objective mood and the associated behavioural manifestations. Others extend the time span to cover a period of up to 24 hours and also comment on the diurnal variation of mood. Some go further to include disturbances of sleep and appetite and even changes in body weight, the latter usually not occurring over a few days. This leads to a blurring of the boundary between the history of the illness and the MSE and raises the question as to how much of the history should come into the MSE. This discrepancy may not be dangerous in routine patient care, but it does cause considerable anxiety and confusion in the candidate sitting the MRCPsych clinical examination. We would like to hear the opinion of the College in this regard.

O. THACHIL
New Town Branch, Worcester Royal Infirmary,
Worcester WR5 1JG
S. Mirza
Lister Hospital, Stevenage, Herts SG1 4AB
P. De Vries & A. Michael
Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge CB2 2QQ

Sir: The method employed in the examination of the mental state is clearly dependent upon psychiatric training and orientation. I believe that your correspondents realise that their query is likely to provoke a variety of replies. However, I do have views on the matter, although I would not claim that these should prevail on the MRCPsych examinations and they certainly do not constitute the official opinion of the College.

If the MSE is the precise analogue of the physical examination it would apply on to the findings at the time of the examination. This would often be unsatisfactory as many psychiatric symptoms are not continuously present even in patients seriously unwell. As your correspondents say, it is common practice to ask patients about their symptoms in the previous 24 hours. Diurnal variation in psychopathology is an important aspect of the mental state and clearly requires enquiry about symptoms during a 24-hour period. I would not regard enquiry about bodily functions as falling into the examination of the mental state, but into the 'history of the present complaint'. However, one must not be too dogmatic or rigid, for example, it is relevant to enquire of the patients' frame of mind when they are lying awake following early wakening. In this context it is worth remembering that the way in which information is collected should be acceptable to the patient; the way information is ordered and considered, and the way it is presented to others is a matter for the psychiatrist.

Your correspondents refer to "the subjective and objective mood and the associated behavioural manifestations". I find this confusing as

'behavioural manifestations' are to me the 'objective' manifestations of mood. Mood itself I regard as entirely subjective; the task of the psychiatrist is to enable the patient to describe what may be unfamiliar and perplexing emotions in words with which they are familiar.

Examinees should develop a sound technique for the examination of the mental state and be prepared to defend their approach to the examiners.

R. H. S. MINDHAM Chief Examiner, The Royal College of Psychiatrists

Psychiatry in Russia – anyone interested?

Sir: I am pleased that the need for increased international links with Russian psychiatry was recently highlighted (Psychiatric Bulletin, November 1995, 19, 703). It is very important that the effects of past isolation and current economic problems on Russian psychiatry are overcome as soon as possible. For the last four years I have been closely involved with a small group of other interested British psychiatrists in developing professional links between the two countries in the field of forensic psychiatry (Gordon & Meux, 1994) and, to a lesser extent, in other psychiatric subspecialities and branches of medicine. Just as British psychiatry was involved in strongly commenting upon past unacceptable practices in Soviet psychiatry we must now be in the vanguard of influencing positive change. I am currently the Project Leader of a British Council funded project to further develop links. A series of exchange visits have occurred involving over twenty personnel from various regions of Britain and Russia including numerous institutions ranging from hospitals of different levels of security to research institutes, medical schools and prisons. Vital provision of information and sharing of experience during these visits has occurred and facilitated attendance at relevant Conferences in each other's countries, publications in each other's journals (e.g. Kachaeva, 1995), exchange of books and journals and the commencement of research collaboration. A psychiatrist from Moscow last year completed the international Diploma in Forensic Psychiatry course organised by the Institute of Psychiatry and other disciplines have also been involved.

Current collaboration is occurring in the areas of training and education, clinical practice, service provision and research. I hope that, subject to continuing financial sponsorship, the links can continue to develop. The mutual trust that now exists smooths the bureaucracy involved and I have frequently been the first

308 Correspondence