) which belongs to the eastern Zenati group within northern Berber (where Berber is the scientific term for Tamazight), a branch of Afro-Asiatic. Zwara (Zuwārah, Zuwara, Zuāra, Zuara, Zouara) is a coastal city located at 32.9° N, 12.1° E in Libya. The speakers refer to themselves as /at 'wil.lul/ (also /ajt 'wil.lul/) ‘those of Willul’ and to their specific variety of the language as /t.'wil.lult/ ‘the language of Willul’.¹ Having no official status during the Italian colonization of Libya and the first period after the country’s independence in 1951, repression of the language became severe after the Cultural Revolution of 1973. Its propagation through teaching and the media fell under a constitutional ban on the denial of the Arab identity of the state, and qualified as such as treason, a capital offense. Until the revolution of 2011 (‘17 February’), the language was therefore not spoken in cultural, educational or governmental domains and could not be taught, printed or broadcast. The number of Tamazight speakers in Libya is estimated at 184,000 in Lewis et al. (2016) and at 560,000 by Chakel & Ferkal (2012). In the absence of a municipal register, the number of inhabitants in Zwara is uncertain. A conservative estimate is between 50,000 and 100,000, which is also the number of speakers of the Zwara variety. Other than through exposure by radio and television, children learn Arabic only from age six, when attending school. Speakers have variable L2 Arabic competence depending on exposure to the language.

Until the effective suspension of the national parliament in October 2014, attempts were under way to award an official status to Amazigh language and culture in a new constitution, on a par with Arabic and two Nilo-Saharan languages spoken by the Toubou in the southern border area, together known as Tebu. Teachers are being trained in the use of Tifnagh (the Tamazight alphabet) in preparation for the inclusion of Tamazight in the school curriculum. These aspirations have remained in force after the deterioration of the political climate since early 2015.

Earlier work on Zwara Tamazight dealt with the morphology of pronouns and nouns (Mitchell 1953), which was responded to by Hamp (1959), and verbs (Mitchell 1957a). The latter was superseded by a posthumous publication of conversational texts, earlier inspected and reported on by Galand (2005), together with an extensive treatment of the verbal morphology and a preface by the editors, Harry Stroomer and Stanly Oomen (Mitchell 2009). In addition, there is a list of fish names (Serra 1970) and anthropological work

¹ Willul is a legendary pre-islamic leader who united tribes so as to form the community of Zwara. The word *Mazigh* /ma.ziʕ/ may refer both to the wider Amazigh language or to any Amazigh person.

(e.g. Serra 1971). The only phonetic work is the second section in Mitchell (1957b); it deals with gemination and is referred to below in section ‘Consonants’.

Consonants

There are 31 consonants in Zwara Berber, including nine contrastively pharyngealized consonants and excluding geminates. The Consonant Table corresponds to the list in the editorial preface to Mitchell (2009: x) with the exception of /n^ʕ ɸ^ʕ/, which are not listed there, and /ʔ/, which is.

	Labial	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar	Uvular	Pharyngeal	Glottal
Plosive	b	t t ^ʕ d d ^ʕ		k g	q		
Nasal	m m ^ʕ	n n ^ʕ					
Fricative	f	s s ^ʕ z z ^ʕ	ʃ ʒ		χ ɸ (ɸ ^ʕ)	ħ ʕ	h
Approximant	w	r r ^ʕ	j				
Lateral		l l ^ʕ					

b	'ba.ba	‘father’
t	't.tətt	‘she is eating’
t ^ʕ	't ^ʕ .it ^ʕ .t ^ʕ	‘eye’
d	'dad.da	‘grandfather; black person’
d ^ʕ	'ə.d ^ʕ .ad ^ʕ	‘finger’
k	'ək.ka	‘smell:IMP:SG’
g	'ar ^ʔ .guz ^ʔ	‘heart of palm tree’
q	'as.qaq	‘street’
m	'a.man	‘water’
m ^ʕ	a.'χm ^ʕ .m ^ʕ aʒ	‘rotten date on tree’
n	'a.nu	‘water well’
n ^ʕ	n.'n ^ʕ əqq	‘we are killing’
f	'af.r ^ʕ uχ	‘boy’ ²
s	'ass	‘day’
s ^ʕ	a.'s ^ʕ əħħ	‘superior’
z	a.'zi.zaw	‘green’
z ^ʕ	'i.z ^ʕ i	‘gall bladder’
ʃ	'uʃ.ʃən	‘jackal’
ʒ	ta.'ʒd.ʒit	‘flower’
χ	a.'χa.s ^ʔ əm ^ʔ	‘shouting’
ɸ	'aɸ.jul	‘donkey’
ɸ ^ʕ	'a.ɸ ^ʕ i	‘churned milk’
ħ	l.'ma.ħud	‘time’
ʕ	a.'kaʕ.kus	‘onion’
h	'wufi	‘this’
l	a.'ml.lal	‘white’
l ^ʕ	ab.'l ^ʕ q.qu	‘pebble’
r	'a.ri	‘write:IMP:SG’ ³

² This example and example ‘he is weeping’ appear again in section ‘Syllables’, examples ‘this’, ‘now’ and ‘Germany’ appear again in section ‘Stress’, while example ‘he wants’ occurs twice in section ‘Syllables’. In these cases, the second occurrences were spoken by a different speaker.

³ See a list glossing abbreviations following the transcription section.




Figure 1 Low f0 for /ʕ/ in /i'ʕj.jədʕ/ 'he is weeping' (left panel) and frictionless modal voice during /m/ in /i'mir/ 'open (ADJ)' (right panel). Male speaker AA.

