

a clear answer to his question. It is almost impossible to exist as a medical practitioner without receiving support from one organisation or another at some point in your duties, but most of the time this is quite irrelevant to a piece of published information. The declaration of interest may need to be defined more specifically in our instructions but at present we are inclined towards the views of the *BMJ* in identifying those interests which are competing as those which should be declared. The *BMJ* defines a competing interest as one that

‘exists when professional judgment concerning a primary interest (such as patients’ welfare or the validity of research) may be influenced by a secondary interest (such as financial gain or personal rivalry)’ (<http://www.bmj.com>). This properly identifies the element that might, wittingly or unwittingly, create a bias in the written material that is submitted. In most instances the interest declared will be a financial one, but I would welcome more of the personal rivalry interests that are highly relevant in academic circles.

Although not expecting ‘I am a visceral opponent of Dr X’s work and cannot bear to be in the same room as him/her’, I think ‘I have a general bias against Dr X because I do not think he/she has the clinical experience to pronounce on these matters, whereas I have’, might not be out of place in an open and honest exchange of views.

**Peter Tyrer** Editor, *British Journal of Psychiatry*, Royal College of Psychiatrists, 17 Belgrave Square, London SW1X 8PG, UK.  
E-mail: [bjp@rcpsych.ac.uk](mailto:bjp@rcpsych.ac.uk)

## One hundred years ago

### Fragment of the History of a Case of Hysteria. [Bruchstück einer Hysterie-Analyse]. (Monatsschr. f. Psychiatrie u. Neurolog., Bd. xviii, Heft. 4, 1905.) Freud, S.

The volume of *Studies of Hysteria* which Professor Freud published in conjunction with Dr. Breuer some ten years ago aroused much controversy, but even many of those who were by no means prepared to accept its teaching at every point could not fail to recognise that it was an epoch-marking book in the history of hysteria. In method it introduced a refined and penetrating psychic analysis which had never before been known, and in theory it brought back in a more acceptable form the conception of the large part played in hysteria by the sexual emotions, which, under the influence of Charcot, had been too absolutely rejected.

While Freud’s method and theory remain substantially the same, he has very considerably developed the technique of his analytical process. He has abandoned the use of hypnosis as a method of investigation, and attaches still more importance than before to what may be called “symbolic manifestations” of the psychic

condition. He seeks to obtain a complete and sympathetic knowledge of the patient’s outer and inner life, and to interpret the data thus obtained by means of clues which often seem of the slightest character. It is obvious that such a method must be carried out in an extremely elaborate manner to be in any degree convincing. Even the present fragment of a history, which might easily be dismissed as a quite ordinary case of hysteria, covers nearly a hundred pages, and though it really reveals itself as an exceedingly complex and many-sided history, which, under the investigator’s hands, slowly falls into order, there is still much that a cautious and critical reader is inclined to view with suspicion, notably as regards the interpretation of dreams (a subject to which of recent years Freud has devoted special study); even here, however, the clues often prove such excellent guides that one hesitates to condemn them on account of their extreme tenuity. It should be remarked that Freud now attaches very great importance to dreams in the interpretation, not only of hysteria, but of all allied psycho-neurotic conditions; without a study of dream-life, indeed, he believes we can make very little progress in this field.

It is necessary, however, to pay close attention to all the automatic and involuntary manifestations of the psychic and physical organism. “He who has eyes to see and ears to hear becomes convinced that no mortal can hide his secret. He whose lips are silent chatters with his finger-tips and betrays himself through all his pores. That is how it is that the task of bringing even the most hidden regions of the soul to consciousness becomes quite possible.”

It is impossible to analyse this analysis, but by many readers its study will be found highly fascinating and profitable. There are other readers for whom it will seem unsatisfactory, trivial, and unwholesome. Of this type of mind was the little girl who criticised the operations of the Divine mind with the remark that it “must be fiddling work making flies.” People of this mental type cannot, however, be advised to study hysteria.

HAVELOCK ELLIS.

### REFERENCE

*Journal of Mental Science*, April 1906, 406–407.

Researched by Henry Rollin, Emeritus Consultant Psychiatrist, Horton Hospital, Epsom, Surrey

## Corrigenda

Specialised care for early psychosis: symptoms, social functioning and patient satisfaction. Randomised controlled trial. *BJP*, 188, 37–45. The seventh author’s name is Jason Read. The online version of

this article has been corrected post-publication in deviation from print and in accordance with this correction.

Going to war always hurts (letter). *BJP*, 188, 83. The signatories to the ‘Authors’ response’ should include N. Greenberg, King’s Centre for Military Health Research, London, UK.