characteristics, we anticipate that protein, cell count, hemoglobin, iron, and ferritin will decrease with NEL. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: The timing of PHH of prematurity is highly variable. We expect that MVI will offer radiographic biomarkers to guide optimal timing of neurosurgical intervention. A better understanding of CSF characteristics could potentially educate the neurosurgeon with regard to optimal timing of permanent CSF diversion based on specific CSF parameters.

122

CEACAM6 molecules mediate cell adhesion and signaling by modifying integrins in human solid tumors

Aisha Al-Khinji[1,2]
Qatar University College of Medicine, Qatar University and College of Art and Science, Qatar University

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: To determine the role of carcinoembryonic antigen cell adhesion molecule 6 (CEACAM6) in signaling cascade and interaction with other cell surface proteins in human epithelial solid tumors such pancreatic adenocarcinoma and colon cancer METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: In this study, we employed three-dimensional (3D) tumor models to replicate the in vivo tumor microenvironment better, allowing for a more accurate assessment of cellular responses compared to traditional two-dimensional (2D) cultures. We used immunoprecipitate to pull down the CEACAM6 protein and investigate the integrins expression level. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: The expression and functional activity of CEACAM6 are susceptible to modulation by various surface proteins, leading to notable alterations in cellular behavior. Integrins, particularly Integrin B4, are one such protein whose expression is influenced by CEACAM6-mediated intracellular signaling cascades, suggesting a complex interplay that enhances CEACAM6 activation.The 3D models facilitate cell–cell interactions, enabling tumor cells to proliferate and undergo metabolic changes that reflect actual tumor biology. Thereby enhancing the relevance of crosstalk between CEACAM6 and integrins. These findings underscore the potential of CEACAM6 as a promising therapeutic target. They reveal a molecular mechanism that could inform the development of innovative therapeutic strategies in cancer. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: These findings underscore the potential of CEACAM6 as a promising therapeutic target, revealing a novel molecular mechanism that could inform the development of innovative therapeutic strategies for pancreatic and colon cancer and potentially other malignancies.

123

Methods for determining the conclusiveness of systematic review results: A living scoping review

Troels Madsen[1], Karen Robinson[1,2], Hans Lund[1] and Jong-Wook Ban[3]
[1]Section for Evidence-based Practice, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen,Norway; [2]Johns Hopkins Evidence-based Practice Center, Division of General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, USA and [3]University of Chicago

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: Understanding systematic review results help prioritise more valuable studies. However, evaluating whether a systematic review has conclusively answered a question is difficult, and it is unclear which tools are available for such assessments. Thus, we mapped the extent of methods for determining the conclusiveness of systematic review results. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: We searched Medline (Ovid), EMBASE (Ovid), and Web of Science to find papers with methods to determine whether systematic review results were conclusive or should be updated. The characteristics of primary references for included methods are presented. We classified and summarized available methods. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: A total of 58 unique methods were identified. Many have been published since 2010 and often did not include a worked example. We found 25 mathematical methods for the conclusiveness of meta-analyses, which included cumulative meta-analysis, fail-safe number, fragility index, prediction and machine learning model, simulation-based power, conditional power, and graphical approaches. There were 15 methods for the conclusiveness of cumulative meta-analyses, such as quality control approach, trial sequential analysis, sequential meta-analysis, and law of iterated logarithm. And, 18 methods assessed the conclusiveness of systematic reviews: GRADE framework, the strength of a body of evidence approach, methods for assessing the need to update a systematic review, and methods for specific clinical domains. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: We found a wide range of methods that can be used to determine the conclusiveness of systematic review results. End-users of systematic reviews can review our results to find the most appropriate methods for their contexts and decisions.

124

Collaborative method to improve investigator assistance for study planning

Leigh Mrotek, Alexandria Moellner, Amarette Filut, Jennifer L Merems, Elizabeth Knoll, Michelle A Chui, Nasia Safdar and Bernadette T Gillick
University of Wisconsin Madison

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: Planning research studies can be daunting for early-career investigators. The UW Madison Institute for Clinical and Translational Research (ICTR) has many services to assist, but navigating them can be convoluted. Therefore, we developed a method to streamline services for investigators. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: Investigators were reaching out to ICTR research support services for assistance in the wrong order, delaying their study progress. To streamline ICTR services and improve investigator support, we developed the ICTR COllaborative Network (ICON) that meets weekly to discuss investigator needs and how best ICTR services can assist them. This group consists of members from ICTR's Research and Protocol Development Program, the Recruitment and Retention Resource Center, and the Collaborative Center for Health Equity. After discussion and decision-making, a member of the group schedules a studio, bringing key services together at one time to help investigators more efficiently. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: The group has worked with 22 investigators, decreasing the time to study implementation. One investigator indicated ICON saved her team over four months of work. Other investigators indicated the assistance with finding community partners and collaborators was essential to their success. We expect ICON, with its goal to streamline regulatory submissions and study planning, will continue to help investigators improve organization during study start up and execution, while enhancing recruitment strategies. This will result in quicker study completion and the capability to move forward with future projects and grant submissions. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: ICON streamlined the consult process, improved

https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2024.783