- rʕ z.'wa.rʕa 'Zwara'
- j 'ij.jur 'crescent'
- w 'ə.wətt 'hit:IMP:SG'

Glottal stops do not occur other than as an optional paralinguistic feature closing off utterance-final stressed syllables, as an expression of emphasis (see section 'Intonation'), and predictably before a word-initial vowel after pause, as in /ʔjadʕ.ɛaɛ/ 'stone'. The pharyngealized uvular /ɛʕ/ is probably innovative. Four out of eight consultants used /ɛʕ/ instead of /ɛʕ/ in the word for 'churned milk', an everyday word, i.e. /'a.ɛi/. By default, the forms given below are as for the variety without /ɛʕ/. Finally, /h ʕ/ are arguably marginal segments, since they occur in words that are originally Arabic.

Voicing in obstruents

The plosives /b d dʕ g/ are voiced throughout their closure phase, also finally and initially, while syllable-initial voiceless /t tʕ k q/ have VOTs ranging from +50 ms after /q/ to +10 ms after /t/. The fricatives /z zʕ ʒ ɛʕ ɸ/ are fully voiced, also in final position, while /f s sʕ ʃ ɣ h/ are voiceless in all positions. /ʕ/ is a pharyngeal vocoid. The laryngeal constriction creating 'pharyngealized voice' may lead to low f0, particularly in the onset, as in /'ʕə.li/ 'Ali' and /i.'ʕj.jədʕ/ 'He is weeping' (Esling 2005). Figure 1 shows a pronunciation of the second word in which f0 drops from low pitched [i] as a result of the constriction before rising again in the vocoid beginning the rime. (For the transcription of schwa, see section 'Vowels'.) There is also weak pharyngeal friction during the f0 dip. By comparison, /i.'mir/ 'open (ADJ)' has no such dip during /m/ (panel (b)).

Place and manner

The contacts for /t tʕ d dʕ n nʕ l lʕ/ are denti-alveolar. /s sʕ z zʕ/ have an ungrooved, distributed tongue blade articulation on the alveolar ridge, creating low intensity friction. Alveolar /r rʕ/ are tapped in the onset and briefly trilled in the coda, while geminate occurrences are long trills, as in /rʕ.rʕuzʕ/ 'rice'. The voiced uvular fricative /ɛʕ/ may be a trill, as in /'im.ɛar/ 'growing up'.




Figure 2 Frequency of occurrence of plain (black) and pharyngealized (grey) consonants in a 420-word corpus.

Pharyngealization

Pharyngealized consonants are notated /C^ɣ/, following the IPA recommendation rather than the usual practice in Tamazight studies to indicate pharyngealization by a subscript dot. They have a retracted tongue root, along with a raised hyoid, as reported for /z^ɣ/ in a Moroccan variety by Louali-Raynal (2001). Plain consonants have a more forward tongue body, along with a more raised tongue front, with considerable acoustic effects on adjacent vowel articulations (see section ‘Vowels’). The Zwara variety lacks the contrastively pharyngealized palato-alveolar fricatives of Imdlawn Tashlhyit (Dell & Elmedlaoui 2002: 13; Ridouane 2014) but, unlike Tashlhyit, it does have a pharyngealized bilabial nasal, in addition to the marginal pharyngealized voiced uvular fricative. Strikingly, plain consonants with pharyngealized counterparts are among the more frequent consonants (see Figure 2). With the exception of /z^ɣ/, which approaches the frequency of /z/, pharyngealized consonants are considerably less frequent than their plain counterparts.

While pharyngealized consonants tend to co-occur in words, there is no absolute constraint that words must be exhaustively pharyngealized. Examples of words with contrastively pharyngeal consonants throughout are /^lam^ɣ.m^ɣas^ɣ/ ‘centre’, /^ls.^lm^ɣn^ɣ.d^ɣar^ɣ/ ‘cushion’ and /^ta.^lz^ɣu.^da/ ‘plate’. There are of course many words that are fully pharyngeal phonetically but contain non-contrastively plain consonants, such as /m^ɣ.^lm^ɣɣ.χər^ɣ/ ‘late’, /^lɪ^ɣb.^sat^ɣ/ ‘carpet’, /ba.^ta.^lt^ɣa.^ta/ ‘potato’ and /a.^lm^ɣt^ɣ.ʃi/ ‘fig from second harvest’. In /ħa.^lr^ɣb.mət/ ‘incite to war’ and /t.^lz^ɣi.wa/ ‘big plate’ contrastively plain and pharyngealized syllables are adjacent. There is no requirement for pharyngealization to spread through a geminate. In /jt.^lt^ɣn^ɣ.n^ɣəd^ɣ/ ‘it is rolling’, the shift occurs during the [t]-closure. Pharyngealization is syllable-based, except that for many speakers, pharyngealization may be unequal in word-final coda clusters ending in the feminine suffix /t/, as in /ta.^lmz.z^ɣuɣt/ ‘ear’, also /ta.^lmz.z^ɣuɣt^ɣ/ (from /a.^lmz.z^ɣuɣ/ ‘big ear’). The difference between the two versions is audible in the burst occurring at the release. In initial geminate [t:], as in /t.^lt^ɣa.s^ɣət^ɣ/ ‘cup’, this shift in pharyngealization may only be detectable in a preceding word ending in a vowel or a sonorant consonant. The word /a.^lmz.z^ɣuɣ/ ‘big ear’ is additionally a rare example of a shift in pharyngealization in a word-medial geminate.

Contrastive pharyngealization on consonants is to be distinguished from phonetic pharyngealization, present during vowels and during consonants which do not contrast for pharyngealization, inside or adjacent to pharyngealized syllables. Vowels near pharyngealized




Figure 3 Durations of singleton (black bars) and geminate (grey bars) consonants and flanking /ə/ and /a/, averaged over two pronunciations for all consonants except /ʕ/, whose segmentation was made impossible by its vocoid nature, and /ɣʕ/, whose existence was unknown at the time the speaker was available. N = 58. Speaker BWB.

consonants are retracted and somewhat lowered relative to their plain allophones (for details see section ‘Vowels’), as illustrated by /l.bi.ru/ ‘pen’ – /lʕ.bi.tʕərʕ/ ‘first harvest fig’, /ʕari/ ‘write:IMP:SG; open:IMP:SG’, /ʕarʕu/ ‘give birth’, /ʕan.ja/ ‘rhythm’ – /ʕr.mʕanʕ.ja/ ‘Germany’ and /ʕa.z.did/ ‘new’ – /ʕa.z.dʕidʕ/ ‘sea gull’. The effect may spill over into adjacent syllables, as in the vowel of the first syllable of ‘sea gull’: [äz dʕə dʕ]. Similarly, non-contrastively plain consonants will be phonetically pharyngealized in pharyngeal surroundings, as in the case of /b/ in /gd.rʕab.lʕəsʕ/ ‘in Tripoli’. The consonants /q ɣ/ combine with tautosyllabic pharyngealized as well as plain consonants, as in /ʕ.quzʕzʕ/ ‘anus’ (compare /a.bq.quʕ/ ‘Abeqqush (surname)’ and /i.ʕudʕdʕ/ ‘he shook’ (compare /ɣ.ʕul/ ‘now’). In the case of the voiced uvular fricative, we cannot be sure whether pharyngealization is allophonic or phonemic without knowing whether the speaker contrasts /ɣʕ/ and /ɣ/, as in the case of /tʕalʕ.bʕ(ʕ)əmʕt/ ‘female camel’.

Geminates

Geminates occur initially, medially and finally in the word, as shown by /sʕ.sʕə.qər/ ‘hawk’, /a.ʕw.wəl/ ‘housework’ and /i.ʕudʕdʕ/ ‘be capable of it:IMP:SG’. Based on palatographic data for one speaker, Mitchell (1957b) shows that in all three positions, the area of contact of denti-alveolar articulations for [t: s: n:] is wider than for their singleton counterparts, extending further onto the front teeth, while for [t:] the greater contact area applies to the entire semi-circular gum line of the upper jaw. A similar difference in contact area for alveolar plosives was reported for Tashlhiyt by Ridouane (2007) on the basis of electropalatographic data. Mitchell’s kymographic data additionally show that the release of a geminate plosive leads to a burst with a considerably higher pressure than in the case of a singleton (his Figure 21). In our data, we find that final singleton plosives are not audibly released or only weakly so, and a firmly audible release may well serve as a cue to gemination. Mitchell’s data also show that the vowel before the geminate has a voice lag into the closure stage which is absent in the case of the singleton (his Figure 22). Intervocally, singletons have only 36% of the duration of geminates, based on the citation pronunciation of nonsense words of the structure /ʕC(C)a/, as shown in Figure 3 ($t = -2.604[28]$, $p < .0001$).⁴ The duration

⁴ While effect sizes for the vowels are small, these are significant (V1: $t = 3.721$, V2: -2.463 , both $p < .05$). The preceding vowel shows some compensatory duration, while the following vowel mirrors the consonant in duration.

difference is larger for sonorants than for obstruents, which take up 28% and 39% of the corresponding geminate durations, respectively. A similarly large duration difference, with intervocalic singletons having 33% of the duration of comparable geminates, was found for four speakers from Morocco on the basis of real words by Louali & Maddieson (1999).

Assimilations

In some words, /ɸ/ is categorically devoiced before voiceless fricatives, e.g. /iχ.fiw/ ‘my head’ (compare /i.ɸəff/ ‘head’) and /iχ.san/ ‘bones’ (compare /i.ɸəs^s/ ‘bone’). Final underlying /ɸ/ is usually /χf/, as in /u.ɸi.^səχf/ ‘I don’t want’ (compare /iχ.səɸ/ ‘I want’). Regressive voicing in obstruent sequences is variable, as in /itt.zuf.fu/ ([d̥d̥z/ddz]) ‘it is wooshing’ from /i.zuff/ ‘it wooshed’. Regressive devoicing is similarly variable. In the recorded story, the initial cluster of /d.tf.fujt/ ‘and the sun’ (first line) is [tʃf] in /l.wa.ri a.bħ.ri/ t.tf.fujt/ ‘(the sea wind) and the sun’.

Vowels

Zwara Tamazight has four vowels, /i u ə a/, which appear in their plain and pharyngealized allophones depending on context.⁵ Separate keywords are given for these allophonic variants:

i	'i.mi	‘mouth’	t ^s it ^s t ^s	‘eye’
u	'ud.dur	‘dignity’	t ^s ul ^s	‘straight ahead’
ə	'ə.bədd	‘get up+IMP+SG’	i. ^s n ^s t ^s .t ^s ər ^s	‘he bounces’
a	'a.man	‘water’	t ^s al ^s .ja	‘Italy’

The plain allophones of /i u/ are front and back high vowels, [u] being between close and close mid, [ɪ]. The plain allophone of /a/ is [ɛ] or [æ], as in /a.man/ [ɛmɛn] ~ [æmæn] ‘water’, or [ä] in syllables with /w/, as in /i.ɰn.wan/ [iɰn.wän] ‘farm’. Word-finally, /a/ may be central and raised to mid open, as in /nan.na/ [nænne] ‘grandmother’. The plain version of /ə/ varies from close mid centralized front [ɛ̞], central [ə], [ɘ] and centralized front [ɛ̝].

Effects of place of articulation are shown in Figure 4, which gives plots of F1 and F2 measurements for the vowels /i a u/ in plain VC:V nonsense words, for four primary places of articulation, pooled over initial and final occurrences. Because /ə/ does not occur word-finally, its measurements are from word-initial occurrences in both [əCa] and [əC:a], and values were pooled over pre-singleton and pre-geminate occurrences. The solid line connects the formant values for vowels in the glottal context, i.e. before and after /h/, where vowel realizations are unaffected by supralaryngeal articulations. As expected, the plain allophones in an alveolar context are raised and fronted relative to the glottal position, while the plain allophones in the uvular context are retracted and somewhat lowered from the glottal position. The plain allophones in the pharyngeal context are generally lowered, while for /i ə a/ there is also a retraction.

The effect of the pharyngealized consonants on vowel quality relative to plain consonants is shown in Figure 5, which gives the plots of the four vowels as spoken before and after /t d s z m n l r/ and /t^s d^s s^s z^s m^s n^s l^s r^s/.

In addition to the greater difference between the allophones of /ə/ than between the allophones of the other vowels, a repeat of the pattern seen in Figure 4, it is noteworthy that

⁵ Figuig (East Morocco) has been analyzed as having four vowels (Kossmann 1997: 45). Other varieties may have more vowels. Awjila (East Libya) has six, including marginal /o/ (van Putten 2014: 35), Siwi (Egypt) has six (Naumann 2012: 272) and Ghadames (West Libya) has seven (Kossmann 2013: 14), all including schwa. The work by Kossmann (2013) and van Putten (2014) is based on analyses of earlier fieldwork by other researchers. See also Louali-Raynal (2000).




Figure 4 (Colour online) Mean F1-F2 plots of plain allophones of /i ə a u/ in glottal, alveolar, uvular and pharyngeal contexts. N = 2 (glottal), 4 (pharyngeal), 6 (uvular) and 14 (alveolar). Speaker BWB.




Figure 5 (Colour online) Mean F1-F2 plots of four plain and four pharyngealized allophones of /i ə a u/. N=16. Speaker BWB.

the allophonic differences between the plain and pharyngealized contexts are larger than any of the allophonic differences caused by different primary places of articulation. The black bars in Figure 6 show Euclidean distances (Bark) for each of the four vowels between the alveolar and pharyngeal allophones, which are the largest among the allophonic distances between primary places of articulation. The grey bars show the differences between the plain and pharyngealized allophones. The large effect shown in the grey bars underlines the fact that, in the absence of cues to different articulation places, the main perceptual cues of contrastive pharyngealization are invested in the quality of the surrounding vowels.




Figure 6 Euclidean distances (Bark) for /i ə a u/ between the alveolar and pharyngeal allophones (black bars) and the plain and pharyngealized allophones (grey bars).

The realistic reproduction of vowel qualities in loans partly relies on the availability of pharyngealized consonants, as illustrated by the treatment of Japanese /o/. Thus, *Yoko Ono* will have the [o]-allophone only in the second word: /'juku 'un^su/ [juku on^so]. **Figure 6** also reveals the large variation for /ə/ noted above, particularly when compared to that of /i u/.

All vowels, including /ə/, appear in stressed as well as unstressed syllables and all may appear in open syllables, but word-finally only /i a u/ are allowed. Examples for /ə/ are /'ə.d^sar^sr^s/ 'foot', /'ə.fud/ 'knee', /ə.'ml.mət/ 'say:IMP:F:PL' and /q.'qə.lən/ 'they accepted', to be compared with /'a.d^su/ 'wind', /'u^s.la/ 'geographical name', /'u.di/ 'oil', which illustrate the occurrence of /a i u/ initially and finally, and with the vowelless syllable in /a.'sf.ru/ 'poem'. Since there is no contrast between schwa and the absence of a vowel before a coda consonant, /əC/ and /C/ are equivalent in the rime. The extent to which a schwa is phonetically realized when a consonant follows in the same syllable depends to some extent on the nature of that consonant. If this is a sonorant, typically no schwa is realized, as in /a.'fn.jal/ 'flag' and /ta.'br.ju^st/ 'dish cloth'. When it is an obstruent, schwaless pronunciations would appear to be more frequent than pronunciations with schwa, inasmuch as we can tell the difference. Examples are /ʃ.ʃ(ə)k.'l^sa.t^sət^s/ [ʃ:kl^sət^st^s], [ʃ:ək^sl^sət^st^s] 'chocolate'; /a.'df.fu/, [ædf:u] or [ædf:u] 'apple'; and /a.'mz.z^su^s/ [am(ə)zz^su^s] 'big ear'. Besides the consonantal context, the position in the word affects this variation. First, word-initially, the presence of /ə/ in onsetless syllables is more likely if the stress is on that syllable, particularly before obstruents, as in /'(ə)k.kəs/ 'take off:IMP:SG' (compare /χ.'χul/ 'now', /'r^s.r^suz^s/ 'rice'). Second, schwa is reliably observable in word-final syllables, which are necessarily closed. I have accordingly adopted a convention to transcribe /ə/ in word-final syllables, in open syllables and in stressed word-initial position in phonological transcriptions. Beyond this, there is considerable variation in the duration of schwa, which may be as long as 400 ms, depending on its position in the word and sentence. More discussion is provided in the sections 'Syllables' and 'Intonation'.

Syllables

The syllable structure is (C)V(C)(C), where the first C is onset and V(C)(C) the rime, within which V is the nucleus and following Cs the coda. None of the vowels /i u ə a/ can occur in any C-position, but all consonants can occur in the onset as well as in the nucleus (given

as ‘V’ in the structural formula, i.e. as syllabic consonants where no schwa is present), and the first coda position. It is typologically rare for /w j/ to contrast with /u i/ in the nucleus. Syllabic approximants occur in /a.ʰi.w.wək/ ‘long walk’ and /i.ʰj.jəd/ ‘he is weeping’. There are no sequential restrictions, as shown by /a.ku.waf/ ‘baker’, /ʰij.jis/ ‘horse’, /wufi/ ‘this’ and /l.bi.ru.wiw/ ‘my pen’, from /l.bi.ru/ ‘pen’ and bound morpheme /-iw/ ‘my’. Words like /ʰil.la/ ‘existence’ and /ʰix.rʰad/ ‘comb’ have /i/ in the nucleus, while in /ʰjm.ma/ ‘my mother’ and /ʰjz.zʰa/ ‘he dug’ /j/ occurs in the onset. The 3SG:M verbal prefix shows up as /i/ before an onset consonant, as in /i.nʰtʰ.tʰər/ ‘he bounces’, as /j(ə)/ before a syllabic consonant, as in /jq.qar/ ‘he is reading’ and /ʰjəχs/ ‘he wants’, and as /j/ before /i u a/, as in /ji.nig/ ‘he sang’. The fact that attachment of the prefix to /ks/ ‘want’ yields /ʰjəχs/ shows that /s/ occurs in the nucleus, not the syllable onset (*/i.ks/), or coda (*/iχs/).

Neither onset nor coda is obligatory, but since word-internal vowel-to-vowel hiatus is disallowed, an onset consonant like /z/ in /ʰi.zi/ ‘fly’ is effectively obligatory. Complex codas occur in two positions in the word. Word-finally, CC is either a geminate, as in /ʰə.hinn/ ‘be kind:IMP:SG’ and /i.ʰutʰtʰ/ ‘he hit with the back of his hand’, or a cluster of consonants. The most frequent second consonant is /t/, as in /ʰta.wərt/ ‘door’ and /ʰtaf.rʰuχt/ ‘girl’; the second word has prefixed and suffixed /t/ (F, compare /ʰaf.rʰuχ/ ‘boy’). Another frequent consonant in this position is /ʃ/, as in /ʰətʃ/ ‘eat:IMP:SG’ and /u.ki.səχʃ/ ‘I don’t want to’, where it represents a negative suffix. Its voiced counterpart /z/ occurs in /ʰədz/ ‘permit:IMP:SG’ and /s/ in /ʰjəχs/ ‘he wants’. More varied coda clusters occur in unrestricted positions in loans, like /lʰm/ in /s.tʰuk.fuʰlʰm/ ‘Stockholm’, /ms/ in /ams.tr.dam/ ‘Amsterdam’ and /nz/ in /vanz.wl.la/ ‘Venezuela’. By contrast, the Tashlhiyt and Figuig varieties reject word-internal coda clusters. These varieties syllabify the first three segments of ‘Amsterdam’, for instance, as /a.ms/ (see Kossmann 1997, Ridouane 2014).⁶

The boundary between the word end and a clitic is not subject to the restriction against hiatus that applies word-internally. The interrogative clitic /a/, which indicates a polar question and attaches to all word forms except imperative verb forms, shows that between words and clitics there is no avoidance of hiatus, as in /tʰa.zʰu.dʰa.a/ ‘A plate?’, from /tʰa.zʰu.dʰa/, where the last two syllables form a long phonetic vowel, i.e. [tʰazʰodʰa:]. It will cause the last consonant of the stem to be the onset of a new syllable, as in /a.bl.ʰbu.la/ ‘An octopus?’ (compare /a.ʰbl.bul/ ‘octopus’). Addition of the clitic will leave the number of syllables in the base unaffected, however, even when segments are resyllabified. For /a.ʰχa.ləd/ ‘sociable’, this gives /a.χa.ʰl.da/, not */a.ʰχal.da/, despite the syllabic well-formedness of that form.

While geminates occur in all three positions of the word, as observed in section ‘Consonants’ above, their integration in C/V-syllable structure is constrained. There are four different structural positions in which they occur, VC, VC, C.C and CC. The distribution of these structures over the three positions in the word is exemplified in (1)–(3).

- (1) *Word-initial*
VC /ʰrʰ.rʰuzʰ/ ‘rice’
- (2) *Word-final*
CC /ʰass/ ‘day’
- (3) *Medial*
VC /t.ʰknn.fəd/ ‘you are roasting’
C.C /ʰuf.ʃən/ ‘jackal’
VC /a.ʰwf.farʰ/ ‘grass’

The bipositional sequence C.V is disallowed generally, because of the requirement to create onsets if possible. The only bi-positional sequence of structural elements where geminates might have occurred, but do not, is CV. That is, a geminate consonant NEVER CROSSES THE

⁶ I thank Milod Al-Omrani, Maarten Kossmann and Rachid Ridouane for discussion of this point.

ONSET–RIME BOUNDARY. When the onset consonant is identical to the first consonant in the rime, the onset consonant is released, as in /nən.¹zas/ ‘we have been sold to him’. By contrast, geminates that straddle a syllable boundary (VC or C.C) are not broken up, as illustrated by /t.¹t²aw.l¹ət/ [t²aw.l¹ət] ‘table’ and /¹ud.dur/ [ud:ur] ‘dignity’, and the same goes for geminates that are located inside the rime, as in /¹n²n³.z²as³/ [(ə)n²:z²as³] ‘pear’, where a geminate occurs in the VC rime of the first syllable. These facts about geminates reflect the situation in consonant sequences generally. Those occupying CV may be broken up by schwa, as explained in section ‘Vowels’. Cross-syllabic clusters are never broken up by schwa. A word like /jt.¹χ.bəs²/ ‘he is deliberately confusing someone’ is [j(ə)ttl¹(ə)χbəs²] (*[-ttəl¹-],*[-χəb-]).

Prosody

Stress

Unlike Tashlhiyt Tamazight (Grice et al. 2011, Ridouane 2014, Röttger, Ridouane & Grice 2014, Grice, Röttger & Ridouane 2015), Zwara Tamazight has word stress. Approximately 85% of polysyllabic words have penultimate stress. Remarkably, the presence of /i/ a /u/ in a closed stressed penultimate syllable of a trisyllabic word appears to be characteristic of loan words like /zər.¹m²an³.ja/ ‘Germany’ and /t.¹muk.həlt/ ‘gun’. Native words overwhelmingly have a syllabic consonant in the stressed penult of trisyllabic words, like /ta.¹ɸ⁽²⁾n.ʒajt/ ‘teaspoon’, /ab.¹l²q.qu/ ‘pebble’ and /a.¹kʃ.laf/ ‘mesh of sponge’. This fact is mainly responsible for the typologically uncharacteristic avoidance of high-sonority rimes in stressed syllables. Of the remaining words, 11% have final stress and 4% antepenultimate stress. In the two panels of Figure 7, speech waveforms and f0 tracks of two pronunciations of /a.¹df.fu/ ‘apple’ are presented, one with and one without a phonetic schwa between /d/ and /f/ in the second syllable. While the f0 track in the top panel fails to show a peak in the stressed syllable, that in the bottom panel does, during the voiced interval between the onset /d/ and the rime /f/. Native speaker intuition evaluates these pronunciations as the same.

Final stress regularly occurs in the past verbal form, as in /jb.¹hər/ ‘he saw’. It may also occur in loan words like /jɸ.¹id²/ ‘it is thick’ (from Arabic) and /l.ban.¹zint/ ‘petrol’ (also /l.ban.¹zi.nət/, from Arabic). A representative subminimal pair is presented in Figure 8. Apart from the duration differences, the peak location is considerably earlier in /nq.qəɸ/ [n:əq:əɸ] ‘I am killing’ than in /nq.¹qəl/ [nəq:əl:] ‘we agreed’.

Antepenultimate stress may occur in words that (potentially) have /ə/ in their final three syllables, as in /tt.¹fiə.dr.zən/ ‘they are discussing’, /¹ə.ml.mət] ‘say:IMP:PL:F’, as well as in loanwords like /l.¹kafi.rə.bət/ ‘car’ and geographical names like /l.¹qa.fi.ra/ ‘Cairo’. Even though schwas can be short, the last syllable of words like /l¹f³rət/ ‘silver’ and /l.¹knd.r²ət/ ‘shoe’ contrasts with the final syllable in words like /¹ta.wərt/ ‘door’, which ends in a cluster /rt/.

The negative suffix /s/, optionally co-occurring with the reinforcing prefix /u/, attracts stress to the last syllable, as illustrated by /u.nq.¹qəχs/ ‘I will not kill’, from /nq.qəɸ/ (Figure 8, top panel). By contrast, the interrogative final clitic /a/, which expresses a neutral polar question, causes stress to be penultimate, i.e. on the syllable preceding the clitic, as shown by /a.df.fu.a/ ‘An apple?’ from /a.df.fu/. As a result of this process, /jm².m³a/ ‘he said’ and /jm.ma/ ‘my mother’ have identical prosodic structures in their interrogative forms, /jm².m³a.a/ [jm²:â:] and /jm.ma.a/ [jm:â:], respectively. Words with final stress retain the stress on the same syllable, as in /¹wu.fia/ ‘This?’, from /¹wufi/.

Intonation

All expressions so far have been assumed to have neutral (citation) intonation, which has a (rising-)falling contour over the stressed syllable rime. This includes the expressions ending




Figure 7 Speech waveforms, spectrograms and f0 tracks of /a.'df.fu/ 'apple' by two speakers, one without (top panel, male speaker BWB) and one with a schwa of 67 ms (bottom panel, female speaker FH) in the stressed syllable /df/, on the same time scale with f0 track (Hz).

in clitic /a/ discussed above, which can only be said with this intonation contour. In [Figure 9](#), citation pronunciations of all-sonorant /^hjm.ma/ 'my mother' and /^hjm.^hm^ha/ 'he said' are given in panels (a) and (d), respectively. The final stressed syllable of /^hjm.^hm^ha/ is closed by a glottal stop, an optional feature of emphatic pronunciation. The neutral contour contrasts with a falling-rising contour, used in polar questions with an implicational overtone, and a rising contour, used in non-final phrases. These are shown in panels (b, e) and (c, f), respectively. The f0 fall starts at the beginning of the stressed rime, as shown in panels (a) and (b) (beginning of /m/) as well as panels (d) and (e) (beginning of /a/). From this point of view, the rising contour may consist of HH% or LH%, since the final rise starts later than the beginning of the rime in both cases. Clearly, Zwara Tamazight has pitch accents and boundary tones, like English. In the case of the fall-rise, the rise goes to the end of the utterance and the fall to the beginning of the stressed rime in both panels (b) and (e). On phrase-final syllables, the falling-rising melody increases the duration of the vowel, as compared to the rising and falling melodies.




Figure 8 Speech waveforms and f0 tracks of /^hnq.qəɪ/ 'I am killing' (top panel) and /nq.^hqəl/ 'we agreed' (bottom panel), with equal portions of /qq/ attributed to the first and second syllables. Speaker NB.

This lengthening is most extreme in the case of /ə/. The duration of the voiced part before /ɣ/ in an isolated pronunciation of /^jəɣs/ 'he wants' is around 200 ms, which may increase to 420 ms in the falling-rising intonation, with all of the lengthening going to a stationary fronted schwa, [ɛ̞].




Figure 9 Speech waveforms and f0 tracks of /'j m.ma/ 'my mother' and /j m^s.m^sa/ 'he said' with rising-falling intonation (panels (a) and (d)), with falling-rising intonation (panels (b) and (e)) and with rising intonation (panels (c) and (f)). All six expressions are utterance-final; those in panels (a), (c), (d), (f) were excised from longer utterances. Male speaker AW.

'da.din d.'nt.ta 'a.la a.'s^ʕəħħ
 d-adin d-ntta ala as^ʕəħħ
 ΛοΛΞΙ ΛΙ†+ο οΜο οQΛΛ
 BE-that and-he who superior

l.'wa.ri a.'bħ.ri ju.'dəl jtt.'sn.fəd 'sa.la i.'nɜ.ɜəm
 'lwari abħri j-udl j-tt-snfd s-ala i-'nɜɜm
 ʍΠοΟΞ οΘΛΟΞ ɣɣΛM ɣ††+ΘIΗΛ ΘοΜο ΞIIIC
 wind marine 3SG:M-begin:PERF 3SG:M-IMP-blow of-what 3SG:M-be:able

'lam.mən af.'ma.ɜa i.'rn.ni g.'gin.fad^ʕ
 lammən afmaɜa j-rnni gg-infad^ʕ
 ʍοCΠI οCΠοIο ɣOIIΞ XΞΞIΗοΛ
 but the:more 3SGM:increase-IMP in:blowing

af.'maɜa a.'tr.ras i.'rn.ni jk.'n^ʕun.n^ʕud^ʕ gu.br.'nu.sis
 afmaɜa a-trras j-rnni j-kn^ʕunn^ʕud^ʕ gu-brnus-is
 οCΠοIο ο†OOοΘ ɣOIIΞ ɣRlI||E οΘOI||OΞO
 the:more NOM-person 3SG:M-increase 3SG:M-fold PREP-cloak-his

'df.fərs 'tu.dəl 'tf.fujt t.'naħ.hər
 dffr-s t-udl t-ffuj-t t-nahħr
 ΛIΗOΘ †ɣΛM †IΗI||ɣ† †IοΛΛO
 after-3SG F-begin:PERF F-sun-F F-burn:IMP

al 'lm.mi td.'zi 'jk.kəs a.br.'nu.sis s.'tufi
 al lmmi t-dɜ-i j-kkəs a-brnus-is s-tufi
 οM ʍCΠΞ †ΛIM ɣR||O οΘOI||OΞO Θ†ɣO
 till when F-made-him 3SG:M-take:off PREP-cloak-his from

l.'wa.ri a.'bħ.ri jg.'r^ʕa^ʕ
 lwari abħri j-gr^ʕa^ʕ
 ʍΠοΟΞ οΘΛΟΞ ɣXOοH
 wind marine 3SG:M-give:up

i.'bədd a.'fl.li t.'tf.fujt 'nt.tat 'a.la a.'s^ʕəħħ
 j-bədd af-lli d-t-f fuj-t n-ttat ala as^ʕəħħ
 ΞΘΛΛ οIΗIΞ Λ†IΗI||ɣ† I††+ο+ οΜο οQΛΛ
 3SG:M-realise on-REL be-sun-F of-she which superior

The sea wind and the sun had a discussion so as to find out who was the stronger. Then someone wrapped in a thick coat passed by. They agreed that whoever would first make him take his coat off would be the stronger. The sea wind began to blow as hard as it could, but the more it blew, the more tightly the person wrapped his cloak around him. Then the sun began to burn until it made the person take off his coat. After that the sea wind gave up. He realized that the sun was the stronger.

ABBREVIATIONS

1, 2, 3 = first, second, third person; ADJ = adjective; AOR = aorist; F = feminine; FUT = future; IMP = imperative; M = masculine; NOM = nominative; PERF = perfect; PL = plural; PREP = preposition; PROG = progressive; REL = relative; SG = singular.

Acknowledgements

The data for this investigation were collected during two field trips in 2013 and 2014 as well as from a consultant in Nijmegen in 2015. I am grateful to Ayoob Sufyan for the way in which he made my visits instructive, efficient and pleasant and for introducing me to many people in Zwara. I thank Eli Afsid, Fuzia Alazaby, Mazin Alghali, Axel Bendeq, Nisrin Berico, Fadwa Helep and Nomidya Kahla for participating in a production experiment which yielded many of the data reported here. The speakers were recorded with the help of a Zoom H4n (16-bit wav) digital recorder and a ShureWH30 XLR condenser headset microphone. I thank Abderrachman Billouch and Anwr Bskal of the Centre for the Study of the Tamazight Language and Culture in Zwara for providing general support and office space, and Harry Stroomer for alerting me to the work by Terence Mitchell listed as Mitchell (2009) and other work. Bendeq Willul Bendeq was an inestimable language consultant during my first visit, and my understanding of the phonological structure owes a great deal to his comments. I am most grateful to Eli Afsid for his careful vetting of my notes when he was in the Netherlands. I am happy to be able to name Muhsen Hamisi, who was recruited by my students for a phonology course assignment at Radboud University Nijmegen in 1999 and became my first consultant on what at the time appeared to me to be an unanalyzable language. (The students passed.) Sem Wijtvliet and Rooney Dahan have been most efficient in organizing transport in Libya. John Esling, Martine Grice, Maarten Kossmann, Rachid Ridouane and Timo Röttger provided useful comments on (fragments of) a prefinal version. Milod Al-Omrani and Fathi N Khalifa kindly answered some last minute questions. Thanks are due to Ewa Jaworska, who provided formidable editorial assistance. This work was supported by the TOPIQQ grant awarded by the Volkswagenstiftung, coordinated by Martine Grice and Anne Hermes.

References

- Chaker, Salem & Masin Ferkal. 2012. Berbères de Libye: un paramètre méconnu, une irruption politique inattendue. *Politique Africaine* 125, 105–126.
- Dell, François & Mohamed Eldmedlaoui. 2002. *Syllables in Tashlhiyt Berber and in Moroccan Arabic*. Boston, MA, Dordrecht & London: Kluwer.
- Esling, John H. 2005. There are no back vowels: The laryngeal articulator model. *Canadian Journal of Linguistics* 50, 15–44.
- Galand, Lionel. 2005. Quelques traits du parler berbère de Zouara (Libye). In Anna M. Di Tolla (ed.), *Studi Berberi e Mediterranei. Miscellanea offerta in onore di Luigi Serra* (Studia Magrebini, Nuova Serie III), 187–195.
- Grice, Martine, Rachid Ridouane & Timo Röttger. 2015. Tonal association in Tashlhiyt Berber: Evidence from polar questions and contrastive statements. *Phonology* 32, 241–266.
- Grice, Martine, Timo Röttger, Rachid Ridouane & Cécile Fougeron. 2011. The association of tones in Tashlhiyt Berber. *Proceedings of 17th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences (ICPhS XVII)*, Hong Kong, 775–778.
- Hamp, Eric P. 1959. Zouara Berber personals. *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies* 21, 140–141.
- Kossmann, Maarten. 1997. *Grammaire du parler berbère de Figuig (Maroc oriental)*. Paris & Louvain: Peeters.
- Kossmann, Maarten. 2013. *A grammatical sketch of Ghadames Berber (Libya)*. Cologne: Köppe.
- Lewis, M. Paul, Gary F. Simons & Charles D. Fennig (eds.). 2016. *Ethnologue: Languages of the world*, 19th edn. Dallas, TX: SIL International. Online version: <http://www.ethnologue.com>.
- Louali-Raynal, Naïma. 2000. Vocalisme berbère et voyelles touarègues. In Salem Chaker & Andrzej Zaborski (eds.), *Etudes berbères et chamito-sémitiques. Mélanges offerts à Karl-G. Prasse*, 263–276. Paris-Louvain: Editions Peeters.
- Louali-Raynal, Naïma. 2001. Contribution des données de phonétique instrumentale à l'analyse phonologique du berbère. *Frankfurter Afrikanistische Blätter* 13, 121–130.

- Louali, Naïma & Ian Maddieson. 1999. Phonological contrast and phonetic realization: The case of Berber stops. *Proceedings of the 14th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences (ICPhS XIV)*, San Francisco, 603–606.
- Mitchell, Terence F. 1953. Particle–noun complexes in a Berber dialect (Zuara). *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies* 15, 375–390.
- Mitchell, Terence F. 1957a. Some properties of Zuara nouns. *Mémorial André Basset (1895–1956)*, 83–96. Paris: Maisonneuve.
- Mitchell, Terence F. 1957b. Long consonants in phonology and phonetics. In J. R. Firth (ed.), *Studies in linguistic analysis*, 182–205. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Mitchell, Terence F. 2009. *Zuara Berber (Libya): Grammar and texts*, edited by Harry Stroemer & Stanly Oomen (Berber Studies 26). Cologne: Köppe.
- Naumann, Christfried. 2012. *Acoustically based phonemics of Siwi (Berber)*. Cologne: Köppe.
- Ridouane, Rachid. 2007. Gemination in Tashlhiyt Berber: An acoustic and articulatory study. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* 37, 119–142.
- Ridouane, Rachid. 2014. Tashlhiyt Berber. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* 37, 119–142.
- Röttger, Timo B., Rachid Ridouane & Martine Grice. 2014. Perception of peak placement in Tashlhiyt Berber. *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Speech Prosody*, Dublin, 408–411.
- Serra, Luigi. 1970. L'ittionimia e la terminologia marinaresca nel dialetto berbero di Zuara (Tripolitania) [Fish names and marine terminology in the Zwara dialect of Berber]. *Studi Magrebini* 3, 21–54.
- Serra, Luigi. 1971. Gli uomini pii venerati dai Berberi Ibāditi di Zuāra (Tripolitania) [The venerated pious men of the Ibadis Berber of Zwara (Tripolitania)]. *Studi Magrebini* 4, 65–75.
- van Putten, Marijn. 2014. *A grammar of Awjila (Libya): Based on Paradisi's work*. Cologne: Köppe